

Higher homotopy normalities in topological groups

Mitsunobu Tsutaya (Kyushu University)

Classifying spaces in homotopy theory: in honour of Ran Levi's 60th Birthday
6 September 2022

1. Higher homotopy associativity and commutativity
2. Higher homotopy normality
3. Results

1. Higher homotopy associativity and commutativity

- ▶ A map $f: H \rightarrow G$ between topological groups is said to be an H -map if

$$f \circ \mu \simeq \mu \circ (f \times f).$$

- ▶ H -map is much far from homomorphism. There exists an H -map $f: H \rightarrow G$ not H -equivalent to a homomorphism as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & H \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ G' & \xrightarrow{\text{a homomorphism}} & H' \end{array}$$

- ▶ So, what is the obstruction to a map being a homomorphism up to H -equivalence?

- ▶ Sugawara (1960) (with Milnor's result) answered this question.
 - ▶ An H -map $f: H \rightarrow G$ is homotopy equivalent to a homomorphism if and only if it admits an A_∞ -form $\{f_i: I^{i-1} \times H^i \rightarrow G\}_{i \geq 1}$ which describes how the associativity is preserved through f .
 - ▶ f_2 is the homotopy between $f \circ \mu$ and $\mu \circ (f \times f)$.
 - ▶ $f_3: [0, 1]^2 \times H^3 \rightarrow G$ is depicted as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(h_1 h_2) f(h_3) & & f(h_1) f(h_2) f(h_3) \\ & \square & \\ & f_3 & \\ & & \\ f(h_1 h_2 h_3) & & f(h_1) f(h_2 h_3) \end{array}$$

- ▶ An H -map equipped with an A_∞ -form is called an A_∞ -map.

- ▶ The previous result actually follows from the following result:
 - ▶ A pointed map $f: H \rightarrow G$ admits an A_∞ -form if and only if the suspension $\Sigma f: \Sigma H \rightarrow \Sigma G$ extends to a map between the classifying space $BH \rightarrow BG$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma H & \xrightarrow{f} & \Sigma G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 BH & \xrightarrow{\exists} & BG
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Stasheff (1963) generalized this result.
 - ▶ The classifying space has the natural filtration:

$$* = B_0G \subset \Sigma G = B_1G \subset B_2G \subset \cdots \subset B_nG \subset \cdots \subset BG.$$

- ▶ B_nG is called the n -th projective space of G .
- ▶ He proved that $\Sigma f: \Sigma H \rightarrow \Sigma G$ extends to $B_nH \rightarrow BG$ if and only if f admits an A_n -form $\{f_i: I^{i-1} \times H^i \rightarrow G\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$.
- ▶ This result is basic in the present work.

- ▶ A topological group G is said to be **homotopy commutative** if the Samelson product

$$G \wedge G \rightarrow G, \quad (x, y) \mapsto xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$$

is null-homotopic.

- ▶ Through the isomorphism

$$[G \wedge G, G] \cong [G \wedge G, \Omega BG] \cong [\Sigma G \wedge G, BG],$$

the Samelson product corresponds to the Whitehead product $[\iota, \iota]$ of the inclusion $\iota: \Sigma G \rightarrow BG$.

- ▶ So, G is homotopy commutative if and only if $[\iota, \iota] = 0$.

- ▶ C_n -space in the sense of Williams is defined by some higher homotopy condition using permutohedra P_n .
- ▶ An equivalent condition is as follows: the wedge sum of the inclusion

$$(\Sigma G)^{\vee n} \rightarrow BG$$

extends over the product

$$(\Sigma G)^{\times n} \rightarrow BG.$$

- ▶ This is just the vanishing of the higher Whitehead products.

Higher homotopy commutativity (continued)

- ▶ The higher homotopy commutativity of Lie groups and their p -localizations is well studied. Here is a typical argument to show the non-commutativity.

Example

Let $G = \mathrm{SU}(2) = S^3$ and p an odd prime. Suppose the wedge sum of the inclusion

$$(S^4)^{\vee \frac{p+1}{2}} \rightarrow B\mathrm{SU}(2) = \mathbb{H}P^\infty$$

extends to a map

$$f: (S^4)^{\times \frac{p+1}{2}} \rightarrow B\mathrm{SU}(2).$$

We know $\mathcal{P}^1 c_2 = a c_2^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ with $a \neq 0$ in $H^{2p+2}(B\mathrm{SU}(2); \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then $f^* \mathcal{P}^1 c_2 \neq 0$. But \mathcal{P}^1 must be trivial in $H^*((S^4)^{\times \frac{p+1}{2}}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ by the Cartan formula. This contradicts to $f^* c_2 \neq 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is not p -locally a $C_{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ -space.

