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#### Abstract

To clarify the method behind [11], a generalisation of Berstein-Hilton Hopf invariants is defined as 'higher Hopf invariants'. They detect the higher homotopy associativity of Hopf spaces and are studied as obstructions not to increase the LS category by one by attaching a cone. Under a condition between dimension and LS category, a criterion for Ganea's conjecture on LS category is obtained using the generalised higher Hopf invariants, which yields the main result of [11] for all the cases except the case when $p=2$. As an application, conditions in terms of homotopy invariants of the characteristic maps are given to determine the LS category of sphere-bundles-over-spheres. Consequently, a closed manifold $M$ is found not to satisfy Ganea's conjecture on LS category and another closed manifold $N$ is found to have the same LS category as its 'punctured submanifold' $N-\{P\}, P \in N$. But all examples obtained here support the conjecture in [11].


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, each space is assumed to have the homotopy type of a CW complex. The LS category of $X$ is the least number $m$ such that there is a covering of $X$ by $m+1$ open subsets each of which is contractible in $X$, which is (by Whitehead [27]) the least number $m$ such that the diagonal map $\Delta_{m+1}: X \rightarrow X^{m+1}$ can be compressed into the 'fat wedge' $T^{m+1}(X)$ or $X^{[m+1]}$. Hence cat $\{*\}=0$.

As is well-known, the LS category of a product space cat $X \times S^{n}$ is either cat $X$ or cat $X+1$. A problem was posed by Ganea in [6]: Can only the latter case occur for any $X$ and $n \geq 1$ ?

[^0]The affirmative answer had been supposed to be true and came to be known as 'Ganea's conjecture' (see [8]) or 'the Ganea conjecture' (see [15]). A major advance in this subject was made by Jessup [16] and Hess [8] working in the rational category: the rational version of the conjecture is true for $n \geq 2$. Also by Singhof [20] and Rudyak [18], [19], the conjecture is true for a large class of manifolds.

However in June 1997, the author found a counter example (see [10]), in an effort to provide a criterion for establishing the conjecture (which is given in this paper as Theorems 3.8, 3.9 and Corollary 3.10.2), using properties of higher Hopf invariants (see [12]) and fibrations associated with the $A_{\infty}$-structure of $\Omega X$ (see Sugawara [23], [24], Stasheff [22] and Iwase-Mimura [13]). The author knows that Don Stanley was trying to find out a counter example using the ordinary James-Hopf invariants, and also Lucile Vandembroucq [26] obtained a related result on a sufficient condition to Ganea's conjecture at about the same time. The author also knows that soon after [12], the higher Hopf invariants were begun to be studied by Stanley (see [21]).

This paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, to clarify the method behind [11], a generalisation of the Berstein-Hilton Hopf invariants is defined with its related invariants to detect the higher homotopy associativity of a Hopf space. In Section 3, under a condition between dimension and LS category, some conjectures on LS category are verified by using fibrations associated with the $A_{\infty}$-structure of a loop space. In Section 4, a result of Boardman-Steer is generalised to give a sufficient condition to determine LS category in terms of a generalised version of the Berstein-Hilton crude Hopf invariants. In Section 5, the relation between a homology decomposition and LS category of (product) spaces is shown, by extending a result of Curjel [4]. In Section 6, generalising the main result of [11] for all the cases except the case when $p=2$, some more examples are obtained by the properties of the higher Hopf invariants given in Section 2. In Section 7, we give some conditions to determine the LS category of sphere-bundles-over-spheres. Using it, we construct, in Section 8, an orientable closed manifold $N_{p}$, for each prime $p \geq 5$, with the LS category same as its 'punctured submanifold' $N_{p}-\{P\}$, $P \in N_{p}$. Also another orientable closed manifold $M$ is constructed as a counter example to Ganea's conjecture.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Ioan James for giving an attractive lecture on LS category at the University of Aberdeen which inspired him to consider LS category again, John Hubbuck, Yuly Rudyak, Kouyemon Iriye, Donald Stanley, Daniel Tanré, Octavian Cornea, Hans Scheerer and Hans Baues for valuable conversations and encouragement which helped to
organise his thoughts, the University of Aberdeen for its hospitality during the author's stay in 1997, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for its hospitality during the author's stay in 2000 and the members of the Graduate School of Mathematics Kyushu University for allowing him to be away for a long term, without which this work could not be done.

## 2 Projective spaces and higher Hopf invariants

In this section, we introduce a generalised version of the Berstein-Hilton Hopf invariant (see [2]), a higher Hopf invariant for short, in terms of projective spaces associated with the $A_{\infty^{-}}$ structure of a loop space, to detect the higher homotopy associativity, or the $A_{m}$-structure of a Hopf space (see Example 2.7 and Conjecture 2.8). We also show that a higher Hopf invariant gives the obstruction for increasing the LS category by one by attaching a cone, as in [11].

For a given space, its loop space is an $A_{\infty}$-space with the given space as its $A_{\infty}$-structure. More precisely, every space $X$ has a filtration given by the projective spaces $P^{m}(\Omega X)$ of its loop space $\Omega X$. There is a ladder of Stasheff's fibrations $E^{m+1}(\Omega X) \xrightarrow{p_{m}^{\Omega X}} P^{m}(\Omega X)$ with the fibre $\Omega X$ contractible in $E^{m+1}(\Omega X)$, if $m \geq 1$. The total space $E^{m+1}(\Omega X)$ has the homotopy type of the $m+1$-fold unreduced join of $\Omega X$ which is denoted by $\bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega X)$ and the base space $P^{m+1}(\Omega X)$ has the homotopy type of the mapping cone of $p_{m}^{\Omega X}, m \geq 0$ : the fibration is induced by the inclusion $e_{m}^{X}: P^{m}(\Omega X) \rightarrow P^{\infty}(\Omega X)$ from the universal fibration $E^{\infty}(\Omega X) \xrightarrow{p_{\infty}^{\Omega X}} P^{\infty}(\Omega X)$ with contractible total space. (see [22] for details.)

Theorem 2.1 (Ganea) Let $X$ be a connected $C W$ complex. Then cat $X \leq m$ if and only if the inclusion $e_{m}^{X}: P^{m}(\Omega X) \subset P^{\infty}(\Omega X) \simeq X$ has a right homotopy inverse (homotopy section).

This result enables us to define local versions of cat, e.g, cat ${ }_{p}$ is defined in [11], for a prime $p$, as the least number $m$ such that $e_{m}^{X}: P^{m}(\Omega X) \subset P^{\infty}(\Omega X) \simeq X$ has a homotopy section at $p$, e.g. $\operatorname{cat}_{p} S_{(p)}^{1}=2$ while cat $S^{1}=1$. We remark that in some of the literature, the composition functor $P^{m} \circ \Omega$ is abbreviated as $G_{m}$, the 'Ganea space' functor. We use the following fact.

Fact 2.2 ([11]) Let $X$ be a connected $C W$ complex with cat $X=m$. Then cat $X \times S^{n}=m$ if and only if $\left(e_{m}^{X} \times 1_{S^{n}}\right) \mid: P^{m}(\Omega X) \times\{*\} \cup P^{m-1}(\Omega X) \times S^{n} \subset P^{\infty}(\Omega X) \times S^{n} \simeq X \times S^{n}$ has a homotopy section.

In [11], a conjecture was posed instead of Ganea's conjecture (Conjecture 1.4 in [11]):

Conjecture 2.3 ([11]) For any space $X$, there exists an integer $n(X), 1 \leq n(X) \leq \infty$, such that cat $X \times S^{n}$ is equal to cat $X+1$, if $n<n(X)$; cat $X$, if $n \geq n(X)$.

When $V$ is the suspension of a co-H-space, say $V=\Sigma V_{0}$ with $V_{0}$ a co-H-space, we fix a canonical structure map $\sigma(V)=\Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(1_{V}\right): V \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega V=P^{1}(\Omega V)$ for cat $V \leq 1$, i.e. a (homotopy) section of the evaluation map $e_{1}^{V}$, where $\operatorname{ad}\left(1_{V}\right): V_{0} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma V_{0}$ is the adjoint of the identity. Then $\sigma(V)$ gives a homotopy commutative and homotopy associative co- H -structure on $V$. In this section, we fix a non-contractible co-H-space $V$ with right and left inversion (e.g, $V$ is a suspension space), together with a structure map $\sigma(V): V \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega V$ for cat $V=1$. For any given $m \geq 1$, we often regard $P^{m}(\Omega V)$ as the target of $\sigma(V)$, since $\Sigma \Omega V=P^{1}(\Omega V) \subset P^{m}(\Omega V)$.

Definition 2.4 Let $X$ be a space with cat $X \leq m, m \geq 1$. For a choice of the homotopy section $\sigma(X): X \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)(m \geq 1)$, we define a higher Hopf invariant as

$$
H_{m}=H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}:[V, X] \rightarrow\left[V, E^{m+1}(\Omega X)\right],
$$

which is a homomorphism when $V$ is homotopy associative and homotopy commutative: For a map $f: V \rightarrow X$, the difference between $\sigma(X) \circ f$ and $P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)$, in the algebraic loop $\left[V, P^{m}(\Omega X)\right]$, is given by a map $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f): V \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$ so that $\sigma(X) \circ f+d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \sim$ $P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)$. Since $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$ vanishes in $\left[V, P^{\infty}(\Omega X)\right] \cong[V, X]$, it has a unique lift $H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$ : $V \rightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega X)$ to the total space of Stasheff's fibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega X \hookrightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega X) \xrightarrow{p_{m}^{\Omega X}} P^{m}(\Omega X) \xrightarrow{e_{m}^{X}} X, \quad m \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.5 1. When $V$ is a homotopy associative co-H-space, $\sigma(V)$ is a co-H-map by Theorem 2.2 of Ganea [5]. Hence a simple calculation shows that $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}$ and $H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}$ are homomorphisms, if $V$ is a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative co- $H$-space.
2. By Berstein-Dror [1], a homotopy associative co-H-space admits another homotopy associative co-H-structure which has right and left inversions.
3. When $V$ is a Moore space of type $(A, n), H_{m}$ can be regarded as the Berstein-Hilton Hopf invariant $H: \pi_{n}(X ; A) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(X^{m+1}, T^{m+1} X ; A\right)$ (see [2]), since $\pi_{n}\left(X^{m+1}, T^{m+1} X ; A\right)=$ $\pi_{n}\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega X) ; A\right)$ by Ganea (see the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [11]).
4. When $V=\Sigma V_{0}$ is a suspension space, a map $f: V \rightarrow X$ factors through $\Sigma \Omega X$ as $f=$ $e_{1}^{X} \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}(f)$, where $e_{1}^{X}$ denotes the evaluation map and $\operatorname{ad}(f)=\Omega f \circ \operatorname{ad}\left(1_{V}\right): V_{0} \rightarrow \Omega X$
is the adjoint of $f$. Then the higher Hopf invariant $H_{m}^{\sigma(V)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right)$ of $e_{1}^{X}: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ has a kind of 'universality': $H_{m}^{\sigma(V)}(f)=H_{m}^{\sigma(\Sigma \Omega X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}(f)$ (see Corollary 2.11.1). So we call $H_{m}^{\sigma(\Sigma \Omega X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right)$ the universal Hopf invariant for $X$ and $m \geq$ cat $X$.
5. If $X$ is a suspension space, say $X=\Sigma Y$, then we have $P^{1}(\Omega X)=\Sigma \Omega X=\Sigma J(Y) \simeq$ $\Sigma Y \vee \Sigma^{2} \wedge Y \vee \Sigma^{3} \wedge Y \vee \ldots$. Let us recall that $H_{1}^{\sigma(X)}$ is uniquely determined by $d_{1}^{\sigma(X)}$, whose projection to $\Sigma^{j} \wedge$ gives exactly the $j$-th James Hopf invariant $h_{j}, j \geq 2$. Thus we may regard $H_{1}$ as the collection of all James Hopf invariants $h_{j}, j \geq 2$.

The above definition of a higher Hopf invariant also allows us to define a generalisation of the Berstein-Hilton crude Hopf invariant as follows.

## Definition 2.6

$$
\bar{H}_{m}=\bar{H}_{m}^{\sigma(X)}:[V, X] \xrightarrow{H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}}\left[V, E^{m+1}(\Omega X)\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\Sigma h_{m+1_{*}}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma}\left[\Sigma V, \wedge^{m+1} \Sigma \Omega X\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{X}\right)_{*}}\left[\Sigma V, \wedge^{m+1} X\right],
$$

where $e_{1}^{X}$ is the evaluation map and $h_{m+1}^{X}: E^{m+1}(\Omega X) \rightarrow \bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega X) \simeq \Omega X \wedge\left(\wedge^{m} \Sigma \Omega X\right)$ denotes the natural homotopy equivalence (see Stasheff [22]).

