

ON THE K -RING STRUCTURE OF X -PROJECTIVE n -SPACE

By

Norio IWASE

(Received February 24, 1984)

§1. Introduction

Let X be an A_n -space in the sense of Stasheff [10, 11]. We recall that there is a sequence of quasi-fibrations $\{p_k\}$ with fibre X as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X = E^1 & \hookrightarrow & E^2 & \hookrightarrow & E^3 & \hookrightarrow & \dots \hookrightarrow E^n \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 & & \downarrow p_n \\ P^0 = P^0 & \hookrightarrow & P^1 = SX & \hookrightarrow & P^2 & \hookrightarrow & \dots \hookrightarrow P^{n-1} \hookrightarrow P^n \end{array}$$

- where
- 1) E^k has the same homotopy type as the k -fold join of X ,
 - 2) E^k is contractible in E^{k+1} ,
 - 3) P^k has the same homotopy type as the mapping cone of p_k .

The space P^k is called the X -projective k -space. The purpose of this paper is to determine the ring structure of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded K -ring of the X -projective k -space, $k \geq 3$. For $k=2$, the structure of $K^*(P^2)$ has been studied, for X satisfying suitable condition, by several authors, e.g. [12]. In §3, we shall construct a spectral sequence of Stasheff type [11], which converges to $K^*(P^n)$, and define an element x in $K^*(X)$ to be A_k -primitive, $k \leq n$ (Definition 3.3), a property which is proved to be equivalent to the existence of $u \in K^*(P^k)$ satisfying

$$s^*(x) = \iota_2^* \cdots \iota_k^*(u),$$

where s^* is the suspension isomorphism, and ι_i^* is the homomorphism induced from the inclusion $\iota_i: P^{i-1} \rightarrow P^i$ (Proposition 3.4). In [5], the author gives a certain decomposition of E^k and P^k , $k \leq n$. Making use of this decomposition and its Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence, we obtain the following Theorems.

THEOREM A. *Let X be 1-connected finite A_n -complex, $n \geq 3$, of rank l .*

Suppose $K^*(X)$ is generated by A_k -primitive elements $\{x_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq l}$, $k \leq n$, with $s^*(x_j) = \epsilon_2^* \cdots \epsilon_k^*(u_j)$ for some $u_j \in K^*(P^k)$.*) Then, for any choice of $\{u_j\}$, there is an isomorphism of rings over $K_* = K^{-*}(pt)$:

$$K^*(P^k) \cong K_*^{[k+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l] \oplus S_k,$$

where S_k , as defined in §3, is an ideal of $K^*(P^k)$ satisfying

$$\epsilon_k^*(S_k) = 0,$$

$$S_k \cdot \tilde{K}^*(P^k) = 0,$$

and $K_*^{[k+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l]$ is a truncated polynomial ring over K_* of height $k+1$.

It is an immediate consequence of Theorem B below that, for $k \leq n-1$, there exist A_k -primitive generators $\{x_j\}$ (see §5). Theorem A generalizes Corollary 2.5 of [4].

THEOREM B. *If X is a 1-connected finite A_n -complex, $n \geq 3$, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *the spectral sequence $\{E^{*,*}, d_r\}$ of Stasheff [10] collapses.*
- 2) *$K^*(X)$ is generated by A_n -primitive elements.*

COROLLARY. *Let X be a 1-connected finite A_n -complex, $n \geq 3$. Then $K^*(X)$ is an exterior algebra on odd dimensional A_{n-1} -primitive elements.*

This paper is organized as follows. Based on the theorem of J. P. Lin and L. Hodgkin, in §2, we present the K -cohomology of X and E^k , $k \leq n$. In §3, we introduce the spectral sequence of Stasheff type which converges to the K -cohomology of XP^n . Finally in §4 and §5, using results of §2 and §3, we prove the above theorems.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professors M. Kamata, M. Kato, M. Mimura and S. Oka for their valuable comments.

§2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some results which are used to prove Theorem A and Theorem B. If a finite 1-connected CW-complex X is an A_3 -space, then J. P. Lin [6, 7, 8] showed that its K -cohomology ring is torsion free.

) As in Theorem 2.1, $K^(X)$ becomes an exterior algebra generated by $\{x_j\}$ and $\deg x_j = -1$, $\deg u_j = 0$.

