### Stochastic six vertex model

Ivan Corwin (Columbia University)

Stochastic six vertex 1 Page 1

### Goals of first hour

### Physical goal: Uncover nonequilibrium Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) universality class behavior in the equilibrium six vertex model (6V).



Mathematical goal: Describe how to analyze the stochastic six vertex model (S6V) via Markov dualities and Bethe ansatz methods.

Six vertex model [Pauling '35], [Slater '41], [Lieb '67]



What happens in the large system limit? How do weights matter?

### Gibbs states



lead to (possibly) different Gibbs states. The phase diagram of such Gibbs states is mostly conjectural and relies upon a key parameter

$$\Delta := \frac{a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2 - c_1 c_2}{2\sqrt{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2}} = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}{2ab}$$





# What happens in the disordered phase, or at its boundary? How disordered is disordered?



Stochastic six vertex 1 Page 6



### Disordered phase (free fermion case)

Points in disordered phase lead to Gibbs states with various average horizontal and vertical line densities. [Nienhuis '84] conjectured that disordered states have Gaussian free field height function fluctuations.

[Kenyon '01] proved results for free fermion case ( $\Delta = 0$ ).



Portion of a Gibbs state for the aztec tiling model









### Stochastic point

For  $\Delta > 1$  the 'conical points' in the phase diagram correspond with a one-parameter family of explicit 'stochastic' Gibbs states. [Jayaprash-Sam '84] [Bukman-Shore '95] [Aggarwal '16]



### Stochastic six vertex model



yields stochastic six vertex model (S6V) [Gwa-Spohn '92]. Markov update provides interacting particle system interpretation.



### Stochastic Gibbs states

Let 
$$ho=rac{1-b_1}{1-b_2}$$
 and (  $\delta_1$  ,  $\delta_2$  ) be solutions to

Bernoulli product measure ( $\delta_1$  on the y-axis and  $\delta_2$  on the x-axis) is stationary [Aggarwal '16] and hence produces an infinite volume 'stochastic Gibbs state'.



<u>Theorem [Bukman-Shore '95] [Aggarwal '16]</u>: Stochastic Gibbs states (above) are conical point Gibbs states for the symmetric 6V model when  $b_1 = \frac{b}{a} (\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}), \quad b_2 = \frac{b}{a} (\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 1}).$ 



![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $\delta_2$ 

### Stationary S6V height fluctuations

Define the height function (zero at the origin):

<u>Theorem [Aggarwal '16]</u>: For fixed  $b_1, b_2$  the stochastic Gibbs state height function fluctuates like distance<sup>1/2</sup> with Gaussian distribution, except along the 'characteristic direction' where it's like distance<sup>1/3</sup> with stationary KPZ distribution [Baik-Rain '01].

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

characteristic direction comes from the Hamilton-Jacobi hydrodynamic limit flux (essentially  $\delta_1$  as a function of  $\delta_2$  ).

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

Stationary S6V height fluctuations

# Compare to conjectural Gaussian free field disordered phase behavior with

# logarithmic scale fluctuations.

fluctuates like distance<sup>1/2</sup> with Gaussian distribution, except along the characteristic

direction' where it's like distance<sup>1/3</sup> with stationary KPZ distribution [Baik-Rain '01].

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

characteristic direction comes from the Hamilton-Jacobi hydrodynamic limit flux (essentially  $\delta_1$  as a function of  $\delta_2$  ).