- ▶ What about “higher homotopy normality”?

2. Higher homotopy normality

- ▶ In the rest of this talk, let H and G be topological groups of homotopy types of CW complexes.
- ▶ A normal subgroup $H \subset G$ is a subgroup stable under the inner automorphisms.
- ▶ **Crossed module** is a generalization of normal subgroup to non-inclusions.

Definition (MacLane, J.H.C.Whitehead 1940s)

A **(topological) crossed module** consists of homomorphisms $f: H \rightarrow G$ and $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ satisfying the conditions

- ▶ $\rho(f(h))(x) = hxh^{-1}$ for any $x, h \in H$,
- ▶ $f(\rho(g)(x)) = gf(x)g^{-1}$ for any $x \in H$ and $g \in G$.

- ▶ Farjoun and Segev (2010) proved that the Borel construction $EH \times_H G$ of a crossed module $f: H \rightarrow G$ naturally inherits a group structure. This should be considered as “the homotopy quotient group of a homotopically normal subgroup”.

- ▶ $\mathcal{A}_\ell(H, G)$ denotes the space of A_ℓ -maps.
- ▶ $\text{conj}_H: H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, H)$ denotes the conjugation $\text{conj}_H(h)(x) = hxh^{-1}$.

Definition (T.)

A homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if an A_k -map $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, H)$ is given and the following conditions hold:

- ▶ $\rho \circ f$ is homotopic to conj_H as an A_ℓ -map,
 - ▶ the map $* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, G)$, $* \mapsto f$ is A_k -equivariant with respect to the action of G ,
 - ▶ the higher homotopy in the second condition coincides with the first condition on H .
-
- ▶ This is a higher homotopy analogue of crossed module.
 - ▶ $N_1(1)$ -map is equivalent to homotopy normal map introduced by McCarty (1964).
 - ▶ James (1967) defined another homotopy normality which is slightly weaker than McCarty's.

Definition (McCarty 1964)

A homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ is **homotopy normal** (an $N_1(1)$ -map) if there exists a map $\tilde{\gamma}: G \wedge H \rightarrow H$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \wedge H & \xrightarrow{\gamma_H} & H \\ f \wedge \text{id} \downarrow & \exists \tilde{\gamma} \nearrow & \downarrow f \\ G \wedge H & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy and the homotopies compatible with the stationary homotopy of the outer square.

- ▶ If $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map and $k \geq k'$ and $\ell \geq \ell'$, then f is an $N_{k'}(\ell')$ -map.
- ▶ If $f: H \rightarrow G$ is a crossed module, then f is an $N_\infty(\infty)$ -map.
- ▶ The homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow *$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if and only if $\text{conj}_H: H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\ell(H, H)$ is homotopic to the constant map as an A_k -map.
 - ▶ The latter condition is equivalent to being a $C(k, \ell)$ -space (T. 2016), which is a higher homotopy commutativity introduced by Kishimoto and Kono (2010).
 - ▶ $C(\infty, \infty)$ -space and Sugawara C_∞ -space are known to be equivalent. Then we conclude that $H \rightarrow *$ is an $N_\infty(\infty)$ -map if and only if BH is an H -space.
 - ▶ This is analogous to the fact that $H \rightarrow *$ is a crossed module if and only if H is commutative.

3. Results

- ▶ The Borel construction defines the correspondence

$$\text{a } G\text{-space } X \quad \mapsto \quad \text{a fiberwise space } EG \times_G X \rightarrow BG.$$

This provides an “equivalence” between the equivariant homotopy theory and the fiberwise homotopy theory in an appropriate sense.

- ▶ The idea of the main theorem is based on this equivalence.

Theorem (T.)

Let $f: H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism and $F: E_k H \times_H H \rightarrow E_k G \times_G G$ denote the induced map of f . Then f is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if and only if there exists a fiberwise A_ℓ -space $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow B_k G$ and F factors as

$$E_k H \times_H H \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\psi} E_k G \times_G G$$

up to homotopy over $B_k f: B_k H \rightarrow B_k G$ such that the following conditions hold:

- ▶ ϕ covers $B_k f$ and ψ covers the identity on $B_k G$,
 - ▶ ϕ and ψ restrict to A_ℓ -maps on each fiber,
 - ▶ ϕ is a weak homotopy equivalence on each fiber,
 - ▶ the restriction of $\psi \circ \phi$ to the fiber over the basepoint is homotopic to f as an A_ℓ -map.
- ▶ The last for conditions correspond to the compatibility required in the definition of $N_k(\ell)$ -map.