THEOREM (J. P. Lin). *If X is a 1-connected H -complex of finite type and its Pontrjagin ring with coefficient ring $\mathbf{Z}/2$ is associative, then the K -ring of X has no torsion.*

Moreover, making use of Hodgkin's observation in K -rings of Lie groups, we get

THEOREM 2.1 (J. P. Lin, J. R. Hubbuck, L. Hodgkin [8, 4, 3]) *If such X admits an A_n -structure, $n \geq 3$, then $K^*(X)$ is the exterior algebra on odd dimensional primitives.*

Thus in the remainder of this paper, a space X is always assumed to be a 1-connected finite A_n -complex with n greater than 2. We introduce the following mappings, as defined in [10].

$$\mu_k: X \times E^k \longrightarrow E^k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

such that

$$E^{k+1} \cong E^k \cup_{\mu_k} X \times C(E^k).$$

By induction, the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence of $(E^k \cup_{\mu_k} X \times C(E^k); E^k \cup_{\mu_k} X \times C_1, X \times C_2)$, where $C_1 \cup C_2 = C(E^k)$, $C_1 \cap C_2 = \{*\} \times E^k$, $C_1 \cong [0, 1] \times E^k / [0, 1] \times \{*\}$, where $*$ is the unit of X , $C_2 \cong C(E^k)$, and the homotopy equivalences $E^{k+1} \cong E^k \cup_{\mu_k} X \times C(E^k)$ imply that $K^*(E^k)$ can be described in terms of the elements of $K^*(X)$ (Remark 2.3). The Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence is as follows;

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^*(E^{k+1}) &\longrightarrow \tilde{K}^*(X) \oplus \tilde{K}^*(E^k) \xrightarrow{\langle -pr_X^*, \mu_k^* \rangle} \\ &\tilde{K}^*(X \times E^k) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{k+1}} \tilde{K}^{*+1}(E^{k+1}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

where pr_X is the canonical projection and Δ_{k+1} is the connecting homomorphism. We recall the inclusions $X \hookrightarrow E^k$ and $E^k \hookrightarrow E^{k+1}$ are all null-homotopic. So Δ_{k+1} is epimorphic. For $x \in \tilde{K}^*(X)$ and $e \in \tilde{K}^*(E^k)$, we define

$$x * e = \Delta_{k+1}(x \times e).$$

Then any element of $\tilde{K}^*(X \times E^k)$ is represented by a linear combination of $x * e$, $x \in \tilde{K}^*(X)$ and $e \in \tilde{K}^*(E^k)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *The homomorphism Δ_{k+1} restricted to $\tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \tilde{K}^*(E^k)$ is an isomorphism onto $\tilde{K}^*(E^{k+1})$.*

PROOF. This can be obtained by combining the exactness at $\tilde{K}^*(X \times E^k)$ of (2.1) and the fact that the homomorphism $\tilde{K}^*(E^{k+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^*(X) \oplus \tilde{K}^*(E^k)$ is a zero mapping. Q. E. D.

We define isomorphisms

$$\Delta^{(k+1)}: (\tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{K}^*(X))^j \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^{j+k}(E^{k+1})$$

by the following formulas,

- 1) $\Delta^{(1)}$ is the identity mapping,
- 2) $\Delta^{(k+1)} = \Delta_{k+1} \mu_k (1 \otimes \Delta^{(k)})$,

where $\mu_k: \tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \tilde{K}^*(E^k) \rightarrow \tilde{K}^*(X \times E^{k+1})$ is the cross product. We denote $\Delta^{(k+1)}(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{k+1})$ by $x_1 * \dots * x_{k+1}$.

REMARK 2.3. We may take the module basis $\{y_{j_1} * \dots * y_{j_{k+1}}: \{y_i\} \text{ is a module basis for } \tilde{K}^*(X)\}$ for $\tilde{K}^*(E^{k+1})$.

