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#### Abstract

We determine the L-S category of $S p(3)$ by showing that the 5 -fold reduced diagonal $\bar{\Delta}_{5}$ is given by $\nu^{2}$, using a Toda bracket and a generalised cohomology theory $h^{*}$ given by $h^{*}(X, A)=\{X / A, \mathcal{S}[0,2]\}$, where $\mathcal{S}[0,2]$ is the 3 -stage Postnikov piece of the sphere spectrum $\mathcal{S}$. This method also yields a general result that $\operatorname{cat}(S p(n)) \geq n+2$ for $n \geq 3$, which improves the result of Singhof [21].


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we firstly discuss the L-S category of $G_{2}$ as in Theorem 1.1 to illustrate the methods to be used later in the argument for $S p(3)$. Secondly, we prove $\operatorname{cat}(S p(3))=5$ as in Theorem 1.2, although an alternative proof of it can be deduced from public sources by Lucía Fernández-Suárez, Antonio Gómez-Tato, Jeffrey Strom and Daniel Tanré $[\mathbf{6}]$; the earlier version, however, appeared to the authors to contain an error ([5]). In fact, this is our starting point and motivation to write the present paper with a short and clear proof for $\operatorname{cat}(S p(3))=5$. Finally we show that this argument for $S p(3)$ partially extends to the general case as in Theorem 1.4.

From now on, each space is assumed to have the homotopy type of a CW complex. The (normalised) L-S category of $X$ is the least number $m$ such that there is a covering of $X$ by $(m+1)$ open subsets each of which is contractible in $X$. Hence cat $\{*\}=0$. By Lusternik and Schnirelmann [14], the number of critical points of a smooth function on a manifold $M$ is bounded below by cat $M+1$.
G. Whitehead showed that $\operatorname{cat}(X)$ coincides with the least number $m$ such that the diagonal map $\Delta_{m+1}: X \rightarrow \prod^{m+1} X$ can be compressed into the 'fat wedge' $\mathrm{T}^{m+1}(X)$ (see Chapter X of $\left.[\mathbf{2 4}]\right)$. Since $\prod^{m+1} X / \mathrm{T}^{m+1}(X)$ is the $(m+1)$ fold smash product $\wedge^{m+1} X$, we have a weaker invariant wcat $X$, the weak $L-S$ category of $X$, given by the least number $m$ such that the reduced diagonal map $\bar{\Delta}_{m+1}: X \rightarrow \wedge^{m+1} X$ is trivial. Hence $w$ cat $X \leq \operatorname{cat} X$.

[^0]T. Ganea has also introduced a stronger invariant Cat $X$, the strong $L$ - $S$ category of $X$, by the least number $m$ such that there is a space $Y$ homotopy equivalent to $X$ and a covering of $Y$ by $(m+1)$ open subsets each of which is contractible in itself. Thus $w$ cat $X \leq$ cat $X \leq \operatorname{Cat} X$. The weak and strong L-S categories usually give nice estimates of L-S category especially for manifolds. Actually, we do not know any example of a closed manifold whose strong L-S, L-S and weak L-S categories are not the same. The following problems are posed by Ganea [7]:
i) (Problem 1) Determine the L-S category of a manifold.
ii) (Problem 4) Describe the L-S category of a sphere-bundle over a sphere in terms of homotopy invariants of the characteristic map of the bundle.
Problem 1 has been studied by many authors, such as Singhof $[\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 1}, 22]$, Montejano [16], Schweizer [19], Gomez-Larrañaga and Gonzalez-Acuña [8], James and Singhof $[\mathbf{1 3}]$ and Rudyak $[\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{1 8}]$. In particular for compact simply-connected simple Lie groups, $\operatorname{cat}(S U(n+1))=n$ for $n \geq 1$ by [20], $\operatorname{cat}(S p(2))=3$ by [19] and $\operatorname{cat}(S p(n)) \geq n+1$ for $n \geq 2$ by [21]. It was also announced recently that Problem 4 was solved by the first-named author [10].

The method in the present paper also provides a result for $G_{2}$, and thus we have the following result.

ThEOREM 1.1. The following is the complete list of L-S categories of a simplyconnected compact simple Lie group of rank $\leq 2$ :

| Lie groups | $\operatorname{Sp}(1)=\operatorname{SU}(2)=\operatorname{Spin}(3)$ | $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ | $\operatorname{Sp}(2)=\operatorname{Spin}(5)$ | $G_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wcat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| cat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Cat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Although the above result is known for experts, we give a short proof for $G_{2}$. In fact, the result for $G_{2}$ has never been published and is obtained in a similar but easier manner than the following result for $S p(3)$ :

Theorem 1.2. $w \operatorname{cat}(S p(3))=\operatorname{cat}(S p(3))=\operatorname{Cat}(S p(3))=5$.
Remark 1.3. The argument given to prove Theorem 1.2 provides an alternative proof of Schweizer's result

$$
w \operatorname{cat}(S p(2))=\operatorname{cat}(S p(2))=\operatorname{Cat}(S p(2))=3 .
$$

The authors know that a similar result to Theorem 1.2 is obtained by Luciá Fernández-Suárez, Antonio Gómez-Tato, Jeffrey Strom and Daniel Tanré [6]. Our method is, however, much simpler and provides the following general result:

THEOREM 1.4. $n+2 \leq w \operatorname{cat}(S p(n)) \leq \operatorname{cat}(S p(n)) \leq \operatorname{Cat}(S p(n))$ for $n \geq 3$.
This improves Singhof's result: $\operatorname{cat}(S p(n)) \geq n+1$ for $n \geq 2$. We propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.5. Let $G$ be a simply-connected compact Lie group with $G=$ $\prod_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$ where $H_{i}$ is a simple Lie group. Then $w \operatorname{cat}(G)=\operatorname{cat}(G)=\operatorname{Cat}(G)$ and $\operatorname{cat}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cat}\left(H_{i}\right)$.

