

Higher homotopy normalities in topological groups

Mitsunobu Tsutaya (Kyushu University)

Algebraic Topology and Related Fields

August 2, 2024

1. Higher homotopy associativity and commutativity

- ▶ See how multiplicative structures and classifying spaces are related.

2. Higher homotopy normality

- ▶ Recall classical homotopy normal maps, which are generalizations of normal subgroups (and crossed modules).
- ▶ Define higher homotopy variant of homotopy normal maps, called $N_k(\ell)$ -map ($0 \leq k, \ell \leq \infty$).

3. Results

- ▶ The main theorem characterizes $N_k(\ell)$ -maps by a method of fiberwise homotopy theory.
- ▶ Some computational examples on classical groups.

Higher homotopy associativity and commutativity

H-map

- ▶ A map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ between topological groups is said to be an *H*-map if

$$f \circ \mu \simeq \mu \circ (f \times f).$$

We can say an *H*-map is “a homomorphism up to homotopy”.

- ▶ However, *H*-map is far from homomorphism. There exists an *H*-map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ not homotopy equivalent to any homomorphism $f': K \rightarrow K'$ between topological groups as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & G' \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ K & \xrightarrow{\text{a homomorphism}} & K' \end{array}$$

- ▶ This difference can be understood by considering higher homotopy.

Classifying space and projective spaces

- ▶ The **classifying space** BG of a topological group G is constructed as the quotient

$$BG = \left(\coprod_{i \geq 0} \Delta^i \times G^i \right) / \sim$$

by some simplicial relation \sim .

- ▶ The image of $\Delta^k \times G^k$ is written by $B_k G$ (k -th **projective space**). Then we obtain the filtration

$$* = B_0 G \subset \Sigma G = B_1 G \subset B_2 G \subset \cdots \subset B_k G \subset \cdots \subset BG.$$

- ▶ If $f: G \rightarrow G'$ is a homomorphism, then we have the induced maps

$$B_k f: B_k G \rightarrow B_k G', \quad Bf: BG \rightarrow BG'.$$

Examples of classifying spaces and projective spaces

- ▶ When $G = S^0, S^1$ and S^3 , $B_k S^0 = \mathbb{R}P^k$, $B_k S^1 = \mathbb{C}P^k$ and $B_k S^3 = \mathbb{H}P^k$, respectively.
- ▶ When $G = U(n)$, $B U(n) \simeq G_n(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$ (the Grassmannian of n -planes in \mathbb{C}^∞). In general, $B_k U(n)$ is not a manifold.

- ▶ A map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ is said to be an A_∞ -map if it admits an A_∞ -form $\{f_i: I^{i-1} \times H^i \rightarrow G\}_{i \geq 1}$, which describes how the associativity is preserved through f .
- ▶ What is an A_∞ -form $\{f_i: I^{i-1} \times H^i \rightarrow G\}_{i \geq 1}$?
 - ▶ $f_1 = f$.
 - ▶ $f_2: I \times H^2 \rightarrow G$ is the homotopy between $f \circ \mu$ and $\mu \circ (f \times f)$.
 - ▶ $f_3: [0, 1]^2 \times H^3 \rightarrow G$ is depicted as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(h_1 h_2) f(h_3) & & f(h_1) f(h_2) f(h_3) \\ & \square & \\ & f_3 & \\ & & \\ f(h_1 h_2 h_3) & & f(h_1) f(h_2 h_3) \end{array}$$

- ▶ We will call a pair $(f, \{f_i\}_i)$ an A_∞ -map.

Classifying space and A_∞ -map

- ▶ **Theorem (Sugawara, 1960).** A map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ admits an A_∞ -form if and only if the suspension $\Sigma f: \Sigma H \rightarrow \Sigma G$ extends to a map between the classifying space $BG \rightarrow BG'$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma G & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma G' \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ BG & \xrightarrow{\exists} & BG' \end{array}$$

- ▶ By the simplicial loop group construction, an A_∞ -map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ is homotopy equivalent to some homomorphism between topological groups in the previous sense.

- ▶ Stasheff (1963) considered the intermediate objects between H -map and A_∞ -map: a map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ is said to be an A_k -map if it admits an A_k -form $\{f_i: I^{i-1} \times G^i \rightarrow G'\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$.
- ▶ **Theorem (Stasheff, 1963).** A map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ admits an A_k -form if and only if the suspension $\Sigma f: \Sigma G \rightarrow \Sigma G'$ extends to a map from $B_k G$ to BG' :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma G & \xrightarrow{f} & \Sigma G' \\ \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inclusion} \\ B_k G & \xrightarrow{\exists} & BG' \end{array}$$

Homotopy commutativity

- ▶ A topological group G is said to be **homotopy commutative** if the Samelson product

$$G \wedge G \rightarrow G, \quad (x, y) \mapsto xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$$

is null-homotopic.