Example 2.7 For an $A_{m}$-space $G$ in the sense of Stasheff [22], the adjoint of the inclusion $\iota_{1, m}^{G}$ : $\Sigma G \hookrightarrow P^{m}(G)$ is an $A_{m}$-map $\operatorname{ad}\left(\iota_{1, m}^{G}\right): G \hookrightarrow \Omega P^{m}(G)$ whose $A_{m}$-structure map $P^{m}\left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\iota_{1, m}^{G}\right)\right)$ : $P^{m}(G) \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega P^{m}(G)\right)$ is given by a splitting of $e_{m}^{P^{m}(G)}: P^{m}\left(\Omega P^{m}(G)\right) \rightarrow P^{m}(G)$ (see [13]). By putting $X=P^{m}(G), V=\bar{E}^{m+1}(G)$ and $\sigma(X)=P^{m}\left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\iota_{1, m}^{G}\right)\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}=H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}:\left[\bar{E}^{m+1}(G), P^{m}(G)\right] \rightarrow\left[\bar{E}^{m+1}(G), \bar{E}^{m+1}\left(\Omega P^{m}(G)\right)\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $\left[\bar{E}^{m+1}(G), \bar{E}^{m+1}\left(\Omega P^{m}(G)\right)\right]$ contains the image of $1=1_{\bar{E}^{m+1}(G)}$ under the homomorphism $\operatorname{ad}\left(\iota_{1, m}^{G}\right)_{*}:\left[\bar{E}^{m+1}(G), \bar{E}^{m+1}(G)\right] \rightarrow\left[\bar{E}^{m+1}(G), \bar{E}^{m+1}\left(\Omega P^{m}(G)\right)\right]$. As is clearly seen, if $G$ is an $A_{m+1}$-space, then there is a 'higher Hopf invariant one' element, i.e. there is a map $f: \bar{E}^{m+1}(G) \rightarrow P^{m}(G)$ such that $H_{m}(f)$ is the image of 1 as mentioned above. The converse is also verified when $G$ is a sphere: Let $f: \bar{E}^{m+1}(G) \rightarrow P^{m}(G)$ be a 'higher Hopf invariant one' element. Then an easy calculation of the Serre spectral sequence shows that the fibre of $f$ has the homotopy type of the sphere $G$. Thus $G$ must be an $A_{m+1}$-space (see [22]).

This suggests the following conjecture.
Conjecture 2.8 An $A_{m}$-space $G$ has an $A_{m+1}$-structure extending the given $A_{m}$-structure if and only if there is a map $f: \bar{E}^{m+1}(G) \rightarrow P^{m}(G)$ with 'higher Hopf invariant one', where $P^{m}(G)$ is the $G$-projective m-space associated with the $A_{m}$-structure in the sense of Stasheff.

We bring this higher Hopf invariant $H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}$ into stable homotopy theory:
Definition 2.9 We define a stabilised higher Hopf invariant as

$$
\mathcal{H}_{m}=\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\sigma(X)}:[V, X] \xrightarrow{H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}}\left[V, E^{m+1}(\Omega X)\right] \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty}}\left\{V, E^{m+1}(\Omega X)\right\}
$$

and the stabilised crude higher Hopf invariant as

$$
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{m}=\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{m}^{\sigma(X)}:[V, X] \xrightarrow{\bar{H}_{m}^{\sigma(X)}}\left[\Sigma V, \wedge^{m+1} X\right] \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty}}\left\{\Sigma V, \wedge^{m+1} X\right\} .
$$

These definitions of higher Hopf invariants depend on the choice of the structure map $\sigma(X)$. So it might be useful to define the following set-valued functions.

## Definition 2.10

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{m}^{S}(f)=\left\{H_{m}^{\sigma_{,}(X)}(f) \mid \sigma(X) \text { is a structure map for cat } X=m\right\} \subset\left[V, E^{m+1}(\Omega X)\right], \\
& \bar{H}_{m}^{S}(f)=\left\{\bar{H}_{m}^{\sigma_{( }(X)}(f) \mid \sigma(X) \text { is a structure map for cat } X=m\right\} \subset\left[\Sigma V, \wedge^{m+1} X\right], \\
& \mathcal{H}_{m}^{S}(f)=\left\{\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\sigma_{\sigma}(X)}(f) \mid \sigma(X) \text { is a structure map for cat } X=m\right\} \subset\left\{V, E^{m+1}(\Omega X)\right\} \\
& \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{m}^{S}(f)=\left\{\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{m}^{\sigma_{( }(X)}(f) \mid \sigma(X) \text { is a structure map for cat } X=m\right\} \subset\left\{\Sigma V, \wedge^{m+1} X\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We show the fundamental properties of higher Hopf invariants.

Proposition 2.11 Let $V, X$ and $f$ be as above. Then the following two statements hold.
(1) Let $V^{\prime}$ be the suspension space of a co-H-space. If $g: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ is a co-H-map (or equivalently, $P^{1}(\Omega g) \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right) \sim \sigma(V) \circ g$ in $\left.P^{1}(\Omega V)\right)$, then $H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f \circ g) \sim H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ g$.
(2) Let $X^{\prime}$ be a space of $L S$ category $\leq m$ with a structure map $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. If $h: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is mprimitive in the sense of Berstein-Hilton [2] (or equivalently, $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right) \circ h \sim P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ \sigma(X)$ ), then $H_{m}^{\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right)}(h \circ f) \sim E^{m+1}(\Omega h) \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$.

Corollary 2.11.1 For any map $f: V \rightarrow X$, we have the following homotopy relation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \sim H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \Omega(f) \circ \sigma(V) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.12 The statement (2) in Proposition 2.11 is a generalisation of Proposition 3.2 in Berstein-Hilton [2].

Proof of Proposition 2.11. Firstly we show (1): Let $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$ be the difference between $\sigma(X) \circ f$ and $P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)$, in the algebraic loop $\left[V, P^{m}(\Omega X)\right]$. Since $g$ is a co-H-map, we obtain the following equation up to homotopy:

$$
\sigma(X) \circ(f \circ g)+d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ g \sim\left\{(\sigma(X) \circ f)+d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)\right\} \circ g \sim P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V) \circ g
$$

Again, since $g$ is a co-H-map, $P^{m}(\Omega g) \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right) \sim \sigma(V) \circ g$, and hence we proceed as

$$
\sigma(X) \circ(f \circ g)+d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ g \sim P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ P^{m}(\Omega g) \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right)=P^{m}(\Omega(f \circ g)) \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right)
$$

This implies the difference between $\sigma(X) \circ(f \circ g)$ and $P^{m}(\Omega(f \circ g)) \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ is given by $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f \circ g) \sim$ $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ g$, and hence $H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f \circ g) \sim H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ g$.

Secondly we show (2): Let $d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$ be the difference between $\sigma(X) \circ f$ and $P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)$. Since $h$ is an $m$-primitive map, it follows that $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right) \circ h \sim P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ \sigma(X)$. Hence we obtain the following equation up to homotopy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right) \circ(h \circ f)+P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \sim P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ\left\{\sigma(X) \circ f+d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)\right\} \\
& \quad \sim P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)=P^{m}(\Omega(h \circ f)) \circ \sigma(V) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the difference between $\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right) \circ(h \circ f)$ and $P^{m}(\Omega(h \circ f)) \circ \sigma(V)$ is given by $d_{m}^{\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right)}(h \circ f) \sim$ $P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ d_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$, and hence $H_{m}^{\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right)}(h \circ f) \sim P^{m}(\Omega h) \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$.

## 3 Higher Hopf invariant and LS category

In the remainder of this paper, we always assume that $m \geq 1$ and $V=\Sigma V_{0}$ a suspension space and we fix the structure map $\sigma(V)=\Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(1_{V}\right)$, unless otherwise stated. We begin this section with the following results by James [14] and by Berstein and Hilton (see Proposition 2.5 in [2]).

Proposition 3.1 (James) Let a $C W$ complex $X$ be ( $d-1$ )-connected, $d \geq 2$. Then the following inequality holds: $\operatorname{dim} X \geq d \cdot \operatorname{cat} X$.

Proposition 3.2 (Berstein-Hilton) Let a $C W$ complex $X$ be ( $d-1$ )-connected, $d \geq 2$. If $\operatorname{dim} X \leq d m+d-2$, then a structure map $\sigma(X): X \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$ for cat $X \leq m$ is determined uniquely up to homotopy. In particular, when $X$ is simply connected and $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 2$ cat $X$, the structure map $\sigma(X): X \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$ for cat $X \leq m$ is determined uniquely up to homotopy.

Proposition 3.1 implies that quite a few complexes satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 3.2. Such spaces satisfy the uniqueness of higher Hopf invariants as follows:

Corollary 3.2.1 Let $C W$ complexes $V$ and $X$ be (e-1)-connected and (d-1)-connected resp., $d, e \geq 2$ with $\operatorname{dim} X \leq d m+d-2$, cat $X \leq m$. Then the higher Hopf invariant $H_{m}=H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}$ is uniquely determined.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, the hypothesis on $\operatorname{dim} X$ and cat $X$ implies that the structure map $\sigma(X)$ for cat $X \leq m$ is uniquely determined. By the definition of the higher Hopf invariant $H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}, H_{m}=H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}$ is uniquely determined.
$Q E D$.
We describe the relationship between the higher Hopf invariant and the LS category:

Proposition 3.3 For a given structure map $\sigma(X)$ for cat $X=m$ and a given map $f: V \rightarrow X$, let $W$ be the mapping cone of $f$. Then the following diagram without the dotted arrows commutes up to homotopy.

where $i: X \hookrightarrow W$ and $\iota_{m}^{\Omega W}: P^{m}(\Omega W) \rightarrow P^{m+1}(\Omega W)$ denote the inclusions.

Proof. Let us recall that $H_{m}(f)$ is given by the unique lift of the difference between $\sigma(X) \circ f$ and $P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)$ in the group $\left[V, P^{m}(\Omega X)\right]$. Hence the composition $P^{m}(\Omega i) \circ p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}(f)$ gives the difference between $P^{m}(\Omega i) \circ \sigma(X) \circ f$ and $P^{m}(\Omega i) \circ P^{m}(\Omega f) \circ \sigma(V)=P^{m}(\Omega(i \circ f)) \circ \sigma(V) \sim 0$. Thus we obtain the commutativity of the diagram.
$Q E D$.

Remark 3.4 By the homotopy commutativity of the left rectangle of the diagram, there is a map $\sigma^{\prime}(W): W \rightarrow P^{m+1}(\Omega W)$ making the diagram commutative, which is given by the homotopy deforming $P^{m}(\Omega i) \circ \sigma(X) \circ f$ to $p_{m}^{\Omega W} \circ E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f)$ in $P^{m}(\Omega W)$ and by the map $\chi_{p_{m}^{\Omega W}} \circ C\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f)\right)$ in $P^{m+1}(\Omega W)$, where we denote by $\chi_{f}:(C(V), V) \rightarrow(W, X)$ the relative homeomorphism and by $C$ the functor taking cones, since both top and bottom rows except for the map $e_{m+1}^{W}$ are cofibration sequences.

Now we discuss the naturality of $\sigma^{\prime}(W)$ which is determined as above. A suspension map $g=\Sigma g_{0}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ between suspension spaces gives the equality

$$
\sigma(V) \circ g=\Sigma \Omega g \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right)
$$

For maps $f: V \rightarrow X$ and $f^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, we have their (reduced) cofibres $i: X \hookrightarrow W=$ $\{*\} \cup[0,1] \times V \cup_{f} X$ and $i^{\prime}: X \hookrightarrow W^{\prime}=\{*\} \cup[0,1] \times V^{\prime} \cup_{f^{\prime}} X$ with null-homotopies $F: 0 \sim i \circ f$ and $F^{\prime}: 0 \sim i^{\prime} \circ f^{\prime}$ :

$$
F(t, v)=t \wedge v, \quad F^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=t^{\prime} \wedge v^{\prime}, \quad \text { for } v \in V, v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime} \text { and } t, t^{\prime} \in[0,1] .
$$

The following lemma will be applied in Section 8.

Lemma 3.5 Let $g: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ be a suspension map between suspension spaces. If $f^{\prime} \sim f \circ g$ with a homotopy $L:[0,1] \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow X$, there is a map $\tilde{L}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow W$ extending $i: X \rightarrow W$. Moreover there is a homotopy $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \circ \tilde{L} \sim P^{m+1}(\Omega \tilde{L}) \circ \sigma^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ relative to $X$, where $\sigma^{\prime}(W)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ are as in Remark 3.4 using $H_{m}(f)$ and $H_{m}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, resp.

Proof. Let $V=\Sigma V_{0}$ and $V^{\prime}=\Sigma V_{0}^{\prime}$ and let $g=\Sigma g_{0}, g_{0}: V_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{0}$. We define $\tilde{L}$ by the following equation.

$$
\tilde{L}(x)=x, \quad \tilde{L}\left(t \wedge v^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(2 t) \wedge g\left(v^{\prime}\right) \in W, \quad t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
L\left(2-2 t, v^{\prime}\right) \in X \subset W, \quad t \geq \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $x \in X$ and $\left(t, v^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1] \times V^{\prime}$. Let us consider the following diagram of homotopies:

where $c(-)$ denotes a constant homotopy and $\iota_{X}: P^{1}(\Omega X) \hookrightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X), \iota_{V}: P^{1}(\Omega V) \hookrightarrow$ $P^{m}(\Omega V)$ and $\iota_{V^{\prime}}: P^{1}\left(\Omega V^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega V^{\prime}\right)$ are inclusions. The homotopy commutativities of $(C)$ and $(E)$ are trivial.

To show the homotopy commutativity of $(A)$, we define a map $\theta_{A}:[0,1] \times[0,1] \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow W$.

$$
\theta_{A}\left(s, t, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L\left(s,\left(\frac{2 u}{2-t}\right) \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in W, \quad u \leq \frac{2-t}{2} \\
* \in X \subset W, \quad u \geq \frac{2-t}{2}
\end{array} \quad \text { for } s, t \in[0,1] \text { and } u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime} \in V^{\prime} .\right.
$$

We have $\theta_{A}\left(s, 0, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=L\left(s, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right), \theta_{A}\left(s, 1, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=(L-c(0))\left(s, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and that $\theta_{A}\left(0, t, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta_{A}\left(1, t, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ give canonical homotopies from $f^{\prime}\left(u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $f \circ g\left(u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=f\left(u \wedge g_{0}\left(v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ to $\left(f^{\prime}-0\right)\left(u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $(f \circ g-0)\left(u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=(f-0)\left(u \wedge g_{0}\left(v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus $\theta_{A}$ gives a homotopy

where the upper and lower rows are given by $t=0$ and $t=1$ and the left and right columns are given by $s=0$ and $s=1$. By applying the composition with $P^{m}(\Omega i) \circ \sigma(X)$ from the left, we get the homotopy commutativity of $(A)$.

