# QUASI-DERIVATION RELATIONS FOR MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES REVISITED

#### MASANOBU KANEKO, HIDEKI MURAHARA, AND TAKUYA MURAKAMI

ABSTRACT. We take another look at the so-called quasi-derivation relations in the theory of multiple zeta values, by giving a certain formula for the quasiderivation operator. In doing so, we are not only able to prove the quasiderivation relations in a simpler manner but also give an analog of the quasiderivation relations for finite multiple zeta values.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The quasi-derivation relations in the theory of multiple zeta values is a generalization, proposed by the first-named author and established by T. Tanaka, of a set of linear relations known as *derivation relations*, which we are first going to recall.

We use Hoffman's algebraic setup ([5]) with a slightly different convention. Let  $\mathfrak{H} := \mathbb{Q} \langle x, y \rangle$  be the noncommutative polynomial algebra in two indeterminates x and y. This was introduced in order to encode multiple zeta values in the way the monomial  $yx^{k_1-1}yx^{k_2-1}\cdots yx^{k_r-1}$  corresponds to the multiple zeta value

$$\zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{0 < n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} n_2^{k_2} \cdots n_r^{k_r}}$$

when  $k_r > 1$ , which is a real number as the limiting value of a convergent series. If we denote by Z the Q-linear map from  $y\mathfrak{H}x$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  assigning each monomial  $yx^{k_1-1}yx^{k_2-1}\cdots yx^{k_r-1}$  to  $\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_r)$ , the derivation relations state that

$$Z(\partial_n(w)) = 0$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $w \in y\mathfrak{H}$ . Here the operator  $\partial_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear derivation on  $\mathfrak{H}$  determined uniquely by  $\partial_n(x) = y(x+y)^{n-1}x$  and  $\partial_n(y) = -y(x+y)^{n-1}x$ . Set z = x + y, so that  $\partial_n(z) = 0$ . We use this repeatedly in the sequel.

In order to introduce the quasi-derivation relations, we first define a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\theta := \theta^{(c)} \colon \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$  with a parameter  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  (we often drop c from the notation) by setting

$$\theta(u) = uz = u(x+y)$$
 for  $u = x, y$ 

and requiring

$$\theta(ww') = \theta(w)w' + w\theta(w') + cH(w)\partial_1(w')$$

for  $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}$ , where H is the Q-linear map from  $\mathfrak{H}$  to itself defined by  $H(w) = \deg(w) \cdot w$  for any monomial  $w \in \mathfrak{H}$  (deg(w) is the degree of w). This is well defined because H is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Now we define the quasi-derivation map  $\partial_n^{(c)}$ . Write  $\mathrm{ad}(\theta)$  the adjoint operator by  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{ad}(\theta)(\partial) := [\theta, \partial] = \theta \partial - \partial \theta$ .

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M32; Secondary 05A19.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Multiple zeta values, Finite multiple zeta values, Derivation relations, Quasi-derivation relations.

**Definition 1.1.** For each positive integer n and any rational number c, we define a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\partial_n^{(c)} : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$  by

$$\partial_n^{(c)} := \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \operatorname{ad}(\theta)^{n-1}(\partial_1).$$

Then the quasi-derivation relations of Tanaka [13] is stated as

$$Z(\partial_n^{(c)}(w)) = 0$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $w \in y\mathfrak{H}x$ . Our aim in this paper is to take another look at this relation, or rather at the operator  $\partial_n^{(c)}$ .

Remark 1.2. 1) We have changed the definition of  $\theta = \theta^{(c)}$  by shifting the original ([8, 13]) by the derivation  $w \to [z, w]/2 = (zw - wz)/2$ . However, we can check that this does not change  $\partial_n^{(c)}(w)$ . Note also that the convention of the order of the product in  $\mathfrak{H}$  there is opposite from ours.

2) As noted in [6], the special case c = 0 gives the original derivation  $\partial_n$ :  $\partial_n = \partial_n^{(0)}$ . This together with works of Connes-Moscovicci [1, 2] motivated us to define  $\partial_n^{(c)}(w)$  in [8].