It might be difficult to say something about cat $S p(n)$, but an old conjecture says the following.

Conjecture 1.6. cat $S p(n)=2 n-1$ for all $n \geq 1$.
The authors thank John Harper for many helpful conversations and also the referee for giving them some comments, in particular, regarding Remark 2.4.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us recall a CW decomposition of $G_{2}$ from [15]:

$$
G_{2}=e^{0} \cup e^{3} \cup e^{5} \cup e^{6} \cup e^{8} \cup e^{9} \cup e^{11} \cup e^{14} .
$$

On the other hand, we have the following cone-decomposition.
TheOrem 2.1. There is a cone-decomposition of $G_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{2}^{(5)}=\Sigma \mathbb{C} P^{2}, \quad S^{5} \cup e^{7} \rightarrow G_{2}^{(5)} \hookrightarrow G_{2}^{(8)} \\
& S^{8} \cup e^{10} \rightarrow G_{2}^{(8)} \hookrightarrow G_{2}^{(11)}, \quad S^{13} \rightarrow G_{2}^{(11)} \hookrightarrow G_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The first and the last formulae are obvious. So we show the 2nd and 3rd formulae: By taking the homotopy fibre $F_{1}$ of $G_{2}^{(5)} \hookrightarrow G_{2}$, we can easily observe using the Serre spectral sequence that the fibre has a CW structure given by $S^{5} \cup$ $e^{7} \cup$ (cells in dimensions $\geq 7$ ), where the cohomology generators corresponding to $S^{5}$ and $e^{7}$ are transgressive. Thus the mapping cone of $S^{5} \cup e^{7} \subset F_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}^{(5)}$ has the homotopy type of $G_{2}^{(8)}$. Similarly, the homotopy fibre $F_{2}$ of $G_{2}^{(8)} \hookrightarrow G_{2}$ has a CW structure given by $S^{8} \cup e^{10} \cup$ (cells in dimensions $\geq 10$ ), where the cohomology generators corresponding to $S^{8}$ and $e^{10}$ are transgressive. Thus the mapping cone of $S^{8} \cup e^{10} \subset F_{2} \rightarrow G_{2}^{(8)}$ has the homotopy type of $G_{2}^{(11)}$.
$Q E D$.
Corollary 2.1.1. $1 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}^{(5)}\right) \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}^{(3)}\right), 2 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}^{(8)}\right) \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}^{(6)}\right)$, $3 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}^{(11)}\right) \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}^{(9)}\right)$ and $4 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Let us recall the following well-known fact due to Borel.
FACT 2.2. $\quad H^{*}\left(G_{2} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{3}, x_{5}\right] /\left(x_{3}^{4}, x_{5}^{2}\right)$.
Corollary 2.2.1. $\quad w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}^{(5)}\right) \geq w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}^{(3)}\right) \geq 1, w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}^{(8)}\right) \geq w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}^{(6)}\right)$ $\geq 2, w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}^{(11)}\right) \geq w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}^{(9)}\right) \geq 3$ and $w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq 4$.

Corollaries 2.1.1 and 2.2.1 yield the following.
Theorem 2.3.

| Skeleta | $G_{2}^{(3)}$ | $G_{2}^{(5)}$ | $G_{2}^{(6)}$ | $G_{2}^{(8)}$ | $G_{2}^{(9)}$ | $G_{2}^{(11)}$ | $G_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wcat | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| cat | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Cat | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Remark 2.4. If we disregard the information of $L$-S categories of $C W$ filtrations of $G_{2}$ and if we want only to deduce the equation $w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right)=\operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}\right)=4$, we have an alternative short proof of it rather than the above elementary homotopy-theoretical argument: Since the manifold $G_{2}$ is 2 -connected and of dimension 14 , we know that $\operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right) \leq \frac{14}{3}$ by James $[\mathbf{1 1}]$. On the other hand, the cohomology algebra of $G_{2}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is well-known by Borel as in Fact 2.2, and hence its cup-length is 4 and we get immediately that $w \operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right)=\operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right)=4$. Concerning on the strong L-S category $\operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}\right)$ of a manifold $G_{2}$, we are in the range of validity of Corollary 5.9 of Clapp and Puppe [3] which implies immediately that $\operatorname{cat}\left(G_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Cat}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

## 3. The ring structure of $h^{*}(S p(3))$

To show Theorem 1.2, we introduce a cohomology theory $h^{*}(-)$ such that $h^{*}(X, A)$ $=\{X / A, \mathcal{S}[0,2]\}$, where $\mathcal{S}[0,2]$ is the spectrum obtained from the sphere spectrum $\mathcal{S}$ by killing all homotopy groups of dimensions bigger than 2 . Then $\mathcal{S}[0,2]$ is a ring spectrum with $\pi_{*}^{S}(\mathcal{S}[0,2]) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\eta] /\left(\eta^{3}, 2 \eta\right)$, where $\eta$ is the Hopf element in $\pi_{1}^{S}(\mathcal{S})=\pi_{1}^{S}(\mathcal{S}[0,2])$. Thus $h^{*}$ is an additive and multiplicative cohomology theory with $h^{*}=h^{*}(p t) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{3}, 2 \varepsilon\right), \operatorname{deg} \varepsilon=-1$, where $\varepsilon \in h^{-1}=\pi_{0}^{S}\left(\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{S}\right) \cong \pi_{1}^{S}(\mathcal{S})$ corresponds to $\eta$.