To show the homotopy commutativity of $(B)$, we define a map $\theta_{B}:[0,1] \times[0,1] \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow W$.

$$
\theta_{B}\left(s, t, v^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{2 t}{1+s}\right) \wedge g\left(v^{\prime}\right) \in W, \quad t \leq \frac{1+s}{2} \\
L\left(2+s-2 t, v^{\prime}\right) \in X \subset W, \quad t \geq \frac{1+s}{2}
\end{array} \quad \text { for } s, t \in[0,1] \text { and } v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime} .\right.
$$

We have $\theta_{B}\left(s, 0, v^{\prime}\right)=*, \theta_{B}\left(0, t, v^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{L} \circ F^{\prime}\left(t, v^{\prime}\right), \theta_{B}\left(s, 1, v^{\prime}\right)=i \circ L\left(s, v^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta_{B}\left(1, t, v^{\prime}\right)=$ $F\left(t, g\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus $\theta_{B}$ gives a homotopy

where the upper and lower rows are given by $t=0$ and $t=1$ and the left and right columns are given by $s=0$ and $s=1$. By applying the composition with $\iota_{V^{\prime}} \circ \sigma\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ from the right, we get the homotopy commutativity of $(B)$.

To show the homotopy commutativity of $(D)$, we fix a homotopy

$$
\sigma(X) \circ e_{1}^{X}-\iota_{X} \sim p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right)
$$

as a map $K:[0,1] \times \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$ with $K(0,-)=\left(\sigma(X) \circ e_{1}^{X}-\iota_{X}\right)(-)$ and $K(1,-)=$ $p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right)(-)$. Using it, we define a map $\theta_{D}:[0,1] \times[0,1] \times V^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$.

$$
\theta_{D}\left(s, t, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=K\left(t, u \wedge \operatorname{ad}(L)\left(s, v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { for } s, t \in[0,1] \text { and } u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}
$$

We have $\theta_{D}\left(s, 0, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sigma(X) \circ e_{1}^{X}-\iota_{X}\right)\left(u \wedge \operatorname{ad}(L)\left(s, v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right), \theta_{D}\left(0, t, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=K\left(t, u \wedge \operatorname{ad}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\left(v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $\theta_{D}\left(s, 1, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right)\left(u \wedge \operatorname{ad}(L)\left(s, v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\theta_{D}\left(1, t, u \wedge v_{0}^{\prime}\right)=K\left(t, u \wedge \operatorname{ad}(f \circ g)\left(v_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus $\theta_{D}$ gives a homotopy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sigma(X) \circ e_{1}^{X}-\iota_{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(\sigma(X) \circ \circ_{1}^{X}-\iota_{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}(L) \quad\left(\sigma(X) \circ e_{1}^{X}-\iota_{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}(f \circ g) \\
& p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \quad \begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}(L)
\end{array} \quad p_{m}^{\Omega X} \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}\left(e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}(f \circ g)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the upper and lower rows are given by $t=0$ and $t=1$ and the left and right columns are given by $s=0$ and $s=1$. By applying the composition with $P^{m}(\Omega i)$ from the left, we get the homotopy commutativity of $(D)$.

The maps $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \circ \tilde{L}$ and $P^{m+1}(\Omega(\tilde{L})) \circ \sigma^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ are given by the homotopies in the diagram (3.1) indicated by the top and right arrows and left and bottom arrows respectively, and hence the homotopy commutativity of the homotopies in the diagram (3.1) implies that $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \circ \tilde{L} \sim$ $P^{m+1}(\Omega \tilde{L}) \circ \sigma^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$.
$Q E D$.

Remark 3.6 Since $e_{m+1}^{W} \circ \sigma^{\prime}(W)$ and the identity $1_{W}$ coincide on $X$ up to homotopy, the difference between them with respect to the co-action of $\Sigma V$ is given by a map $\gamma: \Sigma V \rightarrow W$. Here, we know the fibration (2.1), induces the following split short exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow\left[\Sigma V, E^{m+1}(\Omega W)\right] \xrightarrow{p_{m}^{\Omega W}}\left[\Sigma V, P^{m}(\Omega W)\right] \xrightarrow{e_{\rightarrow}^{W}}[\Sigma V, W] \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\gamma$ can be pulled back to a map $\gamma_{0}: \Sigma V \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega W) \subset P^{m+1}(\Omega W)$. By adding $\gamma_{0}$ to $\sigma^{\prime}(W)$, we obtain a genuine compression $\sigma(W)$ of $1_{W}$. We often call this $\sigma(W)$ a 'standard' structure map for cat $W \leq m+1$ which gives a subset of $H_{m}^{S}(f)$ for $f \in\left[V^{\prime}, W\right]$ as follows:

$$
H_{m}^{S S}(f)=\left\{H_{m}^{\sigma(W)}(f) \mid \sigma(W) \text { is a 'standard' structure map for cat } W \leq m+1\right\}
$$

The cofibration sequence $V \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{i} W \xrightarrow{q} \Sigma V$ induces the following cofibration sequence:

$$
V * S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} W \cup X \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\hat{i}} W \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\hat{q}} \Sigma^{n+1} V ;
$$

here $\hat{f}$ is given by the relative Whitehead product $\left[\chi_{f}, 1_{S^{n}}\right]^{r}: V * S^{n-1} \rightarrow W \cup X \times S^{n}$, where $\chi_{f}:(C(V), V) \rightarrow(W, X)$ denotes the characteristic map given as a relative homeomorphism.

By the proof of Proposition 5.8 in [11], the following result is obtained using Remark 3.4.
Proposition 3.7 For a given structure map $\sigma(X)$ for cat $X=m$, the map $\hat{f}$ makes the following diagram without the dotted arrows commute up to homotopy.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V * S^{n-1} \longrightarrow W \cup X \times S^{n} \xlongequal{\hat{f}} W \times S^{n} \longrightarrow{ }^{\hat{i}} \quad \hat{q} \quad \Sigma^{n+1} V
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{m}^{\Omega X}=\left[\chi_{p_{m}^{\Omega X}}, 1_{S^{n}}\right]^{r}$, $\hat{p}_{m}^{\Omega W}=\left[\chi_{p_{m}^{\Omega W}}, e_{1}^{S^{n}}\right]^{r}$ and $j_{n-1}$ denotes the bottom cell inclusion $S^{n-1} \subset$ $\Omega S^{n}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(W)$ is the extension of $\sigma(X)$ by Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.4.

We then have the following result.

Theorem 3.8 The following two statements hold for $W$ with cat $W \leq m+1, m=$ cat $X$.
(1) cat $W \leq$ cat $X$ if the set $H_{m}^{S}(f)$ contains the trivial element.
(2) cat $W \times S^{n} \leq \operatorname{cat} X+1$ if the set $\Sigma_{*}^{n} H_{m}^{S}(f)$ contains the trivial element.

Corollary 3.8.1 Let $W$ be the space constructed as in the above theorem with cat $W=m+1$. Then $W$ is a counter example to Ganea's conjecture if the set $\mathcal{H}_{m}^{S}(f)$ contains the trivial element.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Firstly we show (1): If $E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f): V \rightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega W)$ is trivial for some structure map $\sigma(X)$, then, by Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.4, $\sigma(X)$ is extendible to $W$. By the argument given in Remark 3.6, we obtain a genuine compression $\sigma(W): W \rightarrow$ $P^{m}(\Omega W)$ of $1_{W}$. Thus cat $W \leq m$.

Secondly we show (2): If $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n-1}\right) \circ \Sigma^{n} H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f): V * S^{n-1} \rightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega W) * \Omega S^{n}$ is trivial for some structure map $\sigma(X)$, then, by Proposition 3.7, the map $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}$ : $W \cup X \times S^{n} \rightarrow P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega X) \times S^{n} \subset P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ is extendible to $W \times S^{n}$. By the argument given in Remark 3.6 together with the fact that the natural map $\left[\Sigma^{n+1} V, Z \times B \cup C \times Y\right] \rightarrow\left[\Sigma^{n+1} V, Z \times Y\right] \cong\left[\Sigma^{n+1} V, Z\right] \times\left[\Sigma^{n+1} V, Y\right]$ is split surjective for any pointed pairs $(Z, C)$ and $(Y, B)$, we obtain a compression $\sigma^{\prime \prime}: W \times S^{n} \rightarrow P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \cup$ $P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ of $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \times 1_{S^{n}}$, relative to $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}$. Also by Remark 3.6, the difference between $e_{m+1}^{W} \circ \sigma^{\prime}(W)$ and $1_{W}$ with respect to the co-action of $\Sigma V$ can be pulled back to $\gamma_{0}: \Sigma V \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega W \subset P^{m}(\Omega W)$. We define a map $\sigma\left(W \times S^{n}\right)$ by

$$
\sigma\left(W \times S^{n}\right): W \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\mu \times 1_{S} n}(W \vee \Sigma V) \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime \prime} \cup\left(\gamma_{0} \times 1_{S^{n}}\right)} P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \times\{*\} \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n},
$$

where $\mu: W \rightarrow W \vee \Sigma V$ denotes the co-action. Since $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is homotopic to $\sigma^{\prime}(W) \times 1_{S^{n}}$ in $P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ relative to the subspace $\{*\} \times S^{n}, \sigma\left(W \times S^{n}\right)$ is homotopic to $\left(\sigma^{\prime}(W)+\gamma\right) \times 1_{S^{n}}$ in $P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$, which is a compression of $1_{W} \times 1_{S^{n}}$. Thus cat $W \times S^{n} \leq m+1$, by Fact 2.2. $Q E D$.

Let $V$ be a $(e-1)$-connected co-H-space and $X$ a ( $d-1$ )-connected CW complex, $e \geq d \geq 2$.
Theorem 3.9 Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose $X$ to satisfy $\operatorname{dim} X \leq d \cdot m+d-2, m=\operatorname{cat} X$. Then the following two statements hold for $W$ and $H_{m}(f)=H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)$ where $\sigma(X)$ is the unique structure map, by Proposition 3.2.

$$
\text { (1) cat } W=m+1 \text { if } E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f) \neq 0 \text {. }
$$

(2) cat $W \times S^{n}=m+2$ if $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n-1}\right) \circ \Sigma^{n} H_{m}(f) \neq 0$ for sufficiently large $n$.
(3) cat $W \times S^{n}=m+2$ if $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n}\right) \circ \Sigma^{n+1} H_{m}(f) \neq 0$.

Remark 3.10 If the condition $\operatorname{dim} V<d \cdot \operatorname{cat} X+e-1$ is satisfied, then for dimensional reasons, we may remove the maps ' $E^{m+1}(\Omega i)$ ', ' $E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n-1}$ ' and ' $E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n}$ ' from the statements in Theorem 3.9.

Corollary 3.10.1 Let $W$ be the space constructed as the mapping cone of $f: V \rightarrow X$ from simply connected spaces $V$ and $X$ with $\operatorname{dim} X \leq d \cdot \operatorname{cat} X+d-2$ and $\operatorname{dim} V \leq d \cdot \operatorname{cat} X+e-2$, where $V$ and $X$ are ( $e-1$ )-connected and ( $d-1$ )-connected, resp. If cat $W \times S^{k}=\operatorname{cat} W$ for some $k$, then cat $W \times S^{n}=$ cat $W$ for all $n \geq k$. Thus Conjecture 2.3 is true for such $W$.

Corollary 3.10.2 Let $W$ be the space constructed as the mapping cone of $f: V \rightarrow X$ from simply connected spaces $V$ and $X$ with $\operatorname{dim} X \leq d \cdot \operatorname{cat} X+d-2$ and $\operatorname{dim} V \leq d \cdot \operatorname{cat} X+e-2$, where $V$ and $X$ are ( $e-1$ )-connected and ( $d-1$ )-connected, resp. Then Ganea's conjecture for $W$ is true if and only if the unique stabilised higher Hopf invariant $\mathcal{H}_{m}(f)$ is non-trivial.

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Let $\operatorname{dim} X=k$. By the assumption, cat $W \leq m+1$.
Firstly we show (1): If cat $W \leq m$, then there exists a compression $\sigma: W \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega W)$ of the identity $1_{W}$. Since the pair $\left(P^{\infty}(\Omega W), P^{m}(\Omega W)\right)$ is $(d(m+1)-1)$-connected, the inclusion map $\iota_{m}: P^{m}(\Omega W) \rightarrow P^{\infty}(\Omega W)$ induces a bijection of homotopy sets $\left[Z, P^{m}(\Omega W)\right] \rightarrow$ [ $Z, P^{\infty}(\Omega W)$ ] for any space $Z$ of dimension up to $d(m+1)-2$. Then, for dimensional reasons, it follows that the restriction $\sigma_{0}=\left.\sigma\right|_{X}$ is unique up to homotopy in $P^{m}(\Omega W)$. Hence we may assume that $\sigma_{0}$ equals to $\sigma(X)$, the unique structure map for cat $X=m$ by Proposition 3.2. Hence $P^{m}(\Omega i) \circ \sigma(X) \circ f \sim p_{m}^{\Omega W} \circ E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f)$ is null-homotopic. As $P^{m}(\Omega W)_{*}$ in (3.2) is a monomorphism for $m \geq 1, E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f)$ is null-homotopic. Thus the existence of the compression $\sigma$ of $1_{W}$ implies the triviality of $E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f): V \rightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega W)$.