According to E. Thomas [12], the element $e \in \tilde{K}^*(E^k)$ is said to be primitive with respect to μ_k iff $\mu_k^*(e) = x \times 1 + 1 \times e'$ for some $x \in \tilde{K}^*(X)$ and $e' \in (E^k)$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. For $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in \tilde{K}^*(X)$, $k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad & \Delta_k(\text{pr}_{E^{k-1}})^*(x_1 * \dots * x_{k-1}) \\
 & = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^j x_1 * \dots * x_{j-1} * (\Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x_j)) * x_{j+1} * \dots * x_{k-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is the multiplication defining the H-space structure on X , $\bar{M}^*(x) = M^*(x) - x \times 1 - 1 \times x$ and $\text{pr}_{E^{k-1}}: X \times E^{k-1} \rightarrow E^{k-1}$ is the canonical projection.

PROOF. Let us consider the following commutative diagram [5];

(2.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(P^{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(pt) \oplus \tilde{K}^*(P^{k-2}) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & \downarrow p_k^* & & \downarrow 0^* \oplus p_{k-1}^* & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(E^k) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(X) \oplus \tilde{K}^*(E^{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & \downarrow \mu_k^* & & \downarrow M^* \oplus \mu_{k-1}^* & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(X \times E^k) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(X \times X) \oplus \tilde{K}^*(X \times E^{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \\
 & & & & \tilde{K}^*(E^{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-1}} & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^{k-1}) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & & \downarrow (pr_{E^{k-1}})^* & & \downarrow p_k^* \\
 & & & & \tilde{K}^*(X \times E^{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_k} & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(E^k) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & & \downarrow (M \times 1)^* & & \downarrow \mu_k^* \\
 & & & & \tilde{K}^*(X \times X \times E^{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_k} & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(X \times E^k) \longrightarrow \dots,
 \end{array}$$

where δ_{k-1} , Δ_k and Δ'_k are the suitable connecting homomorphisms for the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequences. We prove this proposition by induction on k . The formula (*) holds for $k=2$, because we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_2(pr_{E^1})^*(x) &= \Delta_2(1 \times x) \\
 &= \Delta_2(1 \times x) + \Delta_2(-M^*(x) + x \times 1) = -\Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the formula (*) holds for k . Since $\Delta_{k+1}\mu_k^* = 0$ by (2.1), we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Delta_{k+1}(pr_{E^k})^*(x_1 * \dots * x_k) \\
 &= \Delta_{k+1}(pr_{E^k})^*(x_1 * \dots * x_k) - \Delta_{k+1}\mu_k^*(x_1 * \dots * x_k) \\
 &= \Delta_{k+1}(pr_{E^k})^*(x_1 * \dots * x_k) - \Delta_{k+1}\mu_k^* \Delta'_k(x_1 \times (x_2 * \dots * x_k)) \\
 &= \Delta_{k+1}(pr_{E^k})^*(x_1 * \dots * x_k) - \Delta_{k+1}\Delta'_k(M \times 1)^*(x_1 \times (x_2 * \dots * x_k)) \\
 &= \Delta_{k+1}(1 \times (x_1 * \dots * x_k)) - \Delta_{k+1}\Delta'_k(1 \times x_1 \times (x_2 * \dots * x_k)) \\
 &\quad - \Delta_{k+1}(x_1 \times \Delta_k(pr_{E^{k-1}})^*(x_2 * \dots * x_k)) \\
 &\quad - \Delta_{k+1}\Delta'_k(\bar{M}^*(x_1) \times (x_2 * \dots * x_k)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis to $\Delta_k(1 \times (x_2 * \dots * x_k)) = \Delta_k(pr_{E^{k-1}})^*(x_2 * \dots * x_k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta_{k+1}(pr_{E^k})^*(x_1 * \cdots * x_k) \\
 &= -\Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x_1) * x_2 * \cdots * x_k \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} (-1)^j x_1 * \cdots * x_{j-1} * \Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x_j) * x_{j+1} * \cdots * x_k \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^j x_1 * \cdots * x_{j-1} * \Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x_j) * x_{j+1} * \cdots * x_k. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} u_j * v_j$, where $M^*(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} u_j \times v_j + x \times 1 + 1 \times x$, x is primitive if and only if $\Delta_2 \bar{M}^*(x) = 0$. Hence Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 imply the following.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let x_1, \dots, x_k be non-zero elements of $\tilde{K}^*(X)$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- 1) *Each x_j is primitive,*
- 2) *$x_1 * \cdots * x_k$ is primitive with respect to μ_k .*