3) From  $\theta(z^r) = rz^{r+1}$   $(r \ge 1)$  and  $\partial_n(z) = 0$ , we see that  $\partial_n^{(c)}(wz) = \partial_n^{(c)}(w)z$ and  $\partial_n^{(c)}(zw) = z\partial_n^{(c)}(w)$ . We need to use this at several points later.

## 2. Main Theorem

We present a formula for  $\partial_n^{(c)}(w)$  when w is in  $\mathfrak{H}x$ . To describe the formula, we define a product  $\diamond$  on  $\mathfrak{H}$  introduced in Hirose-Murahara-Onozuka [3] by

(1) 
$$w_1 \diamond w_2 := \phi \big( \phi(w_1) \ast \phi(w_2) \big) \quad (w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}),$$

where  $\phi$  is an involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{H}$  determined by

$$\phi(x) = z = x + y$$
 and  $\phi(y) = -y$ ,

and \* is the harmonic product on  $\mathfrak{H}$  (see [5, 4] for the precise definition of \*). This is an associative and commutative binary operation with  $1 \diamond w = w \diamond 1 = w$  for any  $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ . In [3], the definition of  $\diamond$  is given in an inductive manner like the definition of \* in [4]. Later we only use the shuffle-type equality

(2) 
$$xw_1 \diamond yw_2 = x(w_1 \diamond yw_2) + y(xw_1 \diamond w_2),$$

which holds for any  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

We define a specific element  $q_n = q_n^{(c)}$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  for each  $n \ge 1$  as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}^{(c)}$  be the map from  $\mathfrak{H}$  to itself given by

$$\hat{\theta}(w) := \theta(w) + cH(w)y \ (w \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

For each positive integer n, we define

$$q_n := \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \tilde{\theta}^{n-1}(y).$$

We thus have  $q_1 = y$  and  $q_n = \tilde{\theta}(q_{n-1})/(n-1)$  for  $n \ge 2$ .

Note that  $q_n = q_n^{(c)}$  is in  $y\mathfrak{H}$ , as can be seen inductively by the definition. We shall give an explicit formula for  $q_n$  in the next section. Here is our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** For all  $n \ge 1$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have

$$\partial_n^{(c)}(wx) = (w \diamond q_n)x \quad (w \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

Assuming the theorem, it is straightforward to deduce the quasi-derivation relations from Kawashima's relations (strictly speaking, its "linear part"). Recall the linear part of Kawashima's relations [11] asserts that

$$Z(\phi(w_1 * w_2)x) = 0$$

for any  $w_1, w_2 \in y\mathfrak{H}$ . Using this and the definition (1) of  $\diamond$ , we see that

$$Z(\partial_n^{(c)}(ywx)) = Z((yw \diamond q_n)x) = Z(\phi(\phi(yw) \ast \phi(q_n))x) = 0$$

because both  $\phi(yw)$  and  $\phi(q_n)$  are in  $y\mathfrak{H}$ . This is the quasi-derivation relations.

Another immediate corollary to the theorem is the commutativity of the operators  $\partial_n^{(c)}$ , that is,  $\partial_{n_1}^{(c_1)}$  and  $\partial_{n_2}^{(c_2)}$  commute with each other for any  $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . This was proved in [13] but the argument was quite involved. Here we may show

$$[\partial_{n_1}^{(c_1)}, \partial_{n_2}^{(c_2)}](w) = 0$$

first for  $w \in \mathfrak{H}x$  as

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{n_1}^{(c_1)}, \partial_{n_2}^{(c_2)}](wx) &= (\partial_{n_1}^{(c_1)} \partial_{n_2}^{(c_2)} - \partial_{n_2}^{(c_2)} \partial_{n_1}^{(c_1)})(wx) \\ &= ((w \diamond q_{n_2}) \diamond q_{n_1})x - ((w \diamond q_{n_1}) \diamond q_{n_2})x \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

because the product  $\diamond$  is associative and commutative, and then for the general case by induction on the degree of w by noting  $\partial_n^{(c)}(wz) = \partial_n^{(c)}(w)z$  as remarked before.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We need some lemmas. Recall z = x + y.