Secondly we show (2): Let $\sigma(W)$ be a structure map for cat $W \leq m+1$ obtained from $\sigma(X)$ by Proposition 3.3, Remarks 3.4 and 3.6, and let $\hat{j}_{m, n}: P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n} \subset$ $P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ and $\hat{i}_{m, n}: P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n} \subset P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ be inclusions. If cat $W \times S^{n} \leq m+1$, then by Fact 2.2, there exists a compression $\sigma: W \times S^{n} \rightarrow P^{m+1}(\Omega W) \cup$ $P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ of the identity $1_{W \times S^{n}}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left.\left(e_{\infty}^{W} \times 1_{S^{n}}\right)\right|_{P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n^{n}} \circ \sigma\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}} \sim 1_{W \times S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}} \quad \text { and } \\
& \left.\left.\left(e_{\infty}^{W} \times 1_{S^{n}}\right)\right|_{P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}} \hat{j}_{m, n^{\circ}} \circ\left(\sigma(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}\right) \sim 1_{W \times S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we know the pair $\left(P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}, P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}\right)$ is $(d m+n+d-1)$-connected and there is a co-action $\mu_{W}^{\prime}: W \cup X \times S^{n} \rightarrow W \cup X \times S^{n} \vee \Sigma V$ associated with the cofibration sequence $V \rightarrow X \times S^{n} \rightarrow W \cup X \times S^{n}$. Since the subspace $X \times S^{n}$ is of dimension $k+n \leq d m+$ $d+n-2$, the restrictions $\left.\hat{j}_{m, n^{n}} \circ \sigma\right|_{X \times S^{n}}$ and $\hat{j}_{m, n^{\prime}} \circ \sigma(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{X \times S^{n}}=\sigma(X) \times 1_{S^{n}}$ are homotopic and the difference between $\hat{j}_{m, n^{\prime}} \circ \sigma$ and $\sigma(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}$ with respect to the co-action $\mu_{W}^{\prime}$ is given by a map into $E^{m+1}(\Omega W) * \Omega S^{n}$ the fibre of $e_{\infty}^{W} \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{P \infty(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}}$ :

$$
\left.\left.\sigma\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}} \sim \sigma(W)\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}+\gamma, \quad \gamma=\hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \hat{p}_{m}^{\Omega W} \circ \gamma_{0}, \quad \gamma_{0}: \Sigma V \rightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega W) * \Omega S^{n} .
$$

When $n>\operatorname{dim} V-d(m+1)+2$, we also obtain that $\left.\hat{j}_{m, n^{\circ}} \sigma\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}} \sim \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}$ for dimensional reasons. In this case, we have

$$
\left.\hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \hat{p}_{m}^{\Omega W} \circ\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n-1}\right) \circ \Sigma^{n} H_{m}(f) \sim \hat{j}_{m, n^{\prime}} \circ\left(\sigma(W) \times\left. 1_{S^{n}}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}\right) \circ \hat{f} \sim \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}} \circ \hat{f}
$$

which is trivial, since $\left.\sigma\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n}}$ is extendible on $W \times S^{n}$. Thus cat $W \times S^{n} \leq m+1$ implies $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n-1}\right) \circ \Sigma^{n} H_{m}(f) \sim 0$, provided that $n>\operatorname{dim} V-d(m+1)+2$.

Thirdly we show (3): In this case, the difference $\gamma$ might not be trivial evenif cat $W \times S^{n} \leq$ $m+1$. Since the composition $\operatorname{pr}_{1} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma: W \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma} P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{1}} P^{\infty}(\Omega W)$ factors through $P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}$ as $\operatorname{pr}_{1} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma=\operatorname{pr}_{1} \circ \hat{i}_{m, n} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma$, we have $e_{\infty}^{W} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{1} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma=$ $\operatorname{pr}_{1} \circ\left(e_{\infty}^{W} \times 1_{S^{n}}\right) \circ \hat{i}_{m, n} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma \sim \operatorname{pr}_{1}$, which makes the following diagram commutative up to homotopy.


Taking push-outs of both right and center columns of the above diagram, we have a map

$$
\sigma_{1}: W \times S^{n+1} \rightarrow P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n+1} \quad \text { with } \quad \sigma_{1} \circ\left(1_{W} \times E_{n}\right)=E_{m, n} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \sigma,
$$

where $E_{n}$ is the inclusion $S^{n}=S^{n} \times\{0\} \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}=S^{n} \times[-1,1] / \sim,(x,-1) \sim(x, 1) \sim(*, t)$ for $x \in S^{n}$ and $t \in[-1,1]$ and $E_{m, n}=1_{P^{\infty}(\Omega W)} \times\left. E_{n}\right|_{P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times S^{n}}$. By the definition of $\sigma_{1}$, we have $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{X \times S^{n+1}} \sim \hat{j}_{m, n+1^{\circ}}\left(\sigma(X) \times 1_{S^{n+1}}\right)$ and the difference between $\left.\sigma_{1}\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n+1}}$ and $\left.\hat{j}_{m, n+1^{\circ}}\left(\sigma(W) \times 1_{S^{n+1}}\right)\right|_{W \cup X \times S^{n+1}}$ is given by $E_{m, n} \circ \gamma$. Since $P^{\infty}(\Omega W) \cup P^{m}(\Omega W) \times\left(S^{n} \times 0\right)$ is compressible into $P^{\infty}(\Omega W)$, so is $E_{m, n} \circ \gamma$. Thus $E_{m, n} \circ \gamma \sim \mathrm{in}_{1} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{1} \circ E_{m, n} \circ \gamma=\mathrm{in}_{1} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{1} \circ \gamma \sim$ $\mathrm{in}_{1} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{1} \circ \hat{i}_{m, n} \circ \hat{j}_{m, n} \circ \gamma \sim 0$, where $\mathrm{in}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{pr}_{1}$ denotes an appropriate inclusion or projection. Then by using the same argument as in (2), we obtain $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) * j_{n}\right) \circ \Sigma^{n+1} H_{m}(f) \sim 0$, if cat $W \times S^{n} \leq m+1$.
$Q E D$.

## 4 Higher Hopf invariants and the reduced diagonal

We state here another property of the higher Hopf invariants, which is a generalisation of Theorem 5.14 of Boardman-Steer [3].

Theorem 4.1 Let $V \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{i} W$ be a cofibration sequence as above with cat $X=m$. Then the reduced diagonal $\hat{\Delta}_{m+1}: W \rightarrow W^{m+1} \rightarrow \wedge^{m+1} W$ denotes homotopic to the composition $\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{W} \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{W} \circ \Sigma\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f)\right) \circ q$, where $q$ is the collapsing map $W \rightarrow W / X=\Sigma V$.

Corollary 4.1.1 Let $V, X, f$ and $W$ be as above with cat $X=m$ and cat $W=m+1$. Then the $n$-fold suspension of the reduced diagonal $\hat{\Delta}_{m+1} \wedge 1_{S^{n}}: W \times S^{n} \rightarrow W^{m+1} \times S^{n} \rightarrow \wedge^{m+1} W \wedge S^{n}$ is homotopic to the composition $\Sigma^{n}\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{W} \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{W} \circ \Sigma\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}(f)\right)\right) \circ \hat{q}$, where $\hat{q}$ denotes the collapsing map $W \times S^{n} \rightarrow W \times S^{n} /\left(W \cup X \times S^{n}\right)=(W / X) \wedge S^{n}=\Sigma^{n+1} V$.

For any space $Z$, Ganea showed that there is a commutative ladder of fibrations up to homotopy (see [5] or the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [11]):

where $q_{m+1}^{Z}: \bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega Z) \rightarrow Z^{[m+1]}$ is given by $q_{m+1}^{Z}\left(\Sigma t_{i} \ell_{i}\right)=\left(\ell_{0}\left(t_{0} / t_{M}\right), \ldots, \ell_{m}\left(t_{m} / t_{M}\right)\right), t_{M}=$ $\max \left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ and $\Delta_{m+1}^{\prime}$ denotes a map which makes the right hand square of (4.1) a homotopy pull-back diagram.

Using the precise description of the fibration $q_{m+1}^{Z}$, we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 4.2 There exists a map $e_{m+1}: Z^{[m+1]} \cup \hat{C}\left(\bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega Z)\right) \rightarrow Z^{m+1}$ which makes the following diagram commute up to homotopy, where $\hat{C}$ denotes the functor taking unreduced cones with base point $0 \wedge *$ :

where the upper row is a cofibration sequence.

Proof. We define $e_{m+1}: Z^{[m+1]} \cup \hat{C}\left(\bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega Z)\right) \rightarrow Z^{m+1}$ by

$$
e_{m+1}\left(t, \Sigma t_{i} \ell_{i}\right)=\left(\ell_{0}\left(t \cdot t_{0} / t_{M}\right), \cdots, \ell_{m}\left(t \cdot t_{m} / t_{M}\right)\right)
$$

where $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} t_{i}=1$ and $t_{M}=\max \left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \geq \frac{1}{m+1}$. By collapsing $Z^{[m+1]}$, we obtain a map $\hat{e}_{m+1}: \hat{\Sigma} \bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega Z) \rightarrow \wedge^{m+1} Z$ from $e_{m+1}$, where $\hat{\Sigma}$ denotes the functor taking unreduced suspensions with base point $0 \wedge *$. $\hat{e}_{m+1}$ is given by $\hat{e}_{m+1}\left(t \wedge \Sigma t_{i} \ell_{i}\right)=\ell_{0}\left(t t_{0} / t_{M}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge \ell_{m}\left(t t_{m} / t_{M}\right)$.

Let us recall the homotopy equivalence $s_{m+1}: \hat{\Sigma} \bar{E}^{m+1}(\Omega Z) \rightarrow \wedge^{m+1} \hat{\Sigma} \Omega Z$ given by

$$
s_{m+1}\left(t, \Sigma t_{i} \ell_{i}\right)=\left(u_{0} \wedge \ell_{0}, \ldots, u_{m} \wedge \ell_{m}\right), \quad u_{i}=t_{i} \frac{t}{t_{M}}, 0 \leq i \leq m
$$

Then we have the following relation of maps: $\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{Z} \circ h \circ s_{m+1}=\hat{e}_{m+1}$, where $h: \wedge^{m+1} \hat{\Sigma} \Omega Z \rightarrow$ $\wedge^{m+1} \Sigma \Omega Z$ is the canonical homotopy equivalence. Thus the proposition follows.
$Q E D$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Remarks 3.4 and 3.6 and Propositions 3.3 and 4.2, we have the following commutative diagram up to homotopy.

$Q E D$.

Remark 4.3 For any structure map $\sigma(X)$, by naturality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Delta}_{m+1} & =\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{W}\right) \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{W} \circ \Sigma\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)\right) \circ q \\
& =\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{W}\right) \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{W} \triangleright E^{m+1}(\Omega i) \circ \Sigma H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ q \\
& =\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{W}\right) \circ\left(\wedge^{m+1} \Sigma \Omega i\right) \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{X} \circ \Sigma\left(H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)\right) \circ q \\
& =\left(\wedge^{m+1} i\right) \circ\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{X} \circ \Sigma\left(H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)\right) \circ q=\left(\wedge^{m+1} i\right) \circ \bar{H}_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f) \circ q,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the composition $\bar{H}_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)=\left(\wedge^{m+1} e_{1}^{X}\right) \circ \Sigma h_{m+1}^{X}{ }^{\circ} \Sigma\left(H_{m}^{\sigma(X)}(f)\right)$ is the generalised version of the Berstein-Hilton crude Hopf invariant (see Definition 2.6). Thus we have

$$
\left(\wedge^{m+1} i\right)_{*} \circ q^{*} \bar{H}_{m}^{S}(f)=\left\{\hat{\Delta}_{m+1}\right\}
$$

## 5 Homology decomposition and product spaces

In this section, we always assume that $X$ is a connected finite complex with a homology decomposition $\left\{X_{t}, f_{t}: S_{t}(X) \rightarrow X_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}$ of $X$, where $S_{t}(X)$ is the Moore space of type $\left(H_{t+1}(X), t\right)$ for $t \geq 1$. By modifying the arguments given in Curjel [4], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.1 The homology decomposition $\left\{X_{t}, f_{t}: S_{t}(X) \rightarrow X_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 1}$ of a simply connected space $X$ satisfies $0=\operatorname{cat} X_{1} \leq \operatorname{cat} X_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \operatorname{cat} X_{t-1} \leq \operatorname{cat} X_{t} \leq \ldots \leq$ cat $X$. If, in addition, cat $X_{k-1}=$ cat $X_{k}=m$, then we can choose structure maps $\sigma_{k-1}(X): X_{k-1} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k-1}\right)$ and $\sigma_{k}(X): X_{k} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$ for cat $X_{k-1}=m$ and cat $X_{k}=m$ to be compatible with each other, i.e. $\left.\sigma_{k}(X)\right|_{X_{k}} \sim \sigma_{k-1}(X)$ in $P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$.