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Step initial data S6V height fluctuations

<u>Theorem [Borodin-C-Gorin '14]</u>: For step initial data S6V  $\lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{H(Lx, Ly; \omega)}{L} = \mathcal{H}(x, y) \text{ where the limit shape is}$ 

$$\mathcal{H}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\sqrt{y(1-b_1)} - \sqrt{x(1-b_2)}\right)^2}{b_1 - b_2}, & \frac{1-b_1}{1-b_2} < \frac{x}{y} < \frac{1-b_2}{1-b_1}, \\ 0, & \frac{x}{y} \le \frac{1-b_1}{1-b_2}, \\ x-y, & \frac{x}{y} \ge \frac{1-b_2}{1-b_1}. \end{cases}$$

The fluctuations around the limit shape are given by

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}(x, y)L - H(Lx, Ly; \omega)}{\sigma_{x, y} L^{1/3}} \le s\right) = F_{\text{GUE}}(s)$$

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

### SPDE limit of SGV

<u>Theorem [C-Ghosal-Shen-Tsai '18]</u>: Let  $b_1, b_2 \to b \in (0, 1)$  with  $\Delta = \frac{b_1 + b_2}{2\sqrt{b_1 b_2}} \approx 1 + \epsilon$ . The stationary initial data S6V height function converges (after centering and scaling) along the characteristic directions to the stationary (Brownian initial data) solution to the KPZ equation:  $\partial_t \mathcal{H}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \Delta \mathcal{H}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left(\partial_x \mathcal{H}(t,x)\right)^2 + \sqrt{D} \dot{\mathcal{W}}(t,x).$ 

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

Stochastic Gibbs states converge to stationary solutions to the stochastic Burgers equation!

### Recap and what's next

- Gibbs states arise from 6V on torus with external fields. Mapping between field strength and Gibbs state line densities is not simple.
- Disordered states should have GFF and log-correlated fluctuations.
- Stochastic Gibbs states arise at conical point. Fluctuations have 1/3 KPZ exponent in characteristic directions, and the entire field admits a limit when  $\Delta 
  ightarrow 1^+$  to the stationary KPZ equation.
- There are other KPZ class / equation convergence results.
- Rest of the talk will focus on two methods (Markov duality and Bethe ansatz) which play important roles in these type of results.

### Markov duality

<u>Definition</u>: Two Markov processes  $x(t) \in X$  and  $y(t) \in Y$  are dual with respect to  $Q: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $t \ge 0$ :  $\mathbb{E}^x \left[ Q(x(t), y) \right] = \mathbb{E}^y \left[ Q(x, y(t)) \right]$ .

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

<u>Theorem [C-Petrov '15]</u>: The S6V particle process  $\vec{x}(t)$  and the independent, space reversed S6V k-particle process  $\overleftarrow{y}(t)$  are dual with respect to  $Q(\overrightarrow{x}, \overleftarrow{y}) := \prod k \tau^{N_{y_i}(\overrightarrow{x})}$ , where  $\tau = b_2/b_1 \in (0, 1)$  and  $N_y(\vec{x}) = \max\{n : x_n(t) \le y\}$ .

Such dualities can be proved directly (as above or in [Borodin-C-Sasamoto '12]...), inductively ([Lin '19]) or based on quantum group symmetries ([Schutz '95], [Carinci-Giardina-Redig-Sasamoto '16], [Kuan '17]...)

i=1

### Microscopic stochastic heat equation

**Definition:** The Cole-Hopf solution to the KPZ equation

$$\partial_t \mathcal{H}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \Delta \mathcal{H}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left( \partial_x \mathcal{H}(t,x) \right)^2 + \sqrt{D} \mathcal{V}$$

is  $\mathcal{H}(t,x) := \log \mathcal{Z}(t,x)$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}$  solves the stochastic heat equation (SHE)  $\partial_t \mathcal{Z}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \Delta \mathcal{Z}(t,x) + \frac{\kappa}{\delta} \sqrt{D} \mathcal{Z}(t,x) \dot{\mathcal{W}}(t,x)$ 

S6V duality implies that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \tau^{N_{y_i}(\vec{x}(t))}\right] = \sum_{\substack{\overleftarrow{y}' \in \mathbb{Y}^k}} \mathbb{P}_{\overleftarrow{S6V}}(\overleftarrow{y} \to \overleftarrow{y}'; t) \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} \tau^{N_{y'_i}(\vec{x}(0))}$ .