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}$ , we have

$$zw_1 \diamond w_2 = w_1 \diamond zw_2 = z(w_1 \diamond w_2).$$

*Proof.* This follows from  $\phi(z) = x$ ,  $\phi(x) = z$  and  $xw_1 * w_2 = w_1 * xw_2 = x(w_1 * w_2)$ . See also [3].

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ , we have  $\partial_1(w) = w \diamond y - wy$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on deg(w). The case deg(w) = 0 is obvious because  $\partial_1(1) = 0$ . Suppose deg $(w) \ge 1$ . By linearity, it is enough to prove the equation when w is of the form zw' and xw'. If w = zw', we have, by using the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.3,

$$\partial_1(w) = \partial_1(zw') = z\partial_1(w') = z(w' \diamond y - w'y) = zw' \diamond y - zw'y = w \diamond y - wy.$$
  
When  $w = xw'$ , we similarly compute (using equation (2))

$$\partial_1(w) = \partial_1(xw') = yxw' + x\partial_1(w') = yxw' + x(w' \diamond y - w'y)$$
  
=  $y(xw' \diamond 1) + x(w' \diamond y) - xw'y = xw' \diamond y - xw'y$   
=  $w \diamond y - wy$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** For  $u \in \mathbb{Q}x + \mathbb{Q}y$ , we have

$$\hat{\theta}(uw) = u(\hat{\theta}(w) + zw + c(w \diamond y)).$$

*Proof.* We only need to show the equation for u = x and y. By the definition of  $\theta$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\theta}(uw) &= \theta(uw) + cH(uw)y \\ &= uzw + u\theta(w) + cu\partial_1(w) + cuwy + cuH(w)y \\ &= u\big(\tilde{\theta}(w) + zw + c(\partial_1(w) + wy)\big). \end{split}$$

From Lemma 2.4, we complete the proof.

We need one more preparatory result, which may be of interest in its own right.

**Proposition 2.6.** The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\tilde{\theta}$  is a derivation on  $\mathfrak{H}$  with respect to the product  $\diamond$ , i.e., the equation

(3) 
$$\tilde{\theta}(w_1 \diamond w_2) = \tilde{\theta}(w_1) \diamond w_2 + w_1 \diamond \tilde{\theta}(w_2)$$

holds for any  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $\deg(w_1) + \deg(w_2)$ . The case  $\deg(w_1) + \deg(w_2) = 0$  holds trivially:

$$\tilde{\theta}(1\diamond 1) = \tilde{\theta}(1) = 0 = \tilde{\theta}(1)\diamond 1 + 1\diamond \tilde{\theta}(1).$$

When  $\deg(w_1) + \deg(w_2) \ge 1$ , we first prove when  $w_1$  is of the form  $w_1 = zw'_1$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{\theta}$  and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5, we have

$$\tilde{\theta}(zw_1'\diamond w_2) = \tilde{\theta}(z(w_1'\diamond w_2)) = z\big(\tilde{\theta}(w_1'\diamond w_2) + z(w_1'\diamond w_2) + c(w_1'\diamond w_2\diamond y)\big).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\theta}(zw_1') &\diamond w_2 + zw_1' \diamond \tilde{\theta}(w_2) \\ &= z \big( \tilde{\theta}(w_1') + zw_1' + c(w_1' \diamond y) \big) \diamond w_2 + z \big( w_1' \diamond \tilde{\theta}(w_2) \big) \\ &= z \big( \tilde{\theta}(w_1') \diamond w_2 + w_1' \diamond \tilde{\theta}(w_2) + z(w_1' \diamond w_2) + c(w_1' \diamond w_2 \diamond y) \big). \end{split}$$

Hence by the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$\tilde{\theta}(zw_1' \diamond w_2) = \tilde{\theta}(zw_1') \diamond w_2 + zw_1' \diamond \tilde{\theta}(w_2)$$