Proof. To prove the former part of the theorem, it is sufficient to show cat $X_{k} \leq$ cat $X_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$. So we may assume that $X=X_{k+1}$ and cat $X=m$. If $m=0$, then Theorem 5.1 is clearly true, and hence we may assume that $m \geq 1$. Then there is a homotopy section $\sigma(X): X \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$. By induction on $k$, we show the existence of a compression $\sigma_{k}(X):$ $X_{k} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$ of $\left.\sigma(X)\right|_{X_{k}}: X_{k} \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$. In the case $k=1$, we have $X_{1}=\{*\}$, and hence the existence of $\sigma_{1}(X)$ is clear. In the general case $k>1$, by the induction hypothesis, we have a compression $\sigma_{k-1}(X): X_{k-1} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k-1}\right)$ of $\sigma(X) \circ i_{k-1}: X_{k-1} \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$, where $i_{t}: X_{t} \hookrightarrow X$ denotes the canonical inclusion, $t \geq 1$; in addition, $e_{m}^{X_{k-1}}{ }_{\circ} \sigma_{k-1}(X) \sim 1_{X_{k-1}}$. Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

where $i_{k-1, k}: X_{k-1} \hookrightarrow X_{k}$ denotes the canonical inclusion. Also the $k^{\prime}$-invariant $f_{k-1}$ induces the following cofibration sequence:

$$
S_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{i_{k-1, k}} X_{k} \xrightarrow{g_{k}} \Sigma S_{k-1} .
$$

The obstruction to extend $\sigma_{k-1}(X)$ to $X_{k}$ is given by a map $\gamma=P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k-1, k}\right) \circ \sigma_{k-1}(X) \circ f_{k-1}$ : $S_{k-1} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$. But the commutativity of the diagram (5.1) implies that $p_{m}^{\Omega X_{k} \circ \gamma=}$
 which vanishes in $E^{m+1}(\Omega X)$, since $P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k}\right) \circ \gamma=P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k-1}\right) \circ \sigma_{k-1}(X) \circ f_{k-1} \sim \sigma(X) \circ i_{k-1} \circ f_{k-1} \sim$ 0 . Since $X$ and $X_{k}$ are simply connected and $\left(X, X_{k}\right)$ is $k$-connected, $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega X), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right)$ is $(k+2 m-1)$-connected. Hence $\gamma$ vanishes since the dimension of $S_{k-1}$ is at most $k \leq k+2 m-2$. Thus there is a map $\sigma_{k}^{\prime}: X_{k} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$ such that $\sigma_{k}^{\prime} \circ i_{k-1, k} \sim P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k-1, k}\right) \circ \sigma_{k-1}(X)$.

The difference between $e_{m}^{X_{k}} \circ \sigma_{k}^{\prime}$ and the identity $1_{X_{k}}$ with respect to the co-action of $\Sigma S_{k-1}$ is given by a map $\delta: \Sigma S_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k}$. By (3.2), with $V=S_{k-1}, X=X_{k-1}$ and $W=X_{k}$, we have a surjection $\left[\Sigma S_{k-1}, \Sigma \Omega X_{k}\right] \rightarrow\left[\Sigma S_{k-1}, X_{k}\right]$ and hence $\delta$ can be pulled back to $\delta_{0}: \Sigma S_{k-1} \rightarrow$ $\Sigma \Omega X_{k} \subset P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$. By adding $\delta_{0}$ to $\sigma_{k}^{\prime}$, we have a compression $\sigma_{k}$ of $1_{X_{k}}$ which is an extension of $\sigma_{k-1}(X)$. Thus cat $X_{k} \leq m$.

The difference between $P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k}\right) \circ \sigma_{k}$ and $\sigma(X) \circ i_{k}$ with respect to the co-action of $\Sigma S_{k-1}$ is given by a map $\varepsilon: \Sigma S_{k-1} \rightarrow P^{m}(\Omega X)$ which vanishes in $P^{\infty}(\Omega X) \simeq X$. Thus $\varepsilon$ can be lifted to $\varepsilon_{0}: \Sigma S_{k-1} \rightarrow E^{m+1}(\Omega X)$. Since $\left(E^{m+1}(\Omega X), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right)$ is $(k+2 m-1)$-connected and the dimension of $\Sigma S_{k-1}$ is at most $k+1 \leq k+2 m-1, \varepsilon_{0}$ can be compressed into $E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$;
 structure map $\sigma_{k}(X): X_{k} \rightarrow P^{m}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)$ for cat $X_{k} \leq m$ as a compression of $\sigma(X) \circ i_{k}$, which is also an extension of $\sigma_{k-1}(X)$. Thus we obtain the compression $\sigma_{k}(X)$ of $\sigma(X) \circ i_{k}$ for all $k$. The latter part of the theorem is clear by the definition of $\sigma_{k}(X)$.
$Q E D$.
Now we apply the results in Section 3 for homology decompositions. Then we can show Theorems 3.8 (1) and 3.9 (1) in a slightly stronger form. Let cat $X_{k}=m \geq 1$ for some $k \geq 1$, and let $f_{k}(X): S_{k}(X) \rightarrow X_{k}$ be the $k^{\prime}$-invariant of the $k$-th stage. We show that the obstruction for $X_{k+1}$ to satisfy cat $X_{k+1}=m$ is the set of higher Hopf invariants $H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$.

Theorem 5.2 cat $X_{k+1}=m$ if and only if $E^{m+1}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right)_{*} H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right) \ni 0$. Moreover, if one of the following three conditions is satisfied, then cat $X_{k+1}=m$ if and only if $H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right) \ni 0$.
(i) $m \geq 3$.
(ii) $X$ is simply connected and $m \geq 2$.
(iii) $X$ is simply connected and $\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{k+1}(X), H_{2}(X) \otimes H_{k+1}(X)\right)=0$.

Proof. If cat $X_{k+1}=m$, then by Theorem 5.1, there exists a structure map $\sigma_{k}(X)$ for cat $X_{k}=m$ such that $P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right) \circ \sigma_{k}(X)$ is extendible to $X_{k+1}$. For this particular choice of $\sigma_{k}(X)$, we
obtain, by Proposition 3.3, the following diagram except for the dotted arrows commutative up to homotopy.

Thus the extendibility of $P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right) \circ \sigma_{k}(X)$ implies that $p_{m}^{\Omega X_{k+1}} \circ E^{m+1}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right) \circ H_{m}^{\sigma_{k}(X)}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$ $\sim P^{m}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right) \circ \sigma_{k}(X) \circ f_{k}(X)$ is trivial. Since $p_{m}^{\Omega X_{k+1}}$ induces a split monomorphism of homotopy groups with any coefficient groups, we have that $E^{m+1}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right) \circ H_{m}^{\sigma_{k}(X)}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$ is trivial. In each case of (i), (ii) or (iii), we show $\pi_{k}\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right) ; H_{k+1}(X)\right)=$ $\pi_{k+1}\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right) ; H_{k+1}(X)\right)=0$.

Case (i): The pair $\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right)$ is $(k+m-1)$-connected, $m \geq 3$. Hence, $\pi_{k+1}\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right) ; H_{k+1}(X)\right)=0$ for dimensional reasons.

Cases (ii) and (iii): The pair $\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right)$ is $(k+2 m-1)$-connected. When $m \geq 2$, we obtain $\pi_{k+1}\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right) ; H_{k+1}(X)\right)=0$ for dimensional reasons. When $m=1$, by the Universal Coefficient Theorem for homotopy groups, we obtain

$$
\pi_{k+1}\left(E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right) ; H_{k+1}(X)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{k+1}(X), H_{k+2}\left(E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right)\right)
$$

For dimensional reasons, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{k+2}\left(E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right) \cong H_{k+2}\left(S_{1}(X) * S_{k}(X) \vee S_{k}(X) * S_{1}(X)\right) \\
& \quad \cong H_{2}(X) \otimes H_{k+1}(X) \oplus H_{k+1}(X) \otimes H_{2}(X), \quad \text { and hence } \\
& \pi_{k+1}\left(E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right), E^{2}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right) ; H_{k+1}(X)\right) \\
& \quad \cong \operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{k+1}(X), H_{2}(X) \otimes H_{k+1}(X)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{k+1}(X), H_{k+1}(X) \otimes H_{2}(X)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is trivial if $\operatorname{Ext}\left(H_{k+1}(X), H_{2}(X) \otimes H_{k+1}(X)\right)=0$.
Hence by assuming (i), (ii) or (iii), we obtain that $E^{m+1}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right)_{*}: \pi_{k}\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right)=$ $\left[S_{k}(X), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k}\right)\right] \rightarrow\left[S_{k}(X), E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right)\right]=\pi_{k}\left(E^{m+1}\left(\Omega X_{k+1}\right)\right)$ has no non-trivial kernel. Thus the set $H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$ contains $0=H_{m}^{\sigma_{k}(X)}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$. The converse is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8 (1).
$Q E D$.

Let cat $X_{k+1}=m+1$, in other words, the set $E^{m+1}\left(\Omega i_{k, k+1}\right)_{*} H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$ does not contain 0 . Then the following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8 (2).

Theorem 5.3 If the set $\sum_{*}^{n} H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$ contains 0 , then cat $X_{k+1} \times S^{n}=$ cat $X_{k+1}=m+1$.

Corollary 5.3.1 If the set $\mathcal{H}_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right)$ contains 0 , then $X_{k+1}$ is a counter example to Ganea's conjecture.

Also let $n \geq 1$, cat $Y \geq n+1$. Let cat $Y_{h}=n \geq 1$ for some $h \geq 1$, and let $f_{h}(Y): S_{h}(Y) \rightarrow Y_{h}$ be the $k^{\prime}$-invariant of the $h$-th stage. We know, by Theorem 5.2, that the obstruction for $Y_{h+1}$ to satisfy cat $Y_{h+1} \leq n$ is the set of Hopf invariants $H_{n}^{S}\left(f_{h}(Y)\right)$. We define another set

## Definition 5.4

$$
H_{m, n}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X), f_{h}(Y)\right)=\left\{g_{X} * g_{Y} \mid g_{X} \in H_{m}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X)\right) \text { and } g_{Y} \in H_{n}^{S}\left(f_{h}(Y)\right)\right\}
$$

Then we have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.5 If the set $H_{m, n}^{S}\left(f_{k}(X), f_{h}(Y)\right)$ contains 0 , then cat $X_{k+1} \times Y_{h+1}<m+n+2=$ cat $X_{k+1}+\operatorname{cat} Y_{h+1}$.

Proof. The proof is obtained by a similar argument given in the proof of Theorem 3.8 (2) using the following diagram instead of the diagram in Proposition 3.7:


The details are left to the reader.
QED.

## 6 Higher Hopf invariants for some examples

In this section, we compute the higher Hopf invariants for well-known examples, which yields a generalisation of the main result of [11]: We denote by $\mathbb{C} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$ the field of complex numbers, by $\mathbb{H} \approx \mathbb{R}^{4}$ the algebra of quaternion numbers and by $\mathbb{O} \approx \mathbb{R}^{8}$ the Cayley algebra:

Example 6.1 We know that cat $\mathbb{C} P^{m}=m$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C} P^{m}=2 m$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C} P^{m}=2 m \leq$ $2 m+2-2$. Thus $\mathbb{C} P^{m}$ has a unique structure for cat $\mathbb{C} P^{m}=m$. The higher Hopf invariant $H_{m}:\left[V, \mathbb{C} P^{m}\right] \rightarrow\left[V, \Omega \mathbb{C} P^{m} * \cdots * \Omega \mathbb{C} P^{m}\right]$ gives a (unique) homomorphism

$$
H_{m}: \pi_{2 m+1}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2 m+1}\left(\Omega \mathbb{C} P^{m} * \cdots * \Omega \mathbb{C} P^{m}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

with the canonical projection $p_{m}^{S^{1}}: S^{2 m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{m}$ a 'higher Hopf invariant one' element.

Example 6.2 We know that cat $\mathbb{H} P^{m}=m$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{H} P^{m}=4 m$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{H} P^{m}=4 m \leq$ $4 m+4-2$. Thus $\mathbb{H} P^{m}$ has a unique structure for cat $\mathbb{H} P^{m}=m$. The higher Hopf invariant $H_{m}:\left[V, \mathbb{H} P^{m}\right] \rightarrow\left[V, \Omega \mathbb{H} P^{m} * \cdots * \Omega \mathbb{H} P^{m}\right]$ gives a (unique) homomorphism

$$
H_{m}: \pi_{4 m+3}\left(\mathbb{H} P^{m}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{4 m+3}\left(\Omega \mathbb{H} P^{m} * \cdots * \Omega \mathbb{H} P^{m}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

with the canonical projection $p_{m}^{S^{3}}: S^{4 m+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} P^{m}$ a 'higher Hopf invariant one' element.

Example 6.3 We know that cat $\mathbb{O} P^{2}=2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{O} P^{2}=16$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{O} P^{2}=16 \leq$ $8 \times 2+8-2$. Thus $\mathbb{O} P^{2}$ has a unique structure for cat $\mathbb{O} P^{2}=2$. The higher Hopf invariant $H_{2}:\left[V, \mathbb{O} P^{2}\right] \rightarrow\left[V, \Omega \mathbb{O} P^{2} * \Omega \mathbb{O} P^{2} * \Omega \mathbb{O} P^{2}\right]$ gives a (unique) homomorphism

$$
H_{2}: \pi_{23}\left(\mathbb{O} P^{2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{23}\left(\Omega \mathbb{O} P^{2} * \Omega \mathbb{O} P^{2} * \Omega \mathbb{O} P^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

But there are no elements of 'higher Hopf invariant one': The existence of such a higher Hopf invariant one element implies that the Hopf space $S^{7}$ is homotopy associative. As is well-known, the p-local Hopf space $S_{(p)}^{7}$ is homotopy associative for $p \geq 5$ (in view of [24], [22] and [13], it actually is an $A_{p-1}$-space). However, by using primary cohomology operations, one can easily see that any Hopf structure on $S_{(3)}^{7}$ is not homotopy associative. Hopf space theorists were, however, much more interested in the case $p=2$. And it was known by Goncalves [7], using higher order cohomology operations, and by Hubbuck [9], using K-theory Adams operations, that any Hopf structure on $S_{(2)}^{7}$ is not homotopy associative (but the result itself had already been known by James). Hence, the image of the higher Hopf invariant homomorphism is in $6 \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 6.4 For $m, n \geq 1$ and $p \geq m+2$, let $f_{m, p}=p_{m}^{S^{1}} \circ g_{m, p}: S^{2 m p+2(p-1)-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{m}$, where $g_{m, p}: S^{2 m p+2(p-1)-1} \rightarrow S^{2 m+1}$ denotes the generator of $\pi_{2 m p+2(p-1)-1}\left(S^{2 m+1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and $p_{m}^{S^{1}}$ $: S^{2 m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{m}$ denotes the projection which gives a 'higher Hopf invariant one' element as in Example 6.1. For dimensional reasons, the map $g_{m, p}$ is a co-H-map. Hence, by Proposition
2.11(1), $H_{m}\left(f_{m, p}\right)=g_{m, p} \neq 0$, and hence $\Sigma^{n} H_{m}\left(f_{m, p}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $n \geq 2$, by Theorem 13.4 in Toda [25].