- ▶ Through the isomorphism

$$[G \wedge G, G] \cong [G \wedge G, \Omega BG] \cong [\Sigma G \wedge G, BG],$$

the Samelson product corresponds to the Whitehead product $[\iota, \iota]$ of the inclusion $\iota: \Sigma G \rightarrow BG$.

- ▶ So, G is homotopy commutative if and only if $[\iota, \iota] = 0$.

Higher homotopy commutativity

- ▶ A topological group G is said to be a C_k -space in the sense of Sugawara (defined by McGibbon 1989) if the multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$ is an A_k -map.
 - ▶ Remark. This definition is similar to the fact that a group G is abelian if and only if the multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism.
 - ▶ G is a C_2 -space if and only if G is homotopy commutative.
- ▶ An equivalent condition is as follows: the wedge sum of the inclusion

$$B_k G \vee B_k G \rightarrow BG$$

extends over the union

$$\bigcup_{i+j=k} B_i G \times B_j G \rightarrow BG.$$

Higher homotopy commutativity (continued)

- ▶ Remark. There is another notion of C_k -space in the sense of Williams, which is a bit weaker than Sugawara's.
- ▶ Remark. G is a C_∞ -space in the sense of Sugawara if and only if BG is an H -space. This condition is much weaker than requiring G to be a double loop space (equivalently, BG to be a loop space).
- ▶ The higher homotopy commutativity of Lie groups and their p -localizations has been extensively studied. Roughly speaking, the p -local homotopy commutativity gets higher as p gets bigger. Let us see a typical argument to show the non-commutativity in the next slide.

Example of non-commutativity

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2) = S^3$ and p an odd prime. Suppose the wedge sum of the inclusion

$$\mathbb{H}P^k \vee \mathbb{H}P^k \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^\infty$$

extends to a map

$$f: B = \bigcup_{i+j=k} \mathbb{H}P^i \times \mathbb{H}P^j \rightarrow \mathbb{H}P^\infty.$$

We know $\mathcal{P}^1 x = ax^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ with $a \neq 0$ for a generator $x \in H^4(\mathbb{H}P^\infty; \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then $f^* \mathcal{P}^1 x \neq 0$ when $k \geq \frac{p+1}{2}$. But the coefficient of $x^i \times x^j$ with $i, j > 0$ in $\mathcal{P}^1 f^* x$ must be trivial in

$$H^*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[x \times 1, 1 \times x] / (x^i \times x^j \mid i + j > k)$$

by the Cartan formula and $f^* x = x \times 1 + 1 \times x$. This contradicts to $\mathcal{P}^1 x = ax^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ and $a \neq 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is not p -locally a $C_{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ -space.

Higher homotopy normality

- ▶ In the rest of this talk, let H and G be topological groups of homotopy types of CW complexes.
- ▶ A normal subgroup $H \subset G$ is a subgroup stable under the inner automorphisms.
- ▶ **Crossed module** is a generalization of normal subgroup to general homomorphisms $H \rightarrow G$.
- ▶ **Definition.** A **(topological) crossed module** consists of homomorphisms $f: H \rightarrow G$ and $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ satisfying the conditions
 - ▶ $\rho(f(h))(x) = hxh^{-1}$ for any $x, h \in H$,
 - ▶ $f(\rho(g)(x)) = gf(x)g^{-1}$ for any $x \in H$ and $g \in G$.
- ▶ Remark. $f(\rho(g)(x)) = gf(x)g^{-1} \Leftrightarrow gf(\rho(g^{-1})(x))g^{-1} = f(x)$.

Homotopy quotient of crossed module

- ▶ **Theorem (Farjoun–Segev, 2010).** The Borel construction $K = EH \times_H G$ of a crossed module $f: H \rightarrow G$ naturally inherits a group structure. Moreover, there exists a homotopy fiber sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow K \rightarrow BH \xrightarrow{Bf} BG \rightarrow BK.$$

- ▶ This suggests that $K = EH \times_H G$ should be considered as “the homotopy quotient group of a homotopically normal subgroup”.
- ▶ My first motivation for higher homotopy normality was to generalize this result to higher homotopy theoretic setting. However, $N_\infty(\infty)$ -map is in fact much weaker than crossed module (this kind of phenomena will appear later).


Topological category \mathcal{A}_k

- ▶ Let

$$\mathcal{A}_k(G, G') \subset \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{Map}(I^{i-1} \times G^i, G)$$

be the space of A_k -maps.

- ▶ We have the composition of A_k -maps as follows:



Modifying $\mathcal{A}_k(G, G')$ and the composition like Moore path, we can make this composition unital and associative.