Since the binary operator  $\diamond$  is commutative and bilinear, it suffices then to prove equation (3) only in the case where  $w_1 = xw'_1$  and  $w_2 = yw'_2$ . By using equation (2) and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta}(xw'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2}) \\ &= \tilde{\theta} \left( x(w'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2}) + y(xw'_{1} \diamond w'_{2}) \right) \\ &= x \left( \tilde{\theta}(w'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2}) + z(w'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2}) + c(w'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2} \diamond y) \right) \\ &+ y \left( \tilde{\theta}(xw'_{1} \diamond w'_{2}) + z(xw'_{1} \diamond w'_{2}) + c(xw'_{1} \diamond w'_{2} \diamond y) \right) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\theta}(xw'_{1}) &\diamond yw'_{2} + xw'_{1} \diamond \tilde{\theta}(yw'_{2}) \\ &= x \left( \left( \tilde{\theta}(w'_{1}) + zw'_{1} + c(w'_{1} \diamond y) \right) \diamond yw'_{2} \right) + y \left( \tilde{\theta}(xw'_{1}) \diamond w'_{2} \right) \\ &+ x \left( w'_{1} \diamond \tilde{\theta}(yw'_{2}) \right) + y \left( xw'_{1} \diamond \left( \tilde{\theta}(w'_{2}) + zw'_{2} + c(w'_{2} \diamond y) \right) \right) \\ &= x \left( \tilde{\theta}(w'_{1}) \diamond yw'_{2} + w'_{1} \diamond \tilde{\theta}(yw'_{2}) + z(w'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2}) + c(w'_{1} \diamond yw'_{2} \diamond y) \right) \\ &+ y \left( \tilde{\theta}(xw'_{1}) \diamond w'_{2} + xw'_{1} \diamond \tilde{\theta}(w'_{2}) + z(xw'_{1} \diamond w'_{2}) + c(xw'_{1} \diamond w'_{2} \diamond y) \right). \end{split}$$

From these, we see by the induction hypothesis that

$$\tilde{\theta}(xw_1'\diamond yw_2')=\tilde{\theta}(xw_1')\diamond yw_2'+xw_1'\diamond\tilde{\theta}(yw_2')$$

holds.

 $\langle \rangle$ 

Now we prove Theorem 2.2 by induction on n. When n = 1, we have

$$\partial_1^{(c)}(wx) = \partial_1(wx) = \partial_1(w)x + wyx = (\partial_1(w) + wy)x = (w \diamond y)x = (w \diamond q_1)x$$

by Lemma 2.4. When  $n \ge 2$ , we have

$$\partial_n^{(c)}(wx) = \frac{1}{n-1} ad(\theta) (\partial_{n-1}^{(c)})(wx)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \theta \partial_{n-1}^{(c)}(wx) - \partial_{n-1}^{(c)} \theta(wx) \right).$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\theta \partial_{n-1}^{(c)}(wx) = \theta((w \diamond q_{n-1})x)$$
  
=  $\theta(w \diamond q_{n-1})x + (w \diamond q_{n-1})xz + cH(w \diamond q_{n-1})yx$   
=  $\tilde{\theta}(w \diamond q_{n-1})x + (w \diamond q_{n-1})xz$ 

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{n-1}^{(c)} \theta(wx) &= \partial_{n-1}^{(c)} \left( \theta(w)x + wxz + cH(w)yx \right) \\ &= \left( \theta(w) \diamond q_{n-1} \right)x + \left( w \diamond q_{n-1} \right)xz + c(H(w)y \diamond q_{n-1})x \\ &= \left( \tilde{\theta}(w) \diamond q_{n-1} \right)x + \left( w \diamond q_{n-1} \right)xz. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore obtain by Proposition 2.6

$$\partial_n^{(c)}(wx) = \frac{1}{n-1} \big( \tilde{\theta}(w \diamond q_{n-1}) - (\tilde{\theta}(w) \diamond q_{n-1}) \big) x = \frac{1}{n-1} \big( w \diamond \tilde{\theta}(q_{n-1}) \big) x$$
$$= (w \diamond q_n) x,$$

which completes the proof.