Let $Q_{m, p}$ be the mapping cone of $f_{m, p}: Q_{m, p}=\mathbb{C} P^{m} \cup_{f_{m, p}} e^{2 m p+2(p-1)}$. Then, by Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 and Remark 3.10, it follows that cat $Q_{m, p}=m+1$ and $m+1 \leq \operatorname{cat}\left(Q_{m, p} \times S^{1}\right) \leq m+2$ but $\operatorname{cat}\left(Q_{m, p} \times S^{n}\right)=m+1$ for $n \geq 2$ by Theorem 3.8(2).

Remark 6.5 (1) Every example in this section supports Conjecture 2.3.
(2) The space $Q_{m, p}$ in Example 6.4 is a generalisation of $Q_{p}$ in [11] except $Q_{2}$. Actually, Ganea's conjecture for $Q_{m, p}$ is true if we consider cat ${ }_{q}$, for $q \neq p$, instead of cat or cat $_{p}$.

## 7 LS category of sphere-bundles-over-spheres

Let $r \geq 1, t \geq 1$ and $E$ be a fibre bundle over $S^{t+1}$ with fibre $S^{r}$. Then $E$ has a CW decomposition $S^{r} \cup_{\alpha} e^{t+1} \cup_{\psi} e^{t+r+1}$ with $\alpha: S^{t} \rightarrow S^{r}$ and $\psi: S^{t+r} \rightarrow Q, Q=S^{r} \cup_{\alpha} e^{t+1}$. We identify $H_{1}^{S}(\alpha)$ with its unique element, say $H_{1}(\alpha)$, since $S^{k}$ has a unique structure map for cat $S^{k}=1$.

Fact 7.1 Let $\alpha= \pm 1_{S^{r}}$, the identity. Then clearly $\operatorname{cat} Q=0$ and $\operatorname{cat} E=1$. In addition, cat $Q \times S^{n}=1$ and cat $E \times S^{n}=2$ for $n \geq 1$.

The following fact is an immediate consequence of Berstein-Hilton [2] and a cup length consideration.

Fact 7.2 Let $\alpha \neq \pm 1_{S^{r}}$. Hence $1 \leq \operatorname{cat} Q \leq 2$. Then $\operatorname{cat} Q=2$ if and only if $H_{1}(\alpha) \neq 0$. In particular if $H_{1}(\alpha)=0$, we can easily obtain that cat $Q=1$ and cat $E=2$. In this case, it also follows that cat $Q \times S^{n}=2$ and cat $E \times S^{n}=3$ for $n \geq 1$.

By Theorem 3.9 and Remark 3.10, we can extend the main result of [11].
Theorem 7.3 Let $H_{1}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Hence cat $Q=2$. Then for $n \geq 1$, $\operatorname{cat} Q \times S^{n}=3$ if $\Sigma^{n} H_{1}(\alpha) \neq$ 0 with $n \geq t-2 r+2$ or $\Sigma^{n+1} H_{1}(\alpha) \neq 0$.

We give a partial answer to Ganea's Problem 4 (see [6]) for sphere-bundles-over-spheres. To show this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4 The collapsing map $q: E \rightarrow E / Q=S^{t+r+1}$ induces a map with trivial kernel

$$
\left(\Sigma^{n} q\right)^{*}:\left[S^{n+r+t+1}, S^{n+k r}\right] \rightarrow\left[\Sigma^{n} E, S^{n+k r}\right] \quad \text { for all } k \geq 3 \text { and } n \geq 0
$$

Proof. The cofibration sequences $S^{r+t} \xrightarrow{\psi} Q \xrightarrow{j} E$ and $S^{t} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{r} \xrightarrow{i} Q$ together with the bundle projection $p: E \rightarrow S^{t+1}$ induce the following commutative diagram:

where the column and row are exact sequences. Since $k \geq 3$, we have $n+r+1<n+k r$ and $\pi_{n+r+1}\left(S^{n+k r}\right)=0$. Hence $\left(\left.\Sigma^{n+1} p\right|_{\Sigma^{n+1} Q}\right)^{*}$ is surjective, and so is $\left(\Sigma^{n+1} j\right)^{*}$. Thus $\left(\Sigma^{n+1} \psi\right)^{*}$ is trivial and the map $\left(\Sigma^{n} q\right)^{*}$ has trivial kernel for $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 0$.
$Q E D$.

Theorem 7.5 Let $H_{1}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Hence $2 \leq \operatorname{cat} E \leq 3$. Then cat $E=3$ if $\Sigma^{r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Also cat $E=2$ if $H_{2}^{S}(\psi)$ or its subset $H_{2}^{S S}(\psi)$ (see Remark 3.6 for its definition) contains 0 .

Remark 7.6 In the latter case of cat $E=2$, it is known that cat $E \times S^{n}=3$ for $n \geq 1$ by using a cup length argument on the cohomology ring (see Singhof [20]).

Proof of Theorem 7.5. Let $q^{\prime}: E \rightarrow E / S^{r}, q^{\prime \prime}: Q \rightarrow Q / S^{r}$ be respectively the collapsing maps. The reduced diagonal map $\hat{\Delta}_{2}: E \rightarrow E \wedge E$ factors as $E \xrightarrow{q^{\prime}} S^{t+1} \cup e^{r+t+1} \xrightarrow{\hat{\Delta}_{2}}\left(S^{r} \cup e^{t+1}\right) \wedge\left(S^{r} \cup\right.$ $\left.e^{t+1}\right) \subset E \wedge E$, which is an extension of the map $Q \xrightarrow{q^{\prime \prime}} S^{t+1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma h_{2}(\alpha)} S^{r} \wedge S^{r} \subset E \wedge E$ by Theorem 5.14 of Boardman-Steer [3]. In this case, the generator in $H^{r+t+1}(E ; \mathbb{Z})$ is a cup product of generators in $H^{r}(E ; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^{t+1}(E ; \mathbb{Z})$. Then it follows that the mapping degrees of $\hat{\Delta}_{2}$ on $e^{r} \wedge e^{t+1}$ and $e^{t+1} \wedge e^{r}$ are 1. Hence the reduced diagonal map $\hat{\Delta}_{3}=\left(\hat{\Delta}_{2} \wedge 1_{E}\right) \circ \hat{\Delta}_{2}: E \rightarrow E \wedge E \wedge E$ factors as $E \xrightarrow{q} S^{r+t+1} \xrightarrow{\leftrightharpoons} S^{t+1} \wedge S^{r} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{r+1} h_{2}(\alpha)} S^{r} \wedge S^{r} \wedge S^{r} \subset E \wedge E \wedge E$. Hence $\hat{\Delta}_{3}$ is the composition of $\Sigma^{r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \circ q$ with a suitable inclusion, which does not depend on the choice of $\sigma(Q)$. By Lemma 7.4, $q^{*}:\left[S^{r+t+1}, S^{3 r}\right] \rightarrow\left[E, S^{3 r}\right]$ has trivial kernel, and hence the non-triviality of $\Sigma^{r+1} h_{2}(\alpha)$ implies the non-triviality of $\Sigma^{r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \circ q$. Then, for dimensional reasons, it follows that $\hat{\Delta}_{3}$ is also non-trivial. Then by Theorem 4.1, it follows that $H_{2}^{S}(\psi)$ does not contain 0 , and hence we see that cat $E=3$ by Theorem 5.2. The latter part is clear by Theorem 5.2. $Q E D$.

We next study the LS category of $E \times S^{n}$. To do this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.7 The collapsing map $\hat{q}: E \times S^{n} \rightarrow E \times S^{n} /\left(E \cup Q \times S^{n}\right)=S^{n+r+t+1}$ induces a map with trivial kernel

$$
\hat{g}^{*}:\left[S^{n+r+t+1}, S^{n+k r}\right] \rightarrow\left[E \times S^{n}, S^{n+k r}\right] \quad \text { for all } k \geq 3 \text { and } n \geq 1
$$

Proof. Let us recall that the space $E \vee S^{n}$ is a retractile subspace (see Zabrodsky [28]) of both $E \times S^{n}$ and $E \cup Q \times S^{n}$. The cofibration sequences $S^{n+r+t} \xrightarrow{\psi^{\prime}} E \cup Q \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{j^{\prime}} E \times S^{n}$, $E \vee S^{n} \xrightarrow{i_{0}} E \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{q_{0}} E \wedge S^{n}$ and $E \vee S^{n} \xrightarrow{i_{0}^{\prime}} E \cup Q \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{q_{0}^{\prime}} Q \wedge S^{n}$ induce the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Sigma\left(E \wedge S^{n}\right), S^{n+k r}\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\Sigma^{n+1} j\right)^{*}}\left[\Sigma\left(Q \wedge S^{n}\right), S^{n+k r}\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\Sigma^{n+1} \psi\right)^{*}}\left[S^{n+r+t+1}, S^{n+k r}\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\Sigma^{n} q\right)^{*}}\left[E \wedge S^{n}, S^{n+k r}\right]} \\
& \left(\Sigma q_{0}\right)^{*} \downarrow \underset{\left(\Sigma i^{\prime}\right)^{*}}{ }\left(\Sigma q_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \downarrow{ }^{\left(\Sigma \psi^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \| \\
& {\left[\Sigma\left(E \times S^{n}\right), S^{n+k r}\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\Sigma j^{\prime}\right)^{*}}\left[\Sigma\left(E \cup Q \times S^{n}\right), S^{n+k r}\right] \xrightarrow{\left(\Sigma \psi^{\prime}\right)^{*}}\left[S^{n+r+t+1}, S^{n+k r}\right] \xrightarrow{\hat{q}^{*}}\left[E \times S^{n}, S^{n+k r}\right]} \\
& \downarrow\left(\Sigma i_{0}\right)^{*} \quad \downarrow\left(\Sigma i_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{*} \\
& {\left[\Sigma E \vee \Sigma S^{n}, S^{n+k r}\right]=\left[\Sigma E \vee \Sigma S^{n}, S^{n+k r}\right],}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the columns are exact sequences and the rows are split short exact sequences with natural splittings (see Zabrodsky [28]). By the proof of Lemma 7.4, $\left(\sum^{n+1} j\right)^{*}$ is surjective, and hence so is $\left(\Sigma j^{\prime}\right)^{*}$. Thus $\left(\Sigma \psi^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is trivial, and hence $\hat{q}^{*}$ has trivial kernel for $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 0$. QED.

Theorem 7.8 Let $\Sigma^{r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Hence cat $E=3$. Then for $n \geq 1$, cat $E \times S^{n}=4$ if $\Sigma^{n+r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Also for $n \geq 1$, cat $E \times S^{n}=3$ if $H_{3}^{S}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right), \Sigma_{*}^{n} H_{2}^{S}(\psi)$ or $\Sigma_{*}^{n} H_{2}^{S S}(\psi) \ni 0$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 7.5, the $n$-fold suspension of the reduced diagonal map $\hat{\Delta}_{3} \wedge 1_{S^{n}}$ $: E \wedge S^{n} \rightarrow E \wedge E \wedge E \wedge S^{n}$ is the composition of $\Sigma^{n+r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \circ \hat{q}$ with a suitable inclusion, which does not depend on the choice of $\sigma\left(E \cup Q \times S^{n}\right)$. By Lemma 7.7, $\hat{q}^{*}:\left[S^{n+r+t+1}, S^{n+3 r}\right] \rightarrow$ [ $\left.E \times S^{n}, S^{n+3 r}\right]$ has trivial kernel, and hence the non-triviality of $\Sigma^{n+r+1} h_{2}(\alpha)$ implies the nontriviality of $\Sigma^{n+r+1} h_{2}(\alpha) \circ \hat{q}$. Then, for dimensional reasons, it follows that $\hat{\Delta}_{3} \wedge 1_{S^{n}}$ is also nontrivial, and hence the four-fold reduced diagonal $\hat{\Delta}_{4}^{\prime}$ of $E \times S^{n}$ is non-trivial. Thus by Theorem 4.1, $\Sigma_{*} H_{3}^{S}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{3}^{S}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ do not contain 0 , and hence we see that cat $E \times S^{n}=4$ by Theorem 5.2 with $m=3$. The latter part is clear by Theorem 5.2 (in the case of $H_{3}^{S}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ ) and Theorem 5.3 (in the case of $\Sigma_{*}^{n} H_{2}^{S}(\psi)$ or $\Sigma_{*}^{n} H_{2}^{S S}(\psi)$ ).
$Q E D$.

## 8 Manifold examples

The Hopf fibration $\sigma_{4}: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ is given as a principal $S p(1)$-bundle. Taking orbits of the action of $U(1) \subset S p(1)$ on $S^{7}$, we obtain a fibre bundle $\mathbb{C} P^{3} \rightarrow S^{4}$ with fibre $S p(1) / U(1) \approx S^{2}$;
the structure group $S p(1)$ acts on the fibre $S^{2}$ via a map, say $\mu_{0}: S^{3} \times S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$. Here the CW decomposition of $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ is known as $\mathbb{C} P^{3}=\mathbb{C} P^{2} \cup_{p_{2}^{S^{1}}} e^{6}=S^{2} \cup_{\eta} e^{4} \cup_{p_{2}^{s^{1}}} e^{6}$. Hence the attaching map $p_{2}^{S^{1}}$ of the top cell of $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ is given by the composition

$$
S^{3} * S^{1} \xrightarrow{\left[C\left(1_{S^{3}}\right), \Sigma 1_{S^{1}}\right]^{r}} C\left(S^{3}\right) \cup S^{3} \times S^{2} \xrightarrow{\hat{\chi}_{4}} \mathbb{C} P^{2},
$$

where $\hat{\chi}_{4}$ denotes the map defined by $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{S^{3} \times S^{2}}=\mu_{0}$ and $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{C\left(S^{3}\right)}=\chi_{4}$, the characteristic map of the top cell of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.