Topological category \mathcal{A}_k (continued)

- ▶ Then we obtain a (naive) topological category \mathcal{A}_k of topological groups and A_k -maps.
 - ▶ In particular, the space of self A_k -maps $\mathcal{A}_k(G, G)$ is a topological monoid.
- ▶ We have a continuous functor $B_k: \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Spaces}_*$.
- ▶ **Theorem (T. 2016).** The following composite is a weak homotopy equivalence:

$$\mathcal{A}_k(G, G') \xrightarrow{B_k} \text{Map}_*(B_k G, B_k G') \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \text{Map}_*(B_k G, B_k G').$$

- ▶ $\text{conj}_H: H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, H)$ denotes the conjugation $\text{conj}_H(h)(x) = hxh^{-1}$.
- ▶ **Definition (T. 2023).** A homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if an A_k -map $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, H)$ is given and the following conditions hold:
 - ▶ $\rho \circ f$ is homotopic to conj_H as an A_ℓ -map,
 - ▶ the map $* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, G)$, $* \mapsto f$ is A_k -equivariant with respect to the action of G ,
 - ▶ the higher homotopies appearing in the first and second conditions coincide on H .
- ▶ This is a higher homotopy analogue of crossed module.
- ▶ $N_1(1)$ -map is equivalent to homotopy normal map introduced by McCarty (1964).
- ▶ James (1967) defined another homotopy normality which is slightly weaker than McCarty's.

- ▶ **Definition (McCarty 1964).** A homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ is **homotopy normal** (an $N_1(1)$ -map) if there exists a map $\tilde{\gamma}: G \wedge H \rightarrow H$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \wedge H & \xrightarrow{\gamma_H} & H \\ f \wedge \text{id} \downarrow & \exists \tilde{\gamma} \nearrow & \downarrow f \\ G \wedge H & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy and the homotopies compatible with the stationary homotopy of the outer square.

- ▶ Homotopy normality in the sense of James (1967) only requires the commutativity of the lower triangle.

Immediate consequences

- ▶ If $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map and $k \geq k'$ and $\ell \geq \ell'$, then f is an $N_{k'}(\ell')$ -map.
- ▶ If $f: H \rightarrow G$ is a crossed module, then f is an $N_\infty(\infty)$ -map.
- ▶ The homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow *$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if and only if $\text{conj}_H: H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, H)$ is homotopic to the constant map as an A_k -map.
 - ▶ The latter condition is equivalent to being a $C(k, \ell)$ -space (T. 2016), which is a higher homotopy commutativity introduced by Kishimoto and Kono (2010).
 - ▶ $C(\infty, \infty)$ -space and Sugawara C_∞ -space are known to be equivalent. Then we conclude that $H \rightarrow *$ is an $N_\infty(\infty)$ -map if and only if BH is an H -space.
 - ▶ This is analogous to the fact that $H \rightarrow *$ is a crossed module if and only if H is commutative.

Results

Equivariant and fiberwise homotopy theory

- ▶ The Borel construction defines the correspondence

$$\text{a } G\text{-space } X \quad \mapsto \quad \text{a fiberwise space } EG \times_G X \rightarrow BG.$$

This provides an “equivalence” between the G -equivariant homotopy theory and the fiberwise homotopy theory over BG in an appropriate sense.

- ▶ EG denotes the universal G -bundle over BG . The restriction to $B_k G$ will be denoted by $E_k G$.
- ▶ The idea of the main theorem is based on this kind of equivalence.

Main theorem

- ▶ **Theorem (T. 2023).** Let $f: H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism and $F: E_k H \times_H H \rightarrow E_k G \times_G G$ denote the induced map of f . Then f is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if and only if there exists a fiberwise A_ℓ -space $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B_k G$ and F factors as

$$E_k H \times_H H \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\psi} E_k G \times_G G$$

up to homotopy over $B_k f: B_k H \rightarrow B_k G$ such that the following conditions hold:

- ▶ ϕ covers $B_k f$ and ψ covers the identity on $B_k G$,
 - ▶ ϕ and ψ are fiberwise A_ℓ -maps,
 - ▶ ϕ is a weak homotopy equivalence on each fiber,
 - ▶ the restriction of $\psi \circ \phi$ to the fiber over the basepoint is homotopic to f as an A_ℓ -map.
- ▶ The last four conditions correspond to the compatibility required in the definition of $N_k(\ell)$ -map.