Remark on main theorem

- ▶ Roughly, this theorem states that $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an $N_k(\ell)$ -map if and only if the following “unusual” factorization of $F: E_k H \times_H H \rightarrow E_k G \times_G G$ exists:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \xlongequal{\quad} & H & \xrightarrow{f} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_k H \times_H H & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & E_k G \times_G G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_k H & \xrightarrow{B_k f} & B_k G & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_k G \end{array}$$

Remark on main theorem (continued)

- ▶ The “usual” factorization is as follows. The middle column is induced from the conjugation action of H on G through f .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H & \xrightarrow{f} & G & \xlongequal{\quad} & G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E_k H \times_H H & \longrightarrow & E_k H \times_H G & \longrightarrow & E_k G \times_G G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_k H & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_k H & \xrightarrow{B_k f} & B_k G
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ This factorization is possible for any homomorphism f .

Theorem (T.)

- ▶ Let $f: H \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. Then the Borel construction $X = EH \times_H G$ is an H -space if f is an $N_k(k)$ -map and $\text{cat } X \leq k$.

Example

- ▶ Let $H = K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n - 1)$ and $G = K(\mathbb{Q}, 4n - 1)$. Consider the homomorphism $f: H \rightarrow G$ with classifying map $Bf: K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, 4n)$ corresponding to $u^2 \in H^{4n}(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n); \mathbb{Q})$. Then the Borel construction is

$$EH \times_H G \simeq \text{hofib}(Bf) \simeq S_{(0)}^{2n}.$$

Since $S_{(0)}^{2n}$ does not admit an H -structure and $\text{cat } S_{(0)}^{2n} = 1$, f is not an $N_1(1)$ -map.

- ▶ There have been many results on homotopy normality of Lie groups.
- ▶ (James 1967)
The inclusion $U(m) \rightarrow U(n)$ is not (2-locally) homotopy normal in the sense of James for $1 \leq m < n$. Similar results hold for $O(m) \rightarrow O(n)$ ($2 \leq m < n$) and $Sp(m) \rightarrow Sp(n)$ for $1 \leq m < n$.
- ▶ Other results include: McCarty (1964), James (1971), Kachi (1982), Furukawa (1985), Furukawa (1987), Furukawa (1995), Kudou–Yagita (1998), Kudou–Yagita (2003), Kono–Nishimura (2003), Nishimura (2006), Kishimoto–T. (2018).
- ▶ These results suggest that $H \rightarrow G$ tends to fail to be p -locally homotopy normal for small prime p .

Higher homotopy normality of $SU(m) \rightarrow SU(n)$

- ▶ Main theorem provides an obstruction theory for $N_k(\ell)$ -map applying the **fiberwise projective space** functor.
- ▶ Then, by a typical argument using Steenrod operations as mentioned before, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem (T.)

- ▶ If $\max\{kn - 2, (k - 1)n + 2\} < p \leq kn + 2(\ell - 1)$ for some $n \geq 3$ and $k, \ell \geq 1$, then the inclusion $SU(2) \rightarrow SU(n)$ is not a p -local $N_k(\ell)$ -map.
 - ▶ If $\max\{kn - m, (k - 1)n + 2\} < p \leq kn + (\ell - 2)m$ for some $2 \leq m < n$ and $k, \ell \geq 1$, then the inclusion $SU(m) \rightarrow SU(n)$ is not a p -local $N_k(\ell)$ -map.
-
- ▶ This result is not very sharp. For example, the normality is not determined when $kn + (\ell - 2)m < p < kn + \ell m$.
 - ▶ A similar result is obtained for $SO(2m + 1) \rightarrow SO(2n + 1)$.

- ▶ $N_k(\ell)$ -map is a higher homotopical analogue of crossed module.
- ▶ $N_k(\ell)$ -map is characterized by fiberwise A_ℓ -maps over the k -th projective spaces.
- ▶ The Borel construction $EH \times_H G$ of an $N_k(k)$ -map $f: H \rightarrow G$ is an H -space if $\text{cat } EH \times_H G \leq k$ holds.
- ▶ Fiberwise projective space provides a method to detect obstructions to being $N_k(\ell)$ -maps.

Thank you!