Definition 8.1 For any map $\beta: S^{t} \rightarrow S^{3}$, we may assume that the suspension $\Sigma \beta: S^{t+1} \rightarrow S^{4}$ is a $C^{\infty}$-map by suitably deforming it up to homotopy, since $S^{t+1}$ and $S^{4}$ are closed $C^{\infty}$ manifolds. We define $E(\beta)$ to be the total space of the $\operatorname{Sp}(1)$-bundle $E(\beta) \rightarrow S^{t+1}$ induced by the $C^{\infty}$-map $\Sigma \beta$ from the $S p(1)$-bundle $\mathbb{C} P^{3} \rightarrow S^{4}$. Hence $E(\beta)$ is an orientable, closed $C^{\infty}$-manifold with $C W$ decomposition $E(\beta)=S^{2} \cup_{\eta \circ \beta} e^{t+1} \cup_{\psi(\beta)} e^{t+3}$.

For a map $\beta: S^{t} \rightarrow S^{3}$ and a suspension map $\gamma: S^{t^{\prime}} \rightarrow S^{t}$ with $3 \leq t \leq t^{\prime}$, we denote $\beta^{\prime}=$ $\beta \circ \gamma$, and then we have $E\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=S^{2} \cup_{\eta \circ \beta^{\prime}} e^{t^{\prime}+1} \cup_{\psi\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} e^{t^{\prime}+3}$. By putting $Q(\beta)=S^{2} \cup_{\eta \circ \beta} e^{t+1} \subset E(\beta)$ and $Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)=S^{2} \cup_{\eta \circ \beta^{\prime}} e^{t^{\prime}+1} \subset E\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$, we have the following commutative ladder of cofibration sequences:

where $\hat{\gamma}_{0}=\left.\hat{\gamma}\right|_{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$ and $\hat{\gamma}: E\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E(\beta)$ is the bundle map induced from $\Sigma \gamma: S^{t^{\prime}+1} \rightarrow S^{t+1}$. To compare the higher Hopf invariant of $\psi(\beta)$ with that of $\psi\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$, we show the following proposition.

Proposition 8.2 With respect to 'standard' structure maps (see Remark 3.6), $\hat{\gamma}_{0}$ is 'primitive' in the sense of Berstein and Hilton.

Remark $8.3 \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ has a unique structure map $\sigma_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right)$ for cat $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \leq 2$ (see Example 6.1).

Proof of Proposition 8.2. By Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.4, there are maps $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta))$ : $Q(\beta) \rightarrow P^{2}(\Omega Q(\beta))$ and $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right): Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow P^{2}\left(\Omega Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Since $\gamma$ is a suspension map, the 'naturality' of Lemma 3.5 implies a homotopy (relative to $S^{2}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{2}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right) \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \sim \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta)) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0}:\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right), S^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma \Omega Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right), \Sigma \Omega S^{2}\right) \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us recall what is in Remark 3.6: The difference between $e_{2}^{Q(\beta)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta))$ and $1_{Q(\beta)}$ with respect to the co-action of $S^{t+1}$ is given by a map $\delta: S^{t+1} \rightarrow Q(\beta)$ which can be pulled back to a map $\delta_{0}: S^{t+1} \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega Q(\beta)$. Also the difference between $e_{2}^{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}{ }^{\circ} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $1_{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$ with respect to the co-action of $S^{t^{\prime}+1}$ is given by a map $\delta^{\prime}: S^{t^{\prime}+1} \rightarrow Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ which can be pulled back to a map $\delta_{0}^{\prime}: S^{t^{\prime}+1} \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\sigma_{2}(Q(\beta))=\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta))+\iota_{1}^{\Omega Q(\beta)}$ o $\delta_{0}$ and $\sigma_{2}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)+\iota_{1}^{\Omega Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}{ }_{0} \delta_{0}^{\prime}$, where the addition is induced from the co-actions of $S^{q+1}=Q(\beta) / S^{2}$ on $Q(\beta)$ and of $S^{t^{\prime}+1}=Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) / S^{2}$ on $Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Then $\sigma_{2}(Q(\beta))$ and $\sigma_{2}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are genuine compressions of $1_{Q(\beta)}$ and $1_{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}$.

Using the homotopy (8.2), we obtain a homotopy (relative to $S^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ e_{2}^{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \sim e_{2}^{Q(\beta)} \circ P^{2}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right) \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \sim e_{2}^{Q(\beta)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta)) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0} \\
:\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right), S^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q(\beta), S^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and hence a homotopy (relative to $S^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{2}^{Q(\beta)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta)) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0}+\delta \circ \Sigma \gamma \sim\left(e_{2}^{Q(\beta)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta))+\delta\right) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0} \sim \hat{\gamma}_{0} \sim \hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ e_{2}^{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} \circ \sigma_{2}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \sim \hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ e_{2}^{Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)+\hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ \delta^{\prime} \sim e_{2}^{Q(\beta)} \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta)) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0}+\hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ \delta^{\prime}:\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right), S^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Q(\beta), S^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the difference of $\delta \circ \Sigma \gamma$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ \delta^{\prime}$ is trivial in $\pi_{t^{\prime}+1}(Q(\beta))$ by using the ordinary obstruction theory (see [27]). Thus the difference of $\delta_{0} \circ \Sigma \gamma$ and $\Sigma \Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ \delta_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\pi_{t^{\prime}+1}(\Sigma \Omega Q(\beta)$ ), which is given by a map $\epsilon_{0}: S^{t^{\prime}+1} \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega Q(\beta)$, vanishes in $\pi_{t^{\prime}+1}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Thus $\epsilon_{0}$ can be lifted uniquely to a map $\hat{\epsilon}_{0} \in \pi_{t^{\prime}+1}\left(E^{2}(\Omega Q(\beta))\right)$ by the arguments given in Remark 3.6. This implies that $\iota_{1}^{\Omega Q(\beta)}{ }_{\circ} \epsilon_{0}$ is trivial in $\pi_{t^{\prime}+1}\left(P^{2}(\Omega Q(\beta))\right)$. This yields the following homotopy relative to $S^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{2}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right) \circ \sigma_{2}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right) \sim P^{2}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right) \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)+P^{2}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right) \circ \iota_{1}^{\Omega Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)} \circ \delta_{0}^{\prime} \\
& \quad \sim P^{2}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right) \circ \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(Q\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right)+\iota_{1}^{\Omega Q(\beta)} \circ \delta_{0} \circ \Sigma \gamma+\iota_{1}^{\Omega Q(\beta)} \circ \epsilon_{0} \\
& \quad \sim \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(Q(\beta)) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0}+\iota_{1}^{\Omega Q(\beta)}{ }^{\Omega} \delta_{0} \circ \Sigma \gamma \sim \sigma_{2}(Q(\beta)) \circ \hat{\gamma}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 8.2.
QED.
The attaching map $\psi(\beta)$ of the top cell of $E(\beta)$ is given by the composition

$$
S^{t} * S^{1} \xrightarrow{\left[C\left(1_{S^{t}}\right), \Sigma 1_{S^{1}}\right]^{r}} C\left(S^{t}\right) \cup S^{t} \times S^{2} \xrightarrow{\hat{\chi}_{\beta}} Q(\beta),
$$

where $\hat{\chi}_{\beta}$ denotes the map defined by $\left.\hat{\mu}_{\beta}\right|_{S^{t} \times S^{2}}=\mu_{0} \circ\left(\beta \times 1_{S^{2}}\right)$ and $\left.\hat{\mu}_{\beta}\right|_{C\left(S^{t}\right)}=\chi_{t+1}$ the characteristic map of the top cell of $Q(\beta)$. Then a direct calculation shows that the following diagram
is strictly commutative:

where $\bar{\gamma}_{0}$ is given by $\left.\bar{\gamma}_{0}\right|_{C\left(S^{t^{\prime}}\right)}=C(\gamma)$ and $\left.\bar{\gamma}_{0}\right|_{S^{\prime} \times S^{2}}=\gamma \times 1_{S^{2}}$. Thus we have that $\hat{\gamma}_{0} \circ \psi(\beta \circ \gamma) \sim$ $\psi(\beta) \circ\left(\gamma * 1_{S^{1}}\right)$. By Proposition 2.11 and Proposition 8.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8.4 For a map $\beta: S^{t} \rightarrow S^{3}$ and a suspension map $\gamma: S^{t^{\prime}} \rightarrow S^{t}$ with $3 \leq t \leq t^{\prime}$, we have that $E^{3}\left(\Omega \hat{\gamma}_{0}\right)_{*} H_{2}^{S S}(\psi(\beta \circ \gamma))=\left(\gamma * 1_{S^{1}}\right)^{*} H_{2}^{S S}(\psi(\beta))= \pm\left(\Sigma^{2} \gamma\right)^{*} H_{2}^{S S}(\psi(\beta))$

Corollary 8.4.1 (1) If $\beta \circ \gamma=0$ in $\pi_{t^{\prime}+1}\left(S^{3}\right)$, then $\left(\Sigma^{2} \gamma\right)^{*} H_{2}^{S S}(\psi(\beta))=\{0\}$.
(2) If $\beta: S^{t} \rightarrow S^{3}$ is of finite order $\ell$ with $t \geq 3$, then each element of $H_{2}^{S S}(\psi(\beta))$ is also of finite order which divides $\ell$.

We now prove the following lemma, making use of the notation of [25].
Lemma 8.5 Let p be an odd prime, $\beta$ the co-H-map $\alpha_{1}(3): S^{2 p} \rightarrow S^{3}$ and $\gamma$ the suspension map $\alpha_{2}(2 p)=\Sigma^{2 p-3} \alpha_{2}(3): S^{6 p-5} \rightarrow S^{2 p}$. Then $\Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right)$ contains the composition of $\pm \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)$ with the bottom-cell inclusion.

Remark 8.6 The composition $\alpha_{1}(5) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+2)$ is trivial for all odd primes $p$ except the prime 3. At the prime $3, \alpha_{1}(5) \circ \alpha_{2}(8)=-3 \beta_{1}(5) \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{1}(7) \circ \alpha_{2}(10)=0$ by Lemma 13.8 and Theorem 13.9 in [25].

Proof. Firstly we summarise here some well-known results on odd primary components of stable and unstable homotopy groups of spheres.

By Theorem 6.2 of Oka [17], we know the following fact:
Fact 8.7 Let $p$ be an odd prime. For $k<2(p+3)(p-1)-4$, the $p$-component of stable homotopy group of the $k$-stem ${ }_{p} \pi_{k}^{S}$ is trivial unless $k=2 r(p-1)-1(1 \leq r \leq p+2), 2 p(p-1)-2$ and $2(p+1)(p-1)-3$. In addition, all the non-trivial groups are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{p} \pi_{2 r(p-1)-1}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { generated by } \alpha_{r}, r \neq p, \\
& { }_{p} \pi_{2 p(p-1)-1}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { generated by } \alpha_{p}^{\prime}, \\
& { }_{p} \pi_{2 p(p-1)-2}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { generated by } \beta_{1}, \\
& { }_{p} \pi_{2(p+1)(p-1)-3}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { generated by } \alpha_{1} \circ \beta_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{r}$ is defined inductively using Toda brackets: $\alpha_{r}=\left\langle\alpha_{r-1}, p \iota, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle$. Note that $\alpha_{p}=p \alpha_{p}^{\prime}$. At $p=3$, we remark that $\beta_{1}$ is given by a Toda bracket $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle$.

On the other hand, by (13.5) in [25], we know the following fact:
Fact $8.8 \quad \pi_{2 m-1+k}\left(S^{2 m-1}\right)_{(p)} \cong{ }_{p} \pi_{k}^{S}$ if $\frac{k+3}{2(p-1)} \leq m$.
Since $\frac{2 p(p-1)-2+3}{2(p-1)}<p+1$ and $2(p+1)-1=2 p+1$, we have $\pi_{2(p+1)(p-1)+1}\left(S^{2 p+1}\right)_{(p)} \cong{ }_{p} \pi_{2 p(p-1)-2}^{S} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \ni \beta_{1}$. Hence there is a generator $\beta_{1}(2 p+1)$ of $\pi_{2(p+1)(p-1)+1}\left(S^{2 p+1}\right)_{(p)} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to the stable element $\beta_{1}$.

In $\pi_{2(p+2)(p-1)+3}\left(S^{6}\right)$, we know the following fact:
Fact $8.9 \alpha_{2}(6) \circ \beta_{1}(4 p+1)=0$ in $\pi_{2(p+2)(p-1)+3}\left(S^{6}\right)_{(p)}$, which is obtained by a similar argument given in Page 184 of [25] using (13.8) and Propositions 1.4 and 1.3 in [25]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{2}(6) \circ \beta_{1}(4 p+1) & \in 2\left\{\alpha_{1}(6), \alpha_{1}(2 p+3), p \iota_{4 p}\right\}_{1} \circ \beta_{1}(4 p+1) \\
& =2 \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \Sigma\left\{\alpha_{1}(2 p+2), p \iota_{4 p-1}, \beta_{1}(4 p-1)\right\} \\
& \subset 2 \alpha_{1}(6) \circ\left\{\alpha_{1}(2 p+3), p \iota_{4 p}, \beta_{1}(4 p)\right\}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{1}(2 p+3), p \iota_{4 p}, \beta_{1}(4 p)\right\}_{1}$ is a subset of $\pi_{2(p+2)(p-1)+3}\left(S^{2 p+3}\right)_{(p)} \cong{ }_{p} \pi_{2(p+1)(p-1)-2}^{S}=0$, since $\frac{2(p+1)(p-1)-2+3}{2(p-1)}<p+2$ and $2(p+2)-1=2(p-1)+5$.