--  $\tau^{N_y(\vec{x}(t))}$  solves a discrete SHE with an explicit martingale whose quadratic variation involves the k=2 duality function.

Key challenge in convergence to SHE is to control the martingale.

- [Bertini-Giacomin '95] does this for ASEP via complicated identity (doesn't work for SGV).
- [C-Ghosal-Shen-Tsai '17] uses 2-particle duality and Bethe ansatz.

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

 $\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t,x)$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_14.jpeg)

### (Coordinate) Bethe ansatz

[Borodin-C-Gorin '14]: Explicit formulas for transition probabilities for k-particle S6V (in spirit of [Tracy-Widom '07] and [Lieb '67])

$$\mathbb{P}_{\overleftarrow{S6V}}(\overleftarrow{y} \to \overleftarrow{y}'; t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi \mathbf{i})^k} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_R} \cdots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_R} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{1 \le i < j \le k} \mathfrak{C}(z_i, z_j, \sigma) \prod_{i=1}^k z_{\sigma(i)}^{y_i - y'_{\sigma(i)} - 1} \mathfrak{D}(z_i, t) dz_i$$

where 
$$\mathfrak{C}(z_i, z_j, \sigma) := \frac{1 - (1 + \tau^{-1}) z_{\sigma(i)} + \tau^{-1} z_{\sigma(i)} z_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - (1 + \tau^{-1}) z_i + \tau^{-1} z_i z_j}$$
 and  $\mathfrak{D}(z, t) := \left(\frac{b_1 + (1 - b_1 - b_2) z^{-1}}{1 - b_2 z^{-1}}\right)^t$ .

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

Explicit formulas like these are also starting points for KPZ universality asymptotics

### Plancherel theory

## Left/right eigenfunctions diagonalize k-particle S6V transition matrix: For $\vec{y} = (y_1 > \cdots > y_k)$ and $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k) \in (\mathcal{C} \setminus \{1, \tau^{-1}\})^k$ define $\psi_{\vec{z}}^{\ell}(\vec{y}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \prod_{1 \le b \le a \le k} \frac{z_{\sigma(a)} - \tau z_{\sigma(b)}}{z_{\sigma(a)} - z_{\sigma(b)}} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{1 - \tau^{-1} z_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - z_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^{y_j},$ and $\psi_{\vec{z}}^{r}(\vec{y}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k}} \prod_{1 < b < a < k} \frac{z_{\sigma(a)} - \tau^{-1} z_{\sigma(b)}}{z_{\sigma(a)} - z_{\sigma(b)}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1 - \tau^{-1} z_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - z_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^{-y_{j}}$

Plancherel theory [Borodin-C-Petrov-Sasamoto '14]:

The forward transform  $(\mathcal{F}f)(\vec{z}) := \sum f(\vec{y})\psi_{\vec{z}}^r(\vec{y})$  and the backward transform  $y_1 > \cdots > y_k$  $|w_i| = R \in (1, \tau^{-1}), 1 \le j \le k$  $(\mathcal{J}g)(\vec{y}) := \operatorname{const} \cdot \oint \cdots \oint \det \left[\frac{1}{\tau w_i - w_j}\right]_{i,j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{w_j}{(1 - w_j)(1 - \tau^{-1}w_j)} \psi_{\vec{w}}^\ell(\vec{y})g(\vec{w})d\vec{w}$ 

are mutual inverses so that  $\mathcal{FJ} = \mathrm{Id}$  and  $\mathcal{JF} = \mathrm{Id}$ .