The characteristic map of the principal $S p(1)$-bundle

$$
S p(1) \hookrightarrow S p(2) \rightarrow S^{7}
$$

is given by $\omega=\left\langle\iota_{3}, \iota_{3}\right\rangle: S^{6} \rightarrow S p(1) \approx S^{3}$ the Samelson product of two copies of the identity $\iota_{3}: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$, which is a generator of $\pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 12 \mathbb{Z}$. We state the following well-known fact (see Whitehead [24]).

FACT 3.1. Let $\mu: S^{3} \times S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ be the multiplication of $S p(1) \approx S^{3}$. Then we have

$$
S p(2) \simeq S^{3} \cup_{\mu \circ(1 \times \omega)} S^{3} \times C\left(S^{6}\right)=S^{3} \cup_{\omega} C\left(S^{6}\right) \cup_{\hat{\mu} \circ\left[\iota_{3}, \omega\right]^{r}} C\left(S^{9}\right)
$$

where $\hat{\mu}: S^{3} \times S^{3} \cup_{* \times \omega}\{*\} \times C\left(S^{6}\right) \rightarrow S^{3} \cup_{\omega} C\left(S^{6}\right)$ is given by $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{S^{3} \times S^{3}}=\mu$ and $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{S^{3} \cup_{\omega} C\left(S^{6}\right)}=1$ the identity and $\left[\iota_{3}, \chi_{\omega}\right]^{r}: S^{9} \rightarrow S^{3} \times S^{3} \cup_{* \times \omega}\{*\} \times C\left(S^{6}\right)$ is the relative Whitehead product of the identity $\iota_{3}: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ and the characteristic map $\chi_{\omega}:\left(C\left(S^{6}\right), S^{6}\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{3} \cup e^{7}, S^{3}\right)$ of the 7 -cell. Thus we have $1 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(2)^{(3)}\right)$, $2 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(2)^{(7)}\right)$ and $3 \geq \operatorname{Cat}(S p(2))$.

Let $\nu: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ be the Hopf element whose suspension $\nu_{n}=\Sigma^{n-4} \nu(n \geq 4)$ gives a generator of $\pi_{n+3}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 24 \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 5$. Then we remark that $\omega_{n}=$ $\Sigma^{n-3} \omega(n \geq 3)$ satisfies the formula $\omega_{n}=2 \nu_{n} \in \bar{\pi}_{n+3}\left(S^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 5$. By Zabrodsky [25], there is a natural splitting

$$
\Sigma\left(S^{3} \times S^{3} \cup\{*\} \times\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)\right) \simeq \Sigma S^{3} \vee \Sigma\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \vee \Sigma S^{3} \wedge S^{3} .
$$

Then by the definition of a relative Whitehead product, the composition of $\left[\iota_{3}, \omega\right]^{r}$ with the projections to $S^{3}$ and $S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}$ are trivial and the composition with the projection to $S^{3} \wedge S^{3}$ is given by $\iota_{3} \wedge \omega$. Thus we have

$$
\Sigma\left(\hat{\mu} \circ\left[\iota_{3}, \omega\right]^{r}\right)=H(\mu) \circ \Sigma\left(\iota_{3} \wedge \omega\right)= \pm \nu \circ \omega_{7}=2 \nu \circ \nu_{7} \neq 0
$$

in $\pi_{10}\left(S^{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 24 \mathbb{Z}\left\langle\nu \circ \nu_{7}\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}\left\langle\omega_{4} \circ \nu_{7}\right\rangle$, and hence we have

$$
\Sigma^{2}\left(\hat{\mu} \circ\left[\iota_{3}, \omega\right]^{r}\right)=\nu_{5} \circ \omega_{8}=2 \nu_{5}^{2}=0 \in \pi_{11}\left(S^{5}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

by Proposition 5.11 of Toda [23]. Thus we have the following well-known facts.
FACT 3.2. We have the following homotopy equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S p(2) / S^{3} \simeq\left(S^{3} \times C\left(S^{6}\right)\right) /\left(S^{3} \times S^{6}\right)=S_{+}^{3} \wedge \Sigma\left(S^{6}\right)=S^{7} \vee S^{10} \\
& \Sigma^{2} S p(2) \simeq \Sigma^{2}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} C\left(S^{6}\right)\right) \vee \Sigma^{2} S^{10}=S^{5} \cup_{\omega_{5}} C\left(S^{8}\right) \vee S^{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

FACT 3.3. The 11-skeleton $X_{3,2}^{(11)}$ of $X_{3,2}=S p(3) / S p(1)$ has the homotopy type of $S^{7} \cup_{\nu_{7}} e^{11}$.

Restricting the principal $S p(1)$-bundle $S p(1) \hookrightarrow S p(3) \xrightarrow{q} X_{3,2}$ to the subspace $X_{3,2}^{(11)}=S^{7} \cup_{\nu_{7}} e^{11}$ of $X_{3,2}$, we obtain the subspace $q^{-1}\left(X_{3,2}^{(11)}\right)=S p(3)^{(14)}$ of $S p(3)$ as the total space of the principal $S p(1)$-bundle $S p(1) \hookrightarrow S p(3)^{(14)} \xrightarrow{q} \Sigma\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)$
with a characteristic map $\phi: S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10} \rightarrow S p(1) \approx S^{3}$, which is an extension of $\omega: S^{6} \rightarrow S^{3}$.