§3. The Stasheff spectral sequence

Suppose that X is an A_n -space. Then there is the following exact couple:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} D^{*,*} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D^{*,*} \\ & \searrow \gamma & \swarrow \beta \\ & E^{*,*} & \end{array}$$

where

$$D^{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & i < 0, \\ K^j(pt), & i = 0, \\ K^{i+j}(P^i), & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ K^{i+j}(P^n), & n+1 \leq i \end{cases}$$

$$E^{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & i < 0 \text{ or } n+1 \leq i, \\ K^j(pt), & i = 0, \\ \tilde{K}^{i+j-1}(E^i), & 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and $\alpha: D^{i,j} \rightarrow D^{i-1,j+1}$ is induced from the inclusion mapping $\iota_i: P^{i-1} \rightarrow P^i$, $i \leq n$, and for $i \geq n+1$, α is the identity. $\beta: D^{i,j} \rightarrow E^{i+1,j-1}$ is induced from the pro-

jection mapping $p_{i+1}: E^{i+1} \rightarrow P^i$, $i \leq n-1$, and for $i \geq n$, β is the zero-mapping. $\gamma: E^{i,j} \rightarrow D^{i,j}$ is the connecting homomorphism, $i \leq n-1$, and for $i \geq n$, γ is the zero-mapping.

DEFINITION 3.1. We define the submodule \bar{d}_r of $E^{*,*} \oplus E^{*,*}$ and the submodules $\text{Ker } \bar{d}_r$, $\text{Im } \bar{d}_r$, $\text{Dom } \bar{d}_r$ and $\text{Ind } \bar{d}_r$ of the module $E^{*,*}$ as follows:

$\bar{d}_r \ni (x, y)$ iff there exists an element $z \in D^{*,*}$ such $y = \beta(z)$, $\alpha^{r-1}(z) = \gamma(x)$,

$\text{Ker } \bar{d}_r \ni x$ iff $(x, 0) \in \bar{d}_r$,

$\text{Im } \bar{d}_r \ni x$ iff there exists an element $y \in E^{*,*}$ such that $(y, x) \in \bar{d}_r$,

$\text{Dom } \bar{d}_r \ni x$ iff there exists an element $y \in E^{*,*}$ such that $(x, y) \in \bar{d}_r$,

$\text{Ind } \bar{d}_r \ni x$ iff $(0, x) \in \bar{d}_r$.

Then $\text{Ker } \bar{d}_{r-1} / \text{Im } \bar{d}_{r-1} = \text{Dom } \bar{d}_r / \text{Ind } \bar{d}_r$ is the r -th term $E_r^{*,*}$ of the spectral sequence induced by the above exact couple. Therefore we obtain the following.

PROPOSITION 3.2. If X is an A_n -space, then there exists a spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r\}$ which converges to $K^*(P^n)$ such that $d_r = 0$ for $r \geq n$ and $E_n^{*,*} = E_{n+1}^{*,*} = \dots = E_\infty^{*,*}$.

DEFINITION 3.3. We say that $x \in \tilde{K}^*(X)$ is A_k -primitive iff $x \in \text{Ker } \bar{d}_{k-1}$. x is said to be $(k-1)$ -transgressive iff there exists $y \in \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^{k-1})$ such that $\delta'_k(x) = p_k^*(y)$ where $\delta'_k: \tilde{K}^*(X) \rightarrow K^{*+1}(E^k, X)$ is the connecting homomorphism. (cf. E. Thomas [12])

PROPOSITION 3.4. If X is an A_n -space, the following three statements are equivalent for $x \in \tilde{K}^*(X)$, $k \leq n$:

- 1) x is A_k -primitive,
- 2) x is $(k-1)$ -transgressive,
- 3) $s^*(x) = \iota_2^* \dots \iota_k^*(y)$ for some $y \in \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^k)$.