## 3. Explicit formula for $q_n$

We now describe the element  $q_n = q_n^{(c)}$  in an explicit manner. For any index  $\boldsymbol{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ , we define  $a(\boldsymbol{l}) = a(l_1, \ldots, l_s) \in \mathbb{Q}$  (or  $\in \mathbb{Z}[c]$  if we view c as a variable) inductively by a(1) := 1 and

$$a(l) := \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left( l_i - 1 - (l_1 + \dots + l_{i-1})c \right) a(l^{(i)}),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{l}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} (l_1, \dots, l_{i-1}, l_{i+1}, \dots, l_s) & \text{if } l_i = 1, \\ (l_1, \dots, l_{i-1}, l_i - 1, l_{i+1}, \dots, l_s) & \text{if } l_i > 1. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

(4) 
$$q_n = -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{|l|=n} a(l) w(l),$$

where the sum runs over all indices  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \ldots, l_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$  of any length s and of weight  $|\mathbf{l}| := l_1 + \cdots + l_s = n$ , and  $w(\mathbf{l}) = \phi(yx^{l_1-1} \cdots yx^{l_s-1}) = (-1)^s yz^{l_1-1} \cdots yz^{l_s-1}$ .

5

*Proof.* Let  $q'_n$  denote the right-hand side of (4). We prove (4) by induction on n. When n = 1, we easily see  $q'_1 = y$ .

Suppose  $n \ge 2$ . We want to show that  $q'_n = \tilde{\theta}(q'_{n-1})/(n-1)$ . Since  $\theta(z^m) = mz^{m+1}$  and  $\partial_1(z) = 0$ , we have

$$\theta(yz^{k-1}) = yz^k + (k-1)yz^k = kyz^k,$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \theta(yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_{j-1}-1} \cdot k_j yz^{k_j} \cdot yz^{k_{j+1}-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1} \\ &+ c \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} yz^{k_1-1}\cdots H(yz^{k_i-1})\cdots \partial_1(yz^{k_j-1})\cdots yz^{k_r-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r k_j yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_{j-1}-1}yz^{k_j}yz^{k_{j+1}-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1} \\ &- c \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} yz^{k_1-1}\cdots (k_iyz^{k_i-1})\cdots y(z-y)z^{k_j-1}yz^{k_{j+1}-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r k_j yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_{j-1}-1}yz^{k_j}yz^{k_{j+1}-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1} \\ &- c \sum_{j=2}^r (k_1+\cdots+k_{j-1})yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_{j-1}-1}y(z-y)z^{k_j-1}yz^{k_{j+1}-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1}. \end{split}$$

Since  $cH(yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1})y = c(k_1+\cdots+k_r)yz^{k_1-1}\cdots yz^{k_r-1}y$ , we finally obtain for  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ 

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\theta}(w(\boldsymbol{k})) &= (-1)^r \tilde{\theta}(yz^{k_1-1} \cdots yz^{k_r-1}) \\ &= (-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^r (k_j - c(k_1 + \cdots + k_{j-1})) yz^{k_1-1} \cdots yz^{k_{j-1}-1} yz^{k_j} yz^{k_{j+1}-1} \cdots yz^{k_r-1} \\ &- (-1)^{r+1} c \sum_{j=1}^r (k_1 + \cdots + k_j) yz^{k_1-1} \cdots yz^{k_j-1} \cdot y \cdot yz^{k_{j+1}-1} \cdots yz^{k_r-1}. \end{split}$$

If we write

$$\tilde{\theta}(q'_{n-1}) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \sum_{|l|=n} a'(l)w(l),$$

we see from this that the coefficient a'(l) of  $w(l) = (-1)^s y z^{l_1-1} \cdots y z^{l_s-1}$  is given exactly by a(l) as defined recursively.