Remark on main theorem

- Roughly, this theorem states that $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if and only if the following “unusual” factorization of $F: E_k H \times_H H \rightarrow E_k G \times_G G$ exists:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \xlongequal{\quad} & H & \xrightarrow{f} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_k H \times_H H & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & E_k G \times_G G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_k H & \xrightarrow{B_k f} & B_k G & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_k G \end{array}$$

Remark on main theorem (continued)

- ▶ The “usual” factorization is as follows. The middle column is induced from the conjugation action of H on G through f .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \xlongequal{\quad} & G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E_k H \times_H H & \longrightarrow & E_k H \times_H G & \longrightarrow & E_k G \times_G G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_k H & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_k H & \xrightarrow{B_k f} & B_k G
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ This factorization is possible for any homomorphism f .

- ▶ **Theorem (T. 2023).** Let $f: H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. Then the Borel construction $X = EH \times_H G$ is an H -space if f is an $N_k(k)$ -map and $\text{cat } X \leq k$.
- ▶ **Example.** Let $H = K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n - 1)$ and $G = K(\mathbb{Q}, 4n - 1)$. Consider the homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ with classifying map $Bf: K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, 4n)$ corresponding to $u^2 \in H^{4n}(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n); \mathbb{Q})$. Then the Borel construction is

$$EH \times_H G \simeq \text{hofib}(Bf) \simeq S_{(0)}^{2n}.$$

Since $S_{(0)}^{2n}$ does not admit an H -structure and $\text{cat } S_{(0)}^{2n} = 1$, f is not an $N_1(1)$ -map.

Preceding results on examples

- ▶ There have been many results on homotopy normality of Lie groups.
- ▶ (James 1967)
The inclusion $U(m) \rightarrow U(n)$ is not (2-locally) homotopy normal in the sense of James for $1 \leq m < n$. Similar results hold for $O(m) \rightarrow O(n)$ ($2 \leq m < n$) and $Sp(m) \rightarrow Sp(n)$ for $1 \leq m < n$.
- ▶ Other results include: McCarty (1964), James (1971), Kachi (1982), Furukawa (1985), Furukawa (1987), Furukawa (1995), Kudou–Yagita (1998), Kudou–Yagita (2003), Kono–Nishimura (2003), Nishimura (2006), Kishimoto–T. (2018).
- ▶ These results suggest that $H \rightarrow G$ tends to fail to be p -locally homotopy normal for small prime p .

Higher homotopy normality of $SU(m) \rightarrow SU(n)$

- ▶ Applying the **fiberwise projective space** functor, the main theorem provides an obstruction theory for $N_k(\ell)$ -map.
- ▶ By a typical argument using Steenrod operations, we obtain the following result.
- ▶ **Theorem (T. 2023).**
 - ▶ If $kn + \ell m$ for some $m < n$ and $k, \ell \geq 1$, then the inclusion $SU(m) \rightarrow SU(n)$ is a p -local $N_k(\ell)$ -map.
 - ▶ If $\max\{kn - 2, (k - 1)n + 2\} < p \leq kn + 2(\ell - 1)$ for some $n \geq 3$ and $k, \ell \geq 1$, then the inclusion $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(n)$ is not a p -local $N_k(\ell)$ -map.
 - ▶ If $\max\{kn - m, (k - 1)n + 2\} < p \leq kn + (\ell - 2)m$ for some $2 \leq m < n$ and $k, \ell \geq 1$, then the inclusion $SU(m) \rightarrow SU(n)$ is not a p -local $N_k(\ell)$ -map.
- ▶ This result is not very sharp. For example, the normality is not determined when $kn + (\ell - 2)m < p < kn + \ell m$.
- ▶ A similar result is obtained for $SO(2m + 1) \rightarrow SO(2n + 1)$.

3-local normality of $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(3)$

k	1	2	3	4	5
$N_k(1)$	X	X	X	X	X
$N_k(2)$	X	X	X	X	X
$N_k(3)$	X	X	X	X	X
$N_k(4)$	X	X	X	X	X
$N_k(5)$	X	X	X	X	X

5-local normality of $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(3)$

k	1	2	3	4	5
$N_k(1)$	✓	?	?	?	?
$N_k(2)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(3)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(4)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(5)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

7-local normality of $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(3)$

k	1	2	3	4	5
$N_k(1)$	✓	?	?	?	?
$N_k(2)$	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(3)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(4)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(5)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

11-local normality of $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(3)$

k	1	2	3	4	5
$N_k(1)$	✓	✓	✓	?	?
$N_k(2)$	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(3)$	✓	?	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(4)$	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
$N_k(5)$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Summary

- ▶ $N_k(\ell)$ -map is a higher homotopical analogue of crossed module and normal subgroup.
- ▶ $N_k(\ell)$ -map is characterized by fiberwise A_ℓ -maps over k -th projective spaces.
- ▶ The Borel construction $EH \times_H G$ of an $N_k(k)$ -map $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an H -space if $\text{cat } EH \times_H G \leq k$ holds.
- ▶ Fiberwise projective space provides a method to detect obstructions to being $N_k(\ell)$ -maps.

Thank you!