PROOF. The equivalence of 1) and 3) follows readily from the definition. We are left to prove the equivalence of 2) and 3). Consider the following commutative diagram,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \tilde{K}^*(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{K}^*(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{K}^*(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{K}^*(X) \\ & & \downarrow \delta'_k & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ K^{*+2}(D^k, E^k) & \xleftarrow{\delta_k^*} & K^{*+1}(E^k, X) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K^{*+1}(D^k, X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K^{*+1}(CX, X) & \cong & s^* \\ & \uparrow \sigma_{k+1}^* & \uparrow p_k^* & & \uparrow \sigma_{k+1}^* & & \uparrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ K^{*+2}(P^k, P^{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{\delta_k} & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^{k-1}) & \xleftarrow{\iota_k^*} & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^k) & \xrightarrow{\iota_2^* \dots \iota_k^*} & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(SX) = \tilde{K}^{*+1}(SX) \end{array}$$

where D^k is a space, defined in Stasheff [10], such that (D^k, E^k) is homotopically equivalent to $(C(E^k), E^k)$ and for $k \leq n-1$, D^k is a subspace of E^{k+1} . σ_{k+1} is a mapping from D^k to P^k which is an extension of $p_k: E^k \rightarrow P^{k-1}$ for $k \leq n$ and the restriction of p_{k+1} to D^k for $k \leq n-1$. The second row with the last term $K^{*+1}(CX, X)$ removed, and the third row with the last term $\tilde{K}^{*+1}(SX)$ removed are exact. Assume that x satisfies 3). Then there exists $y \in K^{*+1}(P^k, pt) = \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^k)$ such that $s^*(x) = \iota_2^* \cdots \iota_k^*(y)$. From the diagram (3.2), we get

$$\delta'_k(x) = p_k^* \iota_k^*(y),$$

Conversely, assume that x is $(k-1)$ -transgressive. Then there exists $y' \in \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^{k-1})$ such that $\delta'_k(x) = p_k^*(y')$. Since $\sigma_{k+1}^* \delta_k(y') = \delta_k'' p_k^*(y') = \delta_k'' \delta'_k(x) = 0$ and σ_{k+1}^* is an isomorphism, there exists an element $y \in \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^k)$ such that $y' = \iota_k^*(y)$ and $s^*(x) = \iota_2^* \cdots \iota_k^*(y)$. Q. E. D.

In particular, we remark that, for an element x in $K^*(X)$, where X is an A_∞ -space, x is A_∞ -primitive iff it is ∞ -transgressive.

Next we consider the following commutative diagram:

(3.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^k) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(P^{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^{*+1}(E^k) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}^{*+2}(P^k) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & & & \uparrow \delta_{k-1} & & \uparrow \Delta_k \\ & & & & \tilde{K}^*(E^{k-1}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K}^*(X \times E^{k-1}) \\ & & & & \uparrow \Delta^{(k-1)} & & \\ & & & & (\tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{K}^*(X))^{*+2-k} & & \end{array}$$

We denote by P the submodule consisting of all primitive elements of $K^*(X)$ and by D the submodule consisting of all decomposable elements of $K^*(X)$. Then we have $\tilde{K}^*(X) = P \oplus D$ and because the rank of X is l , the rank of P and D are l and $2^l - l - 1$ respectively. We define the following modules.

$$\bar{S}_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \underset{i}{D} \otimes \tilde{K}^*(X) \otimes \dots \otimes \tilde{K}^*(X),$$

$$\tilde{S}_{k-1} = \Delta^{(k-1)}(\bar{S}_{k-1}) \subseteq \tilde{K}^*(E^{k-1}),$$

$$S_{k-1} = \delta_{k-1}(\tilde{S}_{k-1}) \subseteq \tilde{K}^*(P^{k-1}).$$

Then the rank of \tilde{S}_{k-1} is equal to $\text{rank } \bar{S}_{k-1} = (2^l - 1)^{k-1} - l^{k-1}$ and the rank of S_1 is equal to $2^l - l - 1$. We have the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.5. Coker $p_k^* \delta_{k-1}$ is torsion free and isomorphic to

$$\frac{\overbrace{P^* \cdots P^*}^k}{P^* \cdots P^* \cap \text{Im } p_k^* \delta_{k-1}} \oplus \frac{\tilde{S}_k}{\tilde{S}_k \cap \text{Im } p_k^* \delta_{k-1}}$$

PROOF. Take any element $x_{i_1}^* \cdots x_{i_{k-1}}^*$ from the module basis of $\tilde{K}^*(E^{k-1})$ given in Remark 2.3. As $p_k^* \delta_{k-1} = \delta_k (pr_{E^{k-1}})^*$, the formula (*) of Proposition 2.4 implies that $p_k^* \delta_{k-1} (x_{i_1}^* \cdots x_{i_{k-1}}^*)$ can not be divisible by any integer greater than 1. Hence Coker $p_k^* \delta_{k-1}$ is torsion free. Since $\tilde{K}^*(E^k) \cong P^* \cdots P^* \oplus \tilde{S}_k$, the lemma follows. Q. E. D.