### 4. QUASI-DERIVATION RELATIONS FOR FINITE MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

In this section, we briefly discuss how the quasi-derivation relations look like for "finite" multiple zeta values. There are two versions, denoted  $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$  and  $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(k_1, \ldots, k_r)$ , of "finite" analogues of multiple zeta values. The former lives in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} := \prod_p \mathbb{F}_p / \bigoplus_p \mathbb{F}_p$  and the latter the quotient  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of classical multiple zeta values modulo the ideal generated by  $\zeta(2)$ . It is conjectured that

the two versions satisfy completely the same relations, and there is a conjectural isomorphism between two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras generated by those two versions. For more on finite multiple zeta values, see for instance [9].

Denote by  $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$  the Q-linear map from  $y\mathfrak{H}$  to either algebra assigning the monomial  $yx^{k_1-1}\cdots yx^{k_r-1}$  to  $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1,\ldots,k_r)$  or  $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(k_1,\ldots,k_r)$ . Then the derivation relations for finite multiple zeta values established by the second-named author [12] is the relation

(5) 
$$Z_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial_n(w)x^{-1}) = 0$$

that holds for all  $w \in y\mathfrak{H}x$ .

As a consequence of our Theorem 2.2, we have the following.

**Theorem 4.1** (Quasi-derivation relations for finite multiple zeta values). For all  $n \ge 1$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have

$$Z_{\mathcal{F}}(\partial_n^{(c)}(w)x^{-1}) = Z_{\mathcal{F}}(wx^{-1})Z_{\mathcal{F}}(q_n^{(c)}) \quad (w \in y\mathfrak{H}x).$$

*Proof.* This is almost immediate from Theorem 2.2 if one notes  $Z_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \phi = Z_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a \*-homomorphism (for these, see [7, 9, 10]).

Remark 4.2. When c = 0, we can easily compute that  $q_n^{(0)} = yz^{n-1}$ . Since  $Z_{\mathcal{F}}(yz^{n-1}) = Z_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi(yz^{n-1})) = -Z_{\mathcal{F}}(yx^{n-1}) = -\zeta_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = 0$  for  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}$  or S, we see that Theorem 4.1 generalizes the derivation relations (5).

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP16H06336.

#### References

- A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Modular Hecke algebras and their Hopf symmetry, Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), 67–109.
- [2] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Rankin Cohen brackets and the Hopf algebra of transverse geometry, Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), 111–130.
- [3] M. Hirose, H. Murahara, and T. Onozuka, Q-linear relations of specific families of multiple zeta values and the linear part of Kawashima's relation, preprint.
- [4] M. Hirose and N. Sato, Algebraic differential formulas for the shuffle, stuffle and duality relations of iterated integrals, preprint.
- [5] M. E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, J. Algebra 194 (1997), 477–495.
- [6] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, Compositio Math. 142 (2006), 307–338.
- [7] D. Jarossay, Double mélange des multizêtas finis et multizêtas symétrisés, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 352 (2014), 767–771.
- [8] M. Kaneko, On an extension of the derivation relation for multiple zeta values, The Conference on L-Functions, 89–94, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2007).
- [9] M. Kaneko, An introduction to classical and finite multiple zeta values, Publications mathématiques de Besançon. Algèbre et théorie des nombres (to appear).
- [10] M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Finite multiple zeta values*, in preparation.
- [11] G. Kawashima, A class of relations among multiple zeta values, J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 755–788.
- [12] H. Murahara, Derivation relations for finite multiple zeta values, Int. J. Number Theory 13 (2017), 419–427.
- [13] T. Tanaka, On the quasi-derivation relation for multiple zeta values, J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 2021–2034.

 (Masanobu Kaneko) Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University 744, Motooka, Nishiku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan

*E-mail address*: mkaneko@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

(Hideki Murahara) Nakamura Gakuen University Graduate School, 5-7-1, Befu, Jonan-Ku, Fukuoka, 814-0198, Japan

*E-mail address*: hmurahara@nakamura-u.ac.jp

(Takuya Murakami) Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, 744, Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{tak\_mrkm@icloud.com}$ 

8