### Some extensions

- Symmetric functions:
  - [Borodin '14], [Borodin-Petrov '16], [Borodin-Wheeler '17] prove Cauchy identities, Pieri and branching rules for 'spin Hall-Littlewood' and 'spin q-Whittaker' functions.
- Fusion:
  - [C-Petrov '15] (building on [Kulish-Reshetikhin-Sklyanin '81] and [Mangazeev '14]) introduce higher-spin stochastic vertex models (Beta RWRE arises from this).
- Elliptic:
  - [Borodin '16] lifts to elliptic level 'dynamic S6V' and 'dynamic ASEP'. [Borodin-C '17] prove duality for dynamic ASEP and [C-Ghosal-Matetski '19] prove SPDE limit. [Aggarwal '16] gives higher-spin dynamic models.
- High rank:
  - [Kuniba-Mangazeev-Maruyama-Okado '16] introduce high rank models and [Kuan '17] proves their duality. [Borodin-Wheeler '18] develop their symmetric function theory.
- And much more...

### Summary

- Six vertex model has an interesting (mostly conjectural) phase diagram.
- Disordered Gibbs states are expected to have GFF fluctuations.
- Using the stochastic six vertex model, we can construct the oneparameter family of stochastic Gibbs states which arise at the conical point in the phase diagram.
- Stochastic Gibbs states show KPZ universality class fluctuations along their characteristic direction, and when  $\Delta \to 1^+$  they converge to the stationary solutions to the KPZ equation.
- Duality and Bethe ansatz are key tools in proving both results.

### Stochastic six vertex model

Ivan Corwin (Columbia University)

### Goals of second hour

• Prove a stochastic heat equation (SHE) Laplace transform formula:  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{SHE}}\left[e^{-u\mathcal{Z}(2\tau,0)e^{\tau/12}}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{Airy}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+ue^{\tau^{1/3}\mathbf{A}_k}}\right]$ 

where  $\mathcal{Z}(t,x)$  is the fundamental solution to the SHE:  $\partial_t \mathcal{Z}(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mathcal{Z}(t,x) + \mathcal{Z}(t,x) \dot{\mathcal{W}}(t,x), \qquad \mathcal{Z}(0,x) = \delta_{x=0}$ 

and  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots$ , is the Airy<sub>2</sub> point process.

- Tomorrow: Tsai will use this identity as the parting point to derive large deviations and tails for the KPZ equation.
- Today: We will derive this result using a combination of two tools: • Yang-Baxter equation and Macdonald processes

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Airy<sub>2</sub> point process

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Airy<sub>2</sub> point process has other characterizations: • A determinantal point process with a simple explicit kernel. • The spectrum of the stochastic Airy operator. • The limit of many other point processes, e.g. the Schur measure that we will encounter later.

### How to prove the identity?

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{SHE}}\left[e^{-u\mathcal{Z}(2\tau,0)e^{\tau/12}}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\text{Airy}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+u^{k}}\right]$$

- [Borodin-Gorin '16] proved this as an easy corollary of the SHE Fredholm determinant formula [Sasamoto-Spohn '10], [Calabrese-Le Doussal-Rosso '10], [Dotsenko '10], [Amir-C-Quastel '10].
- Where does such a formula come from? Can compute SHE moments via 'replica trick' (a version of Markov duality). Moments DO NOT determine the distribution of the SHE, so that route is not rigorous!
- Proof: We lift to a discrete regularization, the S6V model and use a non-trivial relationship between S6V and measures on partitions. There are other approaches (including duality) I will not discuss...

 $rac{1}{e^{ au^{1/3} \mathbf{A}_k}}$ 

### Inhomogeneous stochastic six vertex model

• Consider an inhomogeneous version of S6V with weights

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

with  $a_x$  for column x and  $b_y$  is for row y such that  $a_x b_y$  and t in [0,1).

Fix step initial data and define a height function H(x,y) as shown (this picture is flipped versus earlier for convenience)

 $b_1$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_12.jpeg)

<u>Limit 1</u>: Convergence result from S6V to KPZ/SHE that we already saw along with limit of the Pochhammer symbol to an exponential. <u>Identities 2 and 3</u>: We will focus on these. Identity 2 uses ideas from [Betea-Wheeler-Zinn-Justin 14] + [Borodin-Bufetov-Wheeler 16]. Limit 4: Follows from convergence of explicit determinantal kernels.