We mention that the above $\{p_k^* \delta_{k-1}\}$ represent the first differential d_1 of the spectral sequence $\{E_r^*, d_r\}$. Then Proposition 2.4 tells us that $\{E_1^{k,*}, d_1, k \geq 0\}$ is the cobar construction of the exterior algebra on odd dimensional primitive elements, $k \leq n-1$.

PROPOSITION 3.6.

$$d_1(\tilde{S}_{k+1}) \cap \tilde{S}_k = \text{Ker } d_1 \cap \tilde{S}_k, \text{ for } k \leq n-1.$$

PROOF. We define a certain differential algebra. Let $(E^1, *)^j$ be a submodule of $E^{1,*} = K^*(X)$ generated by $\{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_j} \mid \text{each } x_i \text{ is the primitive generator}\}$, and let

$$(E^i, *)^j = \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_i = j} (E^1, *)^{j_1} \cdots (E^1, *)^{j_i} \subseteq E^i, *$$

then $d_1: (E^i, *)^j \rightarrow (E^{i+1}, *)^j$ is

$$d_1(x_1^* \cdots x_i^*) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j x_1^* \cdots (d_2 \bar{M}^*(x_j))^* \cdots x_i^*, & i \leq n-1, \\ 0, & i \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{E}^{1,*}$ be an exterior algebra of rank l on odd dimensional primitive generators with co-multiplication m . Denote by \bar{P} the submodule of $\bar{E}^{1,*}$ generated by the primitive elements. $\{\bar{E}^{1,j}\}$ are defined to be the submodules of $\bar{E}^{1,*}$ generated by the following set;

$$\{\bar{x}_1 \cdots \bar{x}_j \mid \text{each } \bar{x}_i \text{ is primitive}\}.$$

Define

$$\bar{E}^{i,j} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}, & i=0, \\ \sum_{j_1 + \cdots + j_i = j} (\bar{E}^{1,j_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\bar{E}^{1,j_i}), & i \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

equipped with the differential $d' : \bar{E}^{i,j} \rightarrow \bar{E}^{i+1,j}$ given by

$$d'(\bar{x}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j \bar{x}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_{j-1} \otimes (\bar{m}(\bar{x}_j)) \otimes \bar{x}_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_i,$$

where $\bar{m}(\bar{x}) = m(\bar{x}) - \bar{x} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \bar{x}$.

Consider the homomorphism $\phi : \bar{E}^{i,j} \rightarrow (E^{i,*})^j$ given by

$$\phi(\bar{x}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_i) = \begin{cases} x_1^* \cdots x_i^*, & i \leq n, \\ 0, & i \geq n+1. \end{cases}$$

Immediately we see that $d_1 \phi = \phi d'$ and ϕ is isomorphic for $i \leq n$.

According to J. C. Moore [9] and A. Borel [1],

$$\begin{aligned} H(\bar{E}^{*,*}) &\cong \text{Ext}^{*,*}_{\wedge(\bar{x}'_1, \dots, \bar{x}'_l)}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Z}[\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_l], \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{x}'_j is the dual to \bar{x}_j , and \bar{u}_j is the image of \bar{x}_j under the transgression. Therefore $\text{Ker } d' / \text{Im } d' \cong \mathbf{Z}[\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_l]$. On the other hand, $d'(\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P}) = 0$ and $(\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P}) \cap \text{Im } d'$ is the module generated by the following set;

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\bar{x}_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_{i_{j-1}} \otimes (\bar{x}_{i_j} \otimes \bar{x}_{i_{j+1}} - \bar{x}_{i_{j+1}} \otimes \bar{x}_{i_j}) \otimes \bar{x}_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_{i_k} : \text{each} \\ &\quad \bar{x}_{i_t} \text{ is a primitive generator}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\overbrace{\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P}}^k}{\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P} \cap \text{Im } d'} \cong \frac{\text{Ker } d'}{\text{Im } d'} = \mathbf{Z}[\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_l].$$