Proposition 3.4. We have the following homotopy equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S p(3)^{(14)} \simeq S^{3} \cup_{\mu \circ(1 \times \phi)} S^{3} \times C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right) \\
& \quad=S^{3} \cup_{\phi} C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right) \cup C\left(S^{9} \cup_{\nu_{9}} e^{13}\right), \\
& \begin{aligned}
S p(3)^{(14)} / S^{3} \simeq & \left.\simeq S^{3} \times C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)\right) /\left(S^{3} \times\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)\right) \\
\quad & =S_{+}^{3} \wedge \Sigma\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)=\left(S^{7} \cup_{\nu_{7}} e^{11}\right) \vee\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right), \\
S p(n) \simeq & S p(n-1) \cup S p(n-1) \times C\left(S^{4 n-2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S p(n-1) \subset S p(n)^{((2 n+1) n-11)}$ for $n \geq 3$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
S p(n) / & S p(n)^{((2 n+1) n-11)} \\
\simeq & \left(S p(n-1) \times C\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)\right) /\left(S p(n-1) \times S^{4 n-2}\right. \\
& \left.\cup S p(n-1)^{((2 n-1)(n-1)-11)} \times C\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(S p(n-1) / S p(n-1)^{((2 n-1)(n-1)-11)}\right) \wedge \Sigma S^{4 n-2} \\
= & \cdots=(S p(2) / \emptyset) \wedge \Sigma S^{10} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Sigma S^{4 n-2}=\left(S p(2)_{+}\right) \wedge S^{(2 n+1) n-10} \\
= & S^{(2 n+1) n-10} \vee S^{(2 n+1) n-10} \wedge S p(2) \\
= & S^{(2 n+1) n-10} \vee\left(S^{(2 n+1) n-7} \cup_{\omega_{(2 n+1) n-7}} e^{(2 n+1) n-3}\right) \vee S^{(2 n+1) n}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields the following result.
Proposition 3.5. Let $\hat{\mu}: S^{3} \times S^{3} \cup_{* \times \phi}\{*\} \times\left(S^{3} \cup_{\phi} C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)\right) \rightarrow S^{3} \cup_{\phi}$ $C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)$ be the map given by $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{S^{3} \times S^{3}}=\mu$ and $\left.\hat{\mu}\right|_{S^{3} \cup_{\phi} C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)}=1$ the identity. Then we have the following cone decomposition of $\operatorname{Sp}(3)$ :

$$
S p(3) \simeq S^{3} \cup_{\phi} C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right) \cup_{\hat{\mu} \circ \hat{\phi}} C\left(S^{9} \cup_{\nu_{9}} e^{13}\right) \cup C\left(S^{17}\right) \cup C\left(S^{20}\right)
$$

Corollary 3.5.1. $1 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(3)}\right), 2 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(7)}\right), 3 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(14)}\right)$ $\geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(11)}\right) \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(10)}\right), 4 \geq \operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(18)}\right)$ and $5 \geq \operatorname{Cat}(S p(3))$.

To determine the ring structures of $h^{*}(S p(2))$ and $h^{*}(S p(3))$, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. Let $h^{*}$ be any multiplicative generalised cohomology theory and let $Q=S^{r} \cup_{f} e^{q}$ for a given map $f: S^{q-1} \rightarrow S^{r}$ with $h^{*}(Q) \cong h^{*}\langle 1, x, y\rangle$, where $x$ and $y$ correspond to the generators of $h^{*}\left(S^{r}\right) \cong h^{*}\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$ and $h^{*}\left(S^{q}\right) \cong h^{*}\left\langle y_{0}\right\rangle$. Then

$$
x^{2}= \pm \bar{H}_{1}^{h}(f) \cdot y \quad \text { in } \quad h^{*}(Q),
$$

where $\bar{H}_{1}^{h}$ is the composition $\rho^{h} \circ \lambda_{2}$ of the Boardman-Steer Hopf invariant $\lambda_{2}$ : $\pi_{q-1}\left(S^{r}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{q}\left(S^{2 r}\right)$ (see Boardman and Steer [2]) with the Hurewicz homomorphism $\rho^{h}: \pi_{q}\left(S^{2 r}\right) \rightarrow h^{2 r}\left(S^{q}\right) \cong h^{2 r-q}$ given by $\rho^{h}(g)=\Sigma_{*}^{-q} g^{*}\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{0}\right)$.

Remark 3.7. By $[\mathbf{2}], \lambda_{2}(f)$ is equal to $\Sigma h_{2}^{J}(f)$ the suspension of the 2nd James Hopf invariant $h_{2}^{J}(f)$. Hence by Remarks 2.5 and 4.3 of $[\mathbf{9}], \lambda_{2}(f)=\Sigma h_{2}(f)$ gives the Berstein-Hilton crude Hopf invariant $\bar{H}_{1}(f)$ (see Berstein-Hilton [1] or [9]).