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

### t-Boson vertex model

Consider the following vertex weights [Tsilevich '06] with arbitrary vertical lines and O/1 horizontal lines, subject to line conservation:

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Here t and a or in [0,1). We can put together weights like this

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

where the internal line configurations are summed over.

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

a

### Skew Hall-Littlewood polynomials

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

Skew Hall-Littlewood polynomial  $P_{\lambda/\mu}(a)$  = weight from  $\lambda$  to  $\mu$ .

Multivariable skew Hall-Littlewood  $a_1 \quad 0$ polynomial  $P_{\lambda/\mu}(a_1, \ldots a_M)$  involves stacking rows with variables  $a_1, \dots, a_M$ and summing weights over all possible  $a_M$  0 internal configurations and  $j_1, \dots, j_M$ .

Line conservation implies partition lengths satisfy  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu) + 1$ .

![](_page_28_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Yang-Baxter equation $b_{y} = b_{x} + b_{y} + b_{x} + b_{x} + b_{y} +$

The relationship between Hall-Littlewood polynomials and the S6V model can be seen from the Yang-Baxter equation:

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

External lines are fixed and internal lines are summed over. Spectral variables flip and the X's are S6V vertices rotated by  $45^{\circ}$ .

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Q polynomials and limiting Yang-Baxter equation

YBE involves a and  $b^{-1}$ . We introduce a dual set of t-Boson weights (in salmon) by replacing a by  $b^{-1}$  and multiplying through by b.

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Composing and take  $L \to \infty$  only non-zero with right incoming line:

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

If we take the  $L \to \infty$  limit of the YBE, we arrive at:

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

Stochastic six vertex 1 Page 31

![](_page_30_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Hall-Littlewood process and Cauchy identity

Fixing parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_M$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_N$ , define the Hall-Littlewood process to be the probability measure on sequences of partitions:  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda^{(1)},\ldots,\lambda^{(M+N)}) = \frac{P_{\lambda^{(1)}}(a_1)P_{\lambda^{(2)}/\lambda^{(1)}}(a_2)\cdots P_{\lambda^{(M)}/\lambda^{(M-1)}}(a_M)Q_{\lambda^{(M)}/\lambda^{(M+1)}}(b_N)\cdots Q_{\lambda^{(M+N)}}(b_1)}{(b_1)}$ Z(a;b)

Normalization is given by the Cauchy-Littlewood identity:

$$Z(a,b) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1 - ta_i b_j}{1 - a_i b_j}$$

In terms of the t-Boson vertex model, the Hall-Littlewood process is the weight of this configuration:

?'s relate to change in length of partitions.

 $a_1$ 

 $a_M$ 

 $b_N$  .

 $b_1$ 

![](_page_31_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Hall-Littlewood process lengths

Consider the probability of seeing lengths T(1),...,T(M+N) under the Hall-Littlewood process. This equals the sum of weights of all configurations like

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Now, use the YBE to sequentially swap b and a rows:

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

Stochastic six vertex 1 Page 33

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Enter the S6V height function

After swapping the order of all a's and b's, the normalizing constant has been fully absorbed and we are left equality to the weight of

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

The weight of the left side of the picture is 1 and the right side weight is precisely the probability of seeing the given sequence of output lines for the step initial data S6V model.

We have proved identity 2  
Recall identity 2: 
$$\mathbb{E}_{S6V}\left[\frac{1}{(-ut^{H(x,y)};t)_{\infty}}\right] \stackrel{2}{=} \mathbb{E}_{E}$$

- We used the Yang-Baxter equation to match the distribution of the Hall-Littlewood process lengths to the distribution of the output lines for the S6V model. Identity 2 follows readily from this.
- The marginal of the Hall-Littlewood measure on a single intermediate partition is the Hall-Littlewood measure on a partition

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda) = \frac{P_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_M)Q_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_M)}{Z(a; b)}$$

with Hall-Littlewood polynomials P and Q, and same Z as before.

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Identity 3: Enter the Macdonald measure

Replacing P and Q by Macdonald symmetric polynomials depending on q and t in [0,1), [Borodin-C '11] define the Macdonald measure:

$$\mathbb{P}_{q,t}(\lambda) = \frac{P_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_M; q, t)Q_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_M; q, t)Q_{\lambda}(b_1,$$

The normalization is now given by the Cauchy-Littlewood identity:  $\sum_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}(a_1, \dots, a_M) Q_{\lambda}(b_1, \dots, b_N) = Z_{q,t}(a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(ta_i b_j; q)_{\infty}}{(a_i b_j; q)_{\infty}}$ 

Macdonald polynomials have many degenerations, including  $\circ$  Hall-Littlewood polynomials when q=O, • Schur polynomials when q=t.

### $\ldots, b_N; q, t)$

### g-independence

Define the Macdonald difference operator which act the a-variables  $D_M^u = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, M\}} t^{|I|(|I|-1)/2} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} \frac{ta_i - a_j}{a_i - a_j} \prod_{i \in I} T_{q,i} .$ 

Here, u is arbitrary and  $T_{q,i}$  shifts  $a_i$  to  $qa_i$ . The eigenrelation  $D^u_M P_\lambda(a;q,t) = e_\lambda(u,q,t) P_\lambda(a;q,t)$  with  $e_\lambda(u,q,t) = \prod (1+uq^{\lambda_i}t^{M-i})$ not only defines the polynomials, but also enables us to calculate  $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}\left[e_{\lambda}(u,q,t)\right] = \frac{D_M^a Z(a,b;q,t)}{Z(a,b;q,t)} .$ 

It is easy to see that the right side is, in fact, q-independent! Equating q=O (Hall-Littlewood) and q=t (Schur) yields identity 3.

### Recap

To prove an identity between SHE and Airy, we found an identity between two discrete regularizations, S6V and Schur measure. Identity 2 relates S6V to the Hall-Littlewood process using the Yang-Baxter equation for t-Bosons. Identity 3 relate the Hall-Littlewood and Schur measure using a further lifting to Macdonald measures.

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Some extensions

- Hall-Littlewood RSK:
  - [Bufetov-Matveev '17] provide a Markov chain on interlacing partitions which preserves that class of Hall-Littlewood processes and whose marginal on lengths is the S6V model.
- Yang-Baxter fields and bijectivization:
  - [Bufetov-Petrov '17] and [Bufetov-Mucciconi-Petrov '19] use Yang-Baxter equation to construct other Markov chains like above, also for higher-spin models.
- Half-space:
  - [Barraquand-Borodin-C-Wheeler '17] provide relation between half-space versions of the S6V and Hall-Littlewood process (special case of half-space Macdonald process [Barraquand-Borodin-C '18]) and prove half-space identity and asymptotics.
- Gibbsian line ensembles
  - [C-Dimitrov '17] interpret S6V and Hall-Littlewood relationship via Gibbsian line ensembles [C-Hammond '11] and prove predicted KPZ 2/3 transversal exponent.
- And much more...

### Summary of three lectures

### • What did I do

• Lecture 1: Conjured and 'solved' the Beta RWRE out of thin air.

- Lecture 2: 'Solved' S6V via Bethe ansatz diagonalization and Markov duality.
- Lecture 3: Revealed a key source of solvability, the Yang-Baxter equation, and connected vertex models to symmetric function measures on partitions.
- What didn't I do?
  - Lots! For example, how does the Beta RWRE arises from S6v? From where does duality come? How does one do actually perform asymptotics?...
- What will other people do at this program?
  - Imamura: Higher-spin models and another other routes to get Fredholm determinant formulas for measures in the Macdonald hierarchy.
  - Tsai: How to use the identity we discussed in this lecture to prove KPZ equation tail and large deviation results.
  - Basu: How inputs from integrable probability inform geometric problems in LPP.