Let us determine the rank of above modules;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } \frac{\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P}}{\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P} \cap \text{Im } d'} &= \frac{(l+k-1)!}{(k-1)!l!} \\ &= \text{rank } \mathbf{Z}\{n_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k} : 1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq l\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the following equation:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P}}{\bar{P} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{P} \cap \text{Im } d'} = \frac{\text{Ker } d'}{\text{Im } d'}$$

Since ϕ is an isomorphism for $k \leq n$, we get

$$\frac{P^* \cdots P^* P}{P^* \cdots P^* P \cap \text{Im } d_1} = \frac{P^* \cdots P^* P \oplus \tilde{S}_k \cap \text{Ker } d_1}{(P^* \cdots P^* P \cap \text{Im } d_1) \oplus (\tilde{S}_k \cap \text{Im } d_1)}$$

and $\tilde{S}_k \cap \text{Ker } d_1 = \tilde{S}_k \cap \text{Im } d_1$.

Q. E. D.

§4. Proof of Theorem A

Let us recall the following basic theorem.

THEOREM 4.1 (E. Thomas [12]). *Let x_1, \dots, x_k be A_k -primitive elements in $H^{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbf{Q}) \cong K^{-1}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, and $\{y_j\}$ be elements such that $\epsilon_2^* \cdots \epsilon_k^*(y_j) = s^*(x_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then the following formula holds:*

$$\delta_k(x_1^* \cdots x_k^*) = y_1 \cdots y_k.$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If X satisfies the hypothesis in Theorem A, then the following hold.*

- (A)_k $K^*(P^k) \cong K_*^{[k+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l] \oplus S_k$ as modules,
- (B)_k $p_k^*|_{S_{k-1}}$ is monomorphic,
- (C)_k $\delta_k(x_1^* \cdots x_k^*) = u_1 \cdots u_k$,

where $\epsilon_2^* \cdots \epsilon_k^*(u_i) = s^*(x_i)$, $K^*(X) \cong \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_l)$.

PROOF. As $K^*(SX) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \delta_1(P) \oplus \delta_1(D)$ for $k=1$, we get (A)₁, (C)₁. The fact “ P^0 = one point space” implies (B)₁. Suppose that (A)_i, (B)_i and (C)_i hold for $i \leq k$. The hypothesis for $K^*(X)$ implies

$$(p_{k+1})^*(K_*^{[k+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l]) = 0,$$

$$\text{Im } (p_{k+1})^* = \text{Im } (p_{k+1})^* \delta_k.$$

Proposition 3.6 implies that

$$\text{Ker } \delta_k \cap \tilde{S}_k = p_k^*(S_{k-1}) \cap \tilde{S}_k = \text{Ker } (p_{k+1})^* \delta_k \cap \tilde{S}_k.$$

Hence $(p_{k+1})^*|_{\delta_k(\tilde{S}_k)}$ is monomorphic. So far we have:

- 1) $K^*(P^k) = K_*^{[k+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l] \oplus S_k$,
- 2) $(p_{k+1})^*(K_*^{[k+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l]) = 0$,
- 3) $(p_{k+1})^*|_{S_k}$ is monomorphic.

Consequently (B)_{k+1} is satisfied. It is clear by Lemma 3.5 that $\text{Coker } (p_{k+1})^* = \text{Coker } d_1$ is torsion free. On the other hand $K^*(P^{k+1})$ has no torsion. Let x_1, \dots, x_l be A_{k+1} -primitive elements in $K^1(X)$, and $\{u_j\}$ be elements such that

$\iota_2^* \cdots \iota_{k+1}^*(u_j) = s^*(x_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq l$. Using naturality and injectivity of the Chern character on $K^*(P^k)$, Theorem 4.1 implies $(C)_{k+1}$. The following short exact sequence is valid:

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(p_{k+1})^* \longrightarrow K^*(P^{k+1}) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(p_{k+1})^* \longrightarrow 0$$

Moreover, by the above observation,

$$\text{Coker}(p_{k+1})^* \cong K_*[u_1, \dots, u_l],$$

$$\text{Ker}(p_{k+1})^* \cong K_*\{u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{k+1}} : \iota_2^* \cdots \iota_{k+1}^*(u_j) = s^*(x_j), 1 \leq j \leq l\} \oplus S_{k+1}.$$

Therefore we obtain an isomorphism $(A)_{k+1}$.