In $\pi_{6 p-5}\left(S^{3}\right)$, we also know the following fact:
Fact $8.10 \quad \alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)=2 \alpha_{2}(3) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)$, which is obtained by a similar argument given in Page 184 of [25] using (3.9) (i) and Propositions 1.4 and 13.6 in [25]:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{2}(3) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2) \in\left\{\alpha_{1}(3), \Sigma\left(p \iota_{2 p-1}\right), \Sigma \alpha_{1}(2 p-1)\right\}_{1} \circ \Sigma^{2} \alpha_{1}(4 p-4) \\
=\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \Sigma\left\{p \iota_{2 p-1}, \alpha_{1}(2 p-1), \alpha_{1}(4 p-4)\right\},
\end{array}
$$

where the unstable Toda bracket $\left\{p \iota_{2 p-1}, \alpha_{1}(2 p-1), \alpha_{1}(4 p-4)\right\} \subset \pi_{6 p-6}\left(S^{2 p-1}\right)_{(p)} \cong{ }_{p} \pi_{4 p-5}^{S} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\left\{\alpha_{2}\right\}$ corresponds to the stable Toda bracket $\left\langle p \iota, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}$, since $\frac{4 p-5+3}{2(p-1)}<3 \leq p$ and $2 p-1=2(p-1)+1$. Thus $\left\{p \iota_{2 p-1}, \alpha_{1}(2 p-1), \alpha_{1}(4 p-4)\right\}$ determines $\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2}(2 p-1)$, and hence $\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)=2 \alpha_{2}(3) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)$ in $\pi_{6 p-5}\left(S^{3}\right)_{(p)}$.

Next we apply these facts to higher Hopf invariants.
By Fact 8.9 we have $\alpha_{2}(2 p) \circ \beta_{1}(6 p-5)=0$, since $p \geq 3$. Then by Theorem 8.4,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Sigma^{2} \beta_{1}(6 p-5)\right)^{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right) \\
& \quad= \pm E^{3}\left(\Omega \beta_{1} \widehat{\left.(6 p-5)_{0}\right)_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p) \circ \beta_{1}(6 p-5)\right)\right)=\{0\} .} .\right. \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By Fact 8.10 and Theorem 8.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{3}\left(\Omega \alpha_{1} \widehat{\left.(4 p-2)_{0}\right)_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \quad=E^{3}\left(\Omega \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)_{0}\right)_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(2 \alpha_{2}(3) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=2 e\left(\Sigma^{2} \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)\right)^{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)=2 e \alpha_{1}(4 p)^{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e= \pm 1$. Here we see that $E^{3}\left(\Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right) \simeq \Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right) * \Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right) * \Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)$ has the homotopy type of a wedge sum of spheres up to dimension $4 p+1(>4 p-2)$. Since the suspensions of Whitehead products are trivial, each element of $\Sigma H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)$ has the form $a \iota_{1} \circ \alpha_{2}(6)+b \iota_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}(2 p+4)$ by dimensional considerations, using Facts 8.7, 8.8 and Corollary 8.4.1 (2), where $a, b$ are integers modulo $p$ and $\iota_{1}: S^{6} \hookrightarrow \Sigma E^{3}\left(\Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)$ and $\iota_{2}: S^{2 p+4} \hookrightarrow$ $\Sigma E^{3}\left(\Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)$ denote appropriate inclusion maps. Since $\alpha_{1}(5) \circ \alpha_{1}(2 p+2)=0$ by Facts 8.7 and 8.8, we have $\alpha_{1}(2 p+4) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)=0$, and hence each element of $2 \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)^{*} \Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)$ has the form $2 a \alpha_{2}(6) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)=a \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)$ by Fact 8.10 . On the other hand, we know

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 h_{2}\left(\eta_{2} \circ \alpha_{2}(3)\right) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)=2 h_{2}\left(\eta_{2} \circ \alpha_{2}(3) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)\right) \\
& \quad=h_{2}\left(\eta_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)=\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)=2 \alpha_{2}(3) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\Sigma^{3}\left(h_{2}\left(\eta_{2} \circ \alpha_{2}(3)\right) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)\right)=\alpha_{2}(6) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)$. By the proof of Theorem 7.5, $\Sigma^{3} h_{2}\left(\eta_{2} \circ \alpha_{2}(3)\right) \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p-2)$ is given by the composition of $\pm \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)^{*} \Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)$ with an appropriate inclusion map by Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.3. Thus we have $a= \pm 1$ and $2 \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)^{*} \circ \Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)=\left\{ \pm \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)\right\}$, and hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\Sigma E^{3}\left(\Omega \alpha_{1} \widehat{(4 p-2}\right)_{0}\right)_{*} \circ \Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right)  \tag{8.5}\\
& \quad=2 e \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)^{*} \circ \Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)\right)=\left\{e^{\prime} \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)\right\}, \quad e^{\prime}= \pm 1
\end{align*}
$$

By (13.10) in [25], we know that $h_{p}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)=x \alpha_{1}(2 p+1)$ for some $x \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and there are no other non-trivial James Hopf invariants $h_{j}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right), 1<j \neq p$ for dimensional reasons. Hence we have $\operatorname{\Sigma ad}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)-\iota_{1} \circ \alpha_{2}(3)+\iota_{1} \circ \alpha_{2}(3)=H_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)=x \iota_{2} \circ \alpha_{1}(2 p+1)$, where $\iota_{1}: S^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega S^{3}$ and $\iota_{2}: S^{2 p+1} \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega S^{3}$ denote appropriate inclusion maps (see Remark 5). Thus the attaching map of the $4 p-1$ cell in $\Sigma \Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)-\Sigma \Omega\left(S^{2}\right)$ corresponding to that in $Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)=S^{2} \cup_{\eta_{2} \circ \alpha_{2}(3)} e^{4 p-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(\eta \circ \alpha_{2}(3)\right)=\Sigma \Omega \eta \circ \Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)=\Sigma \Omega \eta \circ\left(\Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)-\alpha_{2}(3)+\alpha_{2}(3)\right)  \tag{8.6}\\
& \quad=\Sigma \Omega \eta \circ\left(\Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)-\alpha_{2}(3)\right)+\Sigma \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{2}(3)=x \Sigma \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{1}(2 p+1)+\Sigma \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{2}(3) \tag{8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Then it follows that the attaching maps of cells in

$$
\Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right) * \Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right) * \Omega Q\left(\alpha_{2}(3)\right)-\Omega\left(S^{2}\right) * \Omega\left(S^{2}\right) * \Omega\left(S^{2}\right)
$$

up to dimension $8 p-2(>6 p-2)$ are given by suspensions of $\Sigma \mathrm{ad}\left(\eta \circ \alpha_{2}(3)\right)$. Hence by (8.5) and (8.6), there is an integer $y$ such that each element of $\Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right)$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\prime} \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)+y \Sigma^{2 p} \Sigma \operatorname{ad}\left(\eta \circ \alpha_{2}(3)\right) \\
& \quad=e^{\prime} \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)+y\left\{x \Sigma^{2 p+1} \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p+1)+\Sigma^{2 p+1} \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y$ is non-zero modulo $p$, then each element of $\beta_{1}(6 p-2)^{*} \Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right)$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\prime} \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3) \circ \beta_{1}(6 p-2)+y\left\{x \Sigma^{2 p+1} \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p+1) \circ+\Sigma^{2 p+1} \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)\right\} \circ \beta_{1}(6 p-2) \\
& \quad=y x \Sigma^{2 p} \Omega \eta \circ \alpha_{1}(4 p+1) \circ \beta_{1}(6 p-2) \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Sigma \Omega S^{2} \simeq \Sigma\left(S^{1} \times \Omega S^{3}\right) \simeq S^{2} \vee \Sigma \Omega S^{3} \vee \Sigma^{2} \Omega S^{3}$. This contradicts (8.4). Thus we obtain $\Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(4 p-2)\right)\right)=\left\{e^{\prime} \alpha_{1}(6) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p+3)\right\}, e^{\prime}= \pm 1$.
$Q E D$.
Using this, we show the following theorem.
Theorem 8.11 There is a series of simply connected closed $C^{\infty}$-manifolds $N_{p}$ indexed by odd primes $p \geq 5$ with cat $N_{p}=\operatorname{cat}\left(N_{p}-\{P\}\right)$, where $P$ is a point in $N_{p}$.

Remark 8.12 The manifold $N_{p}$ does not have the property in Theorem 8.11 if we consider $\operatorname{cat}_{q}$, for any prime $q \neq p$, instead of cat or cat ${ }_{p}$.

Proof of Theorem 8.11. We fix the prime $p \geq 5$ and let $L_{p}=E\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)$ for the prime $p$ (see Theorem 13.4 in [25]). Then $L_{p}$ is a $C^{\infty}$-manifold with a CW decomposition $S^{2} \cup_{\alpha}$ $e^{6 p-4} \cup_{\psi(\beta)} e^{6 p-2}$, where $\alpha=\eta \circ \beta$ and $\beta=\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)$. Here, $\alpha_{1}(3)$ is a co-H-map and $\alpha_{2}(2 p)$ is a suspension map, and hence we have $h_{2}\left(\eta \circ \alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)=\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)$ by Proposition 2.11(1). Also by Proposition 13.6 and (13.7) in [25] and by the fact that $S^{3}$ is an H-space, we know that

$$
\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p) \neq 0, \quad \Sigma^{1}\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { but } \quad \Sigma^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right) \in \pi_{6 p-3}\left(S^{5}\right)_{(p)}=0
$$

which implies that $h_{2}\left(\eta_{\circ} \alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right) \neq 0$ and $\Sigma_{*} H_{2}^{S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(2 p)\right)\right) \ni 0$ by Lemma 8.5. Hence by Fact 7.2 and Theorem 7.5, we have cat $\left(S^{2} \cup_{\alpha} e^{6 p-4}\right)=2,2 \leq$ cat $L_{p} \leq 3$. If cat $L_{p}=2$,
then we put $N_{p}=L_{p}$ which satisfies cat $\left(N_{p}-\{P\}\right)=\operatorname{cat}\left(S^{2} \cup_{\alpha} e^{6 p-4}\right) \cup_{\psi(\beta)} e^{6 p-2}=2=\operatorname{cat} L_{p}$. Otherwise we have cat $L_{p}=3$ and then by Theorem 7.8, we also have cat $L_{p} \times S^{n}=\operatorname{cat} L_{p}=$ $3, n \geq 1$, and then we put $N_{p}=L_{p} \times S^{2}$ which satisfies cat $\left(N_{p}-\{P\}\right)=\operatorname{cat}\left(L_{p} \times\{*\} \cup\right.$ $\left.\left(S^{2} \cup_{\alpha} e^{6 p-4}\right) \times S^{2}\right)=3=$ cat $N_{p}$. Thus, in each case, there is a $C^{\infty}$-manifold which satisfies the required property.
$Q E D$.
Theorem 8.13 There is a simply connected closed $C^{\infty}$-manifold $M$ such that cat $M=3$ and cat $M \times S^{n}=3$ for any $n \geq 2$ while we know only $3 \leq \operatorname{cat} M \times S^{1} \leq 4$ for $n=1$.

Corollary 8.13.1 There is a connected orientable closed $C^{\infty}$-manifold $N$ such that cat $N=$ cat $N \times S^{n}$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Remark 8.14 Ganea's conjecture for the manifold $M$ is true if we consider cat ${ }_{p}$, for any prime $p \neq 3$, instead of cat or cat ${ }_{3}$.

Proof of Theorem 8.13. Let $M=E\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right)$ for the prime $p=3$ (see [25]). Then $M$ is a $C^{\infty}$-manifold with a CW decomposition $S^{2} \cup_{\alpha} e^{14} \cup_{\psi(\beta)} e^{16}$, where $\alpha=\eta \circ \beta$ and $\beta=\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)$. Also by Theorem 13.4 in [25] and by the fact that $S^{5}$ is an H -space at $p=3$, we know that

$$
\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6) \neq 0, \quad \Sigma^{3}\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right) \neq 0 \in \pi_{16}\left(S^{6}\right)_{(3)} \quad \text { but } \quad \Sigma^{4}\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right) \in \pi_{17}\left(S^{7}\right)_{(3)}=0
$$

which implies that $\Sigma_{*}^{3} h_{2}\left(\eta \circ \alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right) \neq 0$ and $\Sigma_{*}^{2} H_{2}^{S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right)\right) \ni 0$ by Lemma 8.5. Hence by Theorems 7.5 and 7.8, we have that cat $M=3,3 \leq$ cat $M \times S^{1} \leq 4$ and cat $M \times S^{n}=3$ for $n \geq 2$.
$Q E D$.
Proof of Corollary 8.13.1. Let $M=E\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right)$ for the prime $p=3$ as in the proof of Theorem 8.13. Then $M$ is a $C^{\infty}$-manifold with cat $M=3,3 \leq$ cat $M \times S^{1} \leq 4$ and cat $M \times S^{n}=3$ for $n \geq 2$. If cat $M \times S^{1}=3$, we put $N=M$ which satisfies the required property. Otherwise, we put $N=M \times S^{1}$ with $\psi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right)$ as the attaching map of the top cell, where we know the set $\Sigma_{*} H_{3}^{S}\left(\psi^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right)\right)$ include the set $\Sigma_{*}^{2} H_{2}^{S}\left(\psi\left(\alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)\right)\right)$ which contains $\Sigma^{4} \alpha_{1}(3) \circ \alpha_{2}(6)=0$. Then Theorem 5.3 implies that $N$ satisfies the required properties. $Q E D$.
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