Proof. By [2], $\bar{\Delta}: Q=S^{r} \cup_{f} e^{q} \rightarrow Q \wedge Q$ equals the composition $\left(i_{Q} \wedge i_{Q}\right) \circ \lambda_{2}(f) \circ q_{Q}$, where $q_{Q}: Q \rightarrow Q / S^{r}=S^{q}$ is the collapsing map and $i_{Q}: S^{r} \hookrightarrow Q$ is the bottomcell inclusion. Then we have $i_{Q}^{*}(x)=x_{0}$ and $q_{Q}^{*}\left(y_{0}\right)=y$, and hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} & =\bar{\Delta}^{*}(x \otimes x)=\left(\left(i_{Q} \wedge i_{Q}\right) \circ \lambda_{2}(f) \circ q_{Q}\right)^{*}(x \otimes x) \\
& =q_{Q}^{*}\left(\lambda_{2}(f)^{*}\left(i_{Q}^{*}(x) \otimes i_{Q}^{*}(x)\right)\right)=q_{Q}^{*}\left(\lambda_{2}(f)^{*}\left(x_{0} \otimes x_{0}\right)\right)=q_{Q}^{*}\left(\Sigma_{*}^{q} \circ \rho^{h}\left(\lambda_{2}(f)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Sigma_{*}^{q} \circ \rho^{h}\left(\lambda_{2}(f)\right)$ is $\bar{H}_{1}^{h}(f) \cdot y_{0} \in h^{2 r}\left(S^{q}\right)$ up to sign, we proceed as

$$
x^{2}=q_{Q}^{*}\left( \pm \bar{H}_{1}^{h}(f) \cdot y_{0}\right)= \pm \bar{H}_{1}^{h}(f) \cdot q_{Q}^{*}\left(y_{0}\right)= \pm \bar{H}_{1}^{h}(f) \cdot y .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
$Q E D$.
Using cohomology long exact sequences derived from the cell structure of $S p(3)$ and a direct calculation using Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.6 with the fact that $\lambda_{2}(\omega)=\eta_{6}$, we deduce the following result for the cohomology theory $h^{*}$ considered at the beginning of this section.

ThEOREM 3.8. The ring structures of $h^{*}(S p(2))$ and $h^{*}(S p(3))$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{*}(S p(2)) \cong h^{*}\left\{1, x_{3}, x_{7}, y_{10}\right\} \\
& h^{*}(S p(3)) \cong h^{*}\left\{1, x_{3}, x_{7}, x_{11}, y_{10}, y_{14}, y_{18}, z_{21}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the suffix of each additive generator indicates its degree in the graded algebras $h^{*}(S p(2))$ and $h^{*}(S p(3))$. Moreover we have $x_{3}^{2}=\varepsilon \cdot x_{7}, x_{7}^{2}=0, x_{11}^{2}=0, x_{3} x_{7}=$ $y_{10}, x_{3} x_{11}=y_{14}, x_{7} x_{11}=y_{18}$ and $x_{3} x_{7} x_{11}=z_{21}$.

REMARK 3.9. The two possible attaching maps: $S^{10} \rightarrow S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}$ of $e^{11}$ found by Lucía Fernández-Suárez, Antonio Gómez-Tato and Daniel Tanré [4] are homotopic in $S p(2)$. So, we can not make any effective difference in the ring structure of $h^{*}(S p(3))$ by altering, as is performed in [6], the attaching map of $e^{11}$.

COROLLARY 3.9.1. $\quad w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(3)}\right) \geq 1, w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(7)}\right) \geq 2, w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(18)}\right)$ $\geq w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(14)}\right) \geq w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(11)}\right) \geq w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(10)}\right) \geq 3$ and $w \operatorname{cat}(S p(3)) \geq 4$, together with $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(2)^{(3)}\right) \geq 1$, $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(2)^{(7)}\right) \geq 2$ and $w \operatorname{cat}(S p(2)) \geq 3$.

Corollary 3.9.2.

| Skeleta | $S p(2)^{(3)}$ | $S p(2)^{(7)}$ | $S p(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wcat | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| cat | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Cat | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Facts 3.1 and 3.2, the smash products $\wedge^{4} S p(3)$ and $\wedge^{5} S p(3)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)\right)^{(19)} \simeq S^{12} \cup_{\omega_{12}} e^{16} \vee\left(S^{16} \vee S^{16} \vee S^{16}\right) \vee\left(S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19}\right) \\
& \left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right)^{(22)} \simeq S^{15} \cup_{\omega_{15}} e^{19} \vee\left(S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19}\right) \vee\left(S^{22} \vee S^{22} \vee S^{22} \vee S^{22}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following two propositions.
Proposition 4.1. The bottom-cell inclusions $i: S^{12} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}$ and $i^{\prime}$ : $S^{15} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{5} S p(3)$ induce injective homomorphisms

$$
i_{*}: \pi_{18}\left(S^{12}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{18}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad i_{*}^{\prime}: \pi_{21}\left(S^{15}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{21}\left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right)
$$

respectively.

Proof. We have the following two exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{18}\left(S^{15}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} \pi_{18}\left(S^{12}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{18}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{18}\left(S^{16} \vee S^{16} \vee S^{16} \vee S^{16}\right), \\
& \pi_{21}\left(S^{18}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi^{\prime}} \pi_{21}\left(S^{15}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}^{\prime}} \pi_{21}\left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{21}\left(S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19} \vee S^{19}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi_{18}\left(S^{12}\right) \cong \pi_{21}\left(S^{15}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \nu_{15}^{2}$ and $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ are induced from $\omega_{12}=2 \nu_{12}$ and $\omega_{15}=2 \nu_{15}$. Thus $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ are trivial, and hence $i_{*}$ and $i_{*}^{\prime}$ are injective. $Q E D$.

Proposition 4.2. The collapsing maps $q: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow S p(3)^{(18)} / S p(3)^{(14)}=$ $S^{18}$ and $q^{\prime}: S p(3) \rightarrow S p(3) / S p(3)^{(18)}=S^{21}$ induce injective homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{*}: \pi_{18}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \rightarrow\left[S p(3)^{(18)}, \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right] \quad \text { and } \\
& q^{\prime *}: \pi_{21}\left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right) \rightarrow\left[S p(3), \wedge^{5} S p(3)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.
Proof. Firstly, we show that $q^{\prime *}$ is injective: Since we have $\left[S p(3), \wedge^{5} S p(3)\right]=$ $\left[\left(S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18}\right) \vee S^{21}, \wedge^{5} S p(3)\right]=\left[S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18}, \wedge^{5} S p(3)\right] \oplus \pi_{21}\left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right)$ by Proposition $3.4, q^{\prime *}$ is clearly injective.

Secondly, we show that $q^{*}$ is injective: Similarly we have $\left[S p(3)^{(18)}, \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right]$ $=\left[S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18}, \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right]$ by Proposition 3.4. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\bar{q}^{*}: \pi_{18}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \rightarrow\left[S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18}, \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right]$ is injective, where $\bar{q}: S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}}$ $e^{18} \rightarrow S^{18}$ is the collapsing map. In the exact sequence

$$
\pi_{15}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \xrightarrow{\omega_{15^{*}}} \pi_{18}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \xrightarrow{\bar{q}^{*}}\left[S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18}, \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right]
$$

we know that $\pi_{15}\left(\wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \cong \pi_{15}\left(S^{12} \cup_{\omega_{12}} e^{16}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the composition of $\nu_{12}$ and the bottom-cell inclusion. Since $\nu_{12} \circ \omega_{15}=0 \in \pi_{18}\left(S^{12}\right)$, the homomorphism $\omega_{15}{ }^{*}$ is trivial, and hence $\bar{q}^{*}$ is injective.
$Q E D$.
Then the following lemma implies that $\bar{\Delta}_{4}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{5}$ are non-trivial by Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 .

Lemma 4.3. We obtain that $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=i \circ \nu_{12}^{2} \circ q: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}$ and that $\bar{\Delta}_{5}=i^{\prime} \circ \nu_{15}^{2} \circ q^{\prime}: S p(3) \rightarrow \wedge^{5} S p(3)$.
Proof. Firstly, we show that $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=i \circ \nu_{12}^{2} \circ q$ implies $\bar{\Delta}_{5}=i^{\prime} \circ \nu_{15}^{2} \circ q^{\prime}$. For dimensional reasons, the image of $\bar{\Delta}: S p(3) \rightarrow S p(3) \wedge S p(3)$ is in $S p(3)^{(18)} \wedge S p(3)^{(14)} \cup$ $S^{3} \wedge S p(3)^{(18)}$. Since $S p(3)^{(14)}$ is of cone-length 3 by Corollary 3.5.1, the restriction of the map $1 \wedge \bar{\Delta}_{4}$ to $S p(3)^{(18)} \wedge S p(3)^{(14)}$ is trivial. Thus $\bar{\Delta}_{5}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{5}: S p(3) \rightarrow S^{3} \wedge\left(S p(3)^{(18)} / S p(3)^{(14)}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge\left(i \circ \nu_{12}^{2}\right)} \wedge^{5} S p(3)^{(18)} \subset \wedge^{5} S p(3)
$$

since $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=i \circ \nu_{12}^{2} \circ q$. Thus we observe that $\bar{\Delta}_{5}=i^{\prime} \circ\left(\iota_{3} \wedge \nu_{12}^{2}\right) \circ q^{\prime}=i^{\prime} \circ \nu_{15}^{2} \circ q^{\prime}$.
So, we are left to show $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=i \circ \nu_{12}^{2} \circ q$. For dimensional reasons, the image of $\bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow S p(3)^{(18)} \wedge S p(3)^{(18)}$ is in $S p(3)^{(14)} \wedge S^{3} \cup S p(3)^{(11)} \wedge S p(3)^{(7)} \cup$ $S p(3)^{(7)} \wedge S p(3)^{(11)} \cup S^{3} \wedge S p(3)^{(14)}$. Since $S^{3} \cup_{\phi} C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)$ is of cone-length 2 by Corollary 3.5.1, the restriction of $\bar{\Delta}_{3}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow \wedge^{3} S p(3)^{(18)}$ to $S^{3} \cup_{\phi} C\left(S^{6} \cup_{\nu_{6}} e^{10}\right)$ is trivial. Hence $1 \wedge \bar{\Delta}_{3}: S p(3)^{(14)} \wedge S^{3} \cup S p(3)^{(11)} \wedge S p(3)^{(7)} \cup S p(3)^{(7)} \wedge S p(3)^{(11)} \cup$ $S^{3} \wedge S p(3)^{(14)} \rightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}$ is given as
$1 \wedge \bar{\Delta}_{3}:(S p(3) \wedge S p(3))^{(18)} \xrightarrow{\alpha}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{10} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \beta} \wedge^{4}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)$.
The map $\alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{10} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)$ is given as

$$
\alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18} \rightarrow\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{10} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)
$$

Collapsing the subspace $S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)$ of $\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{10} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)$, we obtain a map

$$
q^{\prime} \circ \alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow S^{7} \wedge S^{10}
$$

where $q^{\prime}:\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{10} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right) \rightarrow S^{3} \wedge S^{10}$ is the collapsing map. For dimensional reasons, $q^{\prime} \circ \alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}$ is as follows:

$$
q^{\prime} \circ \alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow S p(3)^{(18)} / S p(3)^{(14)}=S^{18} \xrightarrow{\gamma} S^{7} \wedge S^{10}
$$

If $\gamma$ were non-trivial, then $\gamma$ would be $\eta_{17}: S^{18} \rightarrow S^{17}$, and hence we should have $x_{7} y_{10}=\varepsilon \cdot y_{18} \neq 0$. However, from the ring structure of $h^{*}(S p(3))$ given in Theorem 3.8, we know $x_{7} y_{10}=0$, and hence we obtain $\gamma=0$. Then the image of $\alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}$ is in the subspace $S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)$ of $\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{10} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)$, since they are 12-connected. Hence $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=\left(1 \wedge \bar{\Delta}_{3}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(3)^{(18)} \xrightarrow{\alpha 0 \bar{\Delta}} S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \beta} S^{3} \wedge\left(\wedge^{3}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)\right)^{(15)} \subset \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)}
$$

where $\left(\wedge^{3}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)\right)^{(15)}$ is $\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \cup S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \wedge S^{3} \cup S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega}\right.$ $\left.e^{7}\right)$. Collapsing the subspace $\wedge^{3} S^{3}$ of $\left(\wedge^{3}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)\right)^{(15)}$, we obtain a map

$$
q^{\prime \prime} \circ \beta: S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14} \rightarrow S^{7} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \cup S^{3} \wedge S^{7} \wedge S^{3} \cup S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{7}
$$

where $q^{\prime \prime}:\left(\wedge^{3}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)\right)^{(15)} \rightarrow S^{7} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \cup S^{3} \wedge S^{7} \wedge S^{3} \cup S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{7}$ is the collapsing map. For dimensional reasons, $q^{\prime \prime} \circ \beta$ is given as

$$
q^{\prime \prime} \circ \beta: S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14} \rightarrow S^{14} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime}} S^{7} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \vee S^{3} \wedge S^{7} \wedge S^{3} \vee S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{7}
$$

If $\gamma^{\prime}$ were non-trivial, then its projection to $S^{13}$ would be $\eta_{13}: S^{14} \rightarrow S^{13}$, and hence we should have $x_{3}^{2} x_{7}=\varepsilon \cdot y_{14} \neq 0$. However, from the ring structure of $h^{*}(S p(3))$ given in Theorem 3.8, we know $x_{3}^{2} x_{7}=\varepsilon \cdot x_{7}^{2}=0$, and hence we obtain $\gamma^{\prime}=0$. Hence the image of $\beta$ lies in the subspace $\wedge^{3} S^{3}$ of $\wedge^{3} S p(3)^{(18)}$.

On the other hand, for dimensional reasons, $\alpha_{\circ} \bar{\Delta}$ is given as

$$
\alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \rightarrow S^{14} \cup_{\omega_{14}} e^{18} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)
$$

where the restriction $\left.\alpha^{\prime}\right|_{S^{14}}$ is given as

$$
\left.\alpha^{\prime}\right|_{S^{14}}: S^{14} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\prime \prime}} S^{13} \hookrightarrow S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right) .
$$

If it were non-trivial, then $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ would be $\eta_{13}: S^{14} \rightarrow S^{13}$, and hence we should have $x_{3} y_{10}=\varepsilon \cdot y_{14} \neq 0$. However, from the ring structure of $h^{*}(S p(3))$ given in Theorem 3.8, we know $x_{3} y_{10}=x_{3}^{2} x_{7}=\varepsilon \cdot x_{7}^{2}=0$, and hence $\gamma^{\prime \prime}=0$. Hence $\alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}$ is given as

$$
\alpha \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(3)^{(18)} \xrightarrow{q} S^{18} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right)
$$

and hence $\bar{\Delta}_{4}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(3)^{(18)} \xrightarrow{q} S^{18} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} S^{3} \wedge\left(S^{10} \cup_{\nu_{10}} e^{14}\right) \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \beta} S^{3} \wedge\left(\wedge^{3} S^{3}\right) \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} \wedge^{4} S p(3)^{(18)} .
$$

Now, we are ready to determine $\bar{\Delta}_{4}$ : By Theorem 3.8, we know $x_{3}^{2} x_{11}=\varepsilon \cdot z_{18}$ and $x_{3}^{2}=\varepsilon \cdot x_{7}$, hence $\alpha^{\prime \prime}: S^{18} \rightarrow S^{13} \cup_{\nu_{13}} e^{17}$ is a co-extension of $\eta_{16}: S^{17} \rightarrow S^{16}$ on $S^{13} \cup_{\nu_{13}} e^{17}$ and $1 \wedge \beta: S^{13} \cup_{\nu_{13}} e^{17} \rightarrow S^{12}$ is an extension of $\eta_{12}: S^{13} \rightarrow S^{12}$. Thus the composition $(1 \wedge \beta) \circ \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ is an element of the Toda bracket $\left\{\eta_{12}, \nu_{13}, \eta_{16}\right\}$ which contains a single element $\nu_{12}^{2}$ by Lemma 5.12 of [23], and hence $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=i \circ \nu_{12}^{2} \circ q . Q E D$.

Corollary 4.3.1. $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(18)}\right) \geq 4$ and $w \operatorname{cat}(S p(3)) \geq 5$.
This yields the following result.

Theorem 4.4.

| Skeleta | $S p(3)^{(3)}$ | $S p(3)^{(7)}$ | $S p(3)^{(10)}$ | $S p(3)^{(11)}$ | $S p(3)^{(14)}$ | $S p(3)^{(18)}$ | $S p(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wcat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Cat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We know that for $n \geq 4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S p(n)^{(16)}=S p(4)^{(15)}=S p(3)^{(14)} \cup e^{15}, \\
& S p(n)^{(19)}= \begin{cases}S p(4)^{(15)} \cup\left(e^{18} \vee e^{18}\right) & n=4, \\
S p(4)^{(15)} \cup\left(e^{18} \vee e^{18}\right) \cup e^{19} & n \geq 5,\end{cases} \\
& S p(n)^{(21)}=S p(n)^{(19)} \cup e^{21}
\end{aligned}
$$

and that $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(14)}\right)=\operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(14)}\right)=\operatorname{Cat}\left(S p(3)^{(14)}\right)=3$. Firstly, we show the following.

Proposition 5.1. $\quad w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(4)^{(15)}\right)=3$.
Proof. Since the pair $\left(S p(4)^{(15)}, S p(3)^{(11)}\right)$ is 13 -connected, $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(3)^{(11)}\right)=3$ implies that $\bar{\Delta}_{3}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow \wedge^{3} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is non-trivial, and hence $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(4)^{(15)}\right) \geq$ 3. Thus we are left to show $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(4)^{(15)}\right) \leq 3$ : For dimensional reasons, $\bar{\Delta}_{4}=$ $(\bar{\Delta} \wedge \bar{\Delta}) \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)^{(15)} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{0}} S p(4)^{(11)} \wedge S p(4)^{(11)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta} \wedge \bar{\Delta}} \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(11)} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}
$$

for some $\alpha_{0}$. By Fact $3.2, \bar{\Delta}: S p(4)^{(11)} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} S p(4)^{(11)}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}: S p(4)^{(11)} \xrightarrow{\beta_{0}}\left(S^{7} \vee S^{10}\right) \cup e^{11} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{0}} \wedge^{2}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right) \hookrightarrow \wedge^{2} S p(4)^{(11)},
$$

for some $\beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}$. Then for dimensional reasons, $\left(\beta_{0} \wedge \beta_{0}\right) \circ \alpha_{0}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow$ $\left(\left(S^{7} \vee S^{10}\right) \cup e^{11}\right) \wedge\left(\left(S^{7} \vee S^{10}\right) \cup e^{11}\right)$ and $\left.\left(\gamma_{0} \wedge \gamma_{0}\right)\right|_{S^{7} \wedge S^{7}}: S^{7} \wedge S^{7} \rightarrow \wedge^{4}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right)$ are respectively equal to the compositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\beta_{0} \wedge \beta_{0}\right) \circ \alpha_{0}: S p(4) \xrightarrow{(15)} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}} S^{7} \wedge S^{7} \hookrightarrow\left(\left(S^{7} \vee S^{10}\right) \cup e^{11}\right) \wedge\left(\left(S^{7} \vee S^{10}\right) \cup e^{11}\right), \\
& \left.\left(\gamma_{0} \wedge \gamma_{0}\right)\right|_{S^{7} \wedge S^{7}}: S^{7} \wedge S^{7} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{0}^{\prime}} \wedge^{4} S^{3} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{4}\left(S^{3} \cup_{\omega} e^{7}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$. Hence $\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow \Lambda^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)^{(15)} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{0}^{\prime}} S^{7} \wedge S^{7} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{0}^{\prime}} \wedge^{4} S^{3} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}
$$

where $S p(4)^{(15)}=S p(3)^{(14)} \cup e^{15}$. By Theorem 3.8, $x_{7}^{2}=0$ in $h^{*}(S p(3))$, and hence $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$ annihilates $S p(3)^{(14)}$. Thus $\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)(15) \xrightarrow{q^{\prime \prime}} S^{15} \xrightarrow{\beta_{0}^{\prime}} S^{14} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{0}^{\prime}} S^{12} \xrightarrow{i^{\prime \prime}} \Lambda^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}
$$

for some $\beta_{0}^{\prime}$, where $q^{\prime \prime}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow S p(4)^{(15)} / S p(4)^{(14)}=S^{15}$ is the projection and $i^{\prime \prime}: S^{12}=S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \wedge S^{3} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is the inclusion. Hence the non-triviality of $\bar{\Delta}_{4}$ implies the non-triviality of $\beta_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\bar{\Delta}_{4}$ should be $i^{\prime \prime} \circ \eta_{12}^{3} \circ q^{\prime \prime}$, if it were non-trivial. However, we also know from (5.5) of [23] that $\eta_{12}^{3}$ is $12 \nu_{12}=6 \omega_{12}$ and that $i^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\circ} \omega_{12}$ is trivial by Fact 3.1. Therefore, $\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is trivial, and hence $w \operatorname{cat} S p(4)^{(15)} \leq 3$. This implies that $w \operatorname{cat} S p(4)^{(15)}=3 . Q E D$.

Secondly, we show the following.
Proposition 5.2. $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(n)^{(19)}\right) \leq 4$ for $n \geq 4$.
Proof. Let $n \geq 4$. Since $\bar{\Delta}_{5}=\left(\left(1_{S p(n)}\right) \wedge \bar{\Delta}_{4}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}: S p(n)^{(19)} \rightarrow \wedge^{5} S p(n)^{(19)}$, it is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta}_{5}: S p(n)^{(19)} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} S p(n)^{(16)} \wedge S p(n)^{(16)}=S p(4)^{(15)} \wedge S p(4)^{(15)} \\
& \xrightarrow[\left(1_{S p(4)}^{(15)}\right) \wedge \bar{\Delta}_{4}]{\rightarrow} \wedge^{5} S p(4)^{(15)} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{5} S p(n)^{(19)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is trivial, since $\bar{\Delta}_{4}: S p(4)^{(15)} \rightarrow \wedge^{4} S p(4)^{(15)}$ is trivial by Proposition 5.