Q. E. D.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Going back to the definition of $\{u_j\}$, it is readily seen that the isomorphism $(A)_k$ of Proposition 4.2 preserves multiplication.

This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Q. E. D.

§5. Proof of Theorem B

We first assume that the spectral sequence collapses. Proposition 2.5 implies that $\text{Ker}\{d_1: E_1^{1,1} \cong K^1(X) \rightarrow K^0(X) \cong E_1^{2,1}\}$ is the module of primitive elements previously denoted by P . Since $E_1^{1,1} \cong \cdots \cong E_\infty^{1,1}$, we get $d_r(P) = 0$ for all r . This means that primitive elements are A_n -primitive. Conversely assume that $d_r(P) = 0$ for $r \leq n-1$. It follows from Theorem A that;

$$\text{Im}((\iota_{k-r})^* \cdots \iota_k^*) = \text{Im}(\iota_{k-r})^* \cong K_*^{[k-r]}[u_1, \dots, u_l],$$

for $k \leq n$, $r \leq k-1$, and

$$\text{Ker}((\iota_{k-r})^* \cdots (\iota_{k-1})^*) \cap S_{k-1} = \text{Ker}(\iota_{k-1})^* \cap S_{k-1}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker} \bar{d}_r &= (\delta_{k-r})^{-1}((\iota_{k-r+1})^* \cdots (\iota_{k-1})^*(\text{Ker } p_k^*)) \\ &= (\delta_{k-r})^{-1}(\text{Im}(\iota_{k-r+1})^*) = \text{Ker } \bar{d}_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \bar{d}_r &= p_k^*(((\iota_{k-r+1})^* \cdots (\iota_{k-1})^*)^{-1}(\text{Im } \delta_{k-r})) \\ &= p_k^*(\text{Ker}((\iota_{k-r})^* \cdots (\iota_{k-1})^*)) \\ &= p_k^*(\text{Ker}(\iota_{k-1})^*) = \text{Im } \bar{d}_1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem B.

Q. E. D.

PROOF of COROLLARY. The proof of Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 4.1, allow us to calculate the E_2 -term of the Stasheff spectral sequence. We get the following

$$E_2^{*,*} \cong K_*^{[n+1]}[u_1, \dots, u_l] \oplus S_n.$$

Since every u_j is of even total degree, we get

$$d_r(u_j) = 0, \quad 2 \leq r \leq n-2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

References

- [1] A. BOREL: *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 115–207.
- [2] W. BROWDER and E. THOMAS: *On the projective plane of an H -space*, Illinois J. Math. **7** (1963), 492–502.
- [3] L. HODGKIN: *On the K -theory of Lie groups*, Topology **6** (1967), 1–36.
- [4] J. R. HUBBUCK: *Mod p associative H -spaces of given rank*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **88** (1980), 153–160.
- [5] N. IWASE: *On the ring structure of $K^*(XP^n)$ (in Japanese)*, Master Thesis, Kyushu Univ. 1983.
- [6] J. P. LIN: *Torsion in H -spaces, I*, Ann. of Math. **103** (1976), 457–487.
- [7] J. P. LIN: *Torsion in H -spaces, II*, Ann. of Math. **107** (1978), 41–88.
- [8] J. P. LIN: *Two torsion and the loop space conjecture*, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 35–91.
- [9] J. C. MOORE: *Seminaire H. Cartan 1954–55, Algèbres d'Eilenberg-MacLane et Homotopie; Exposés 2 et 3*.
- [10] J. D. STASHEFF: *Homotopy associativity of H -spaces, I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 275–292.
- [11] J. D. STASHEFF: *Homotopy associativity of H -spaces, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 293–312.
- [12] E. THOMAS: *On functional cup-products and the transgression operator*, Arch. Math. **12** (1961), 435–444.

*Department of Mathematics
Kyushu University 33
Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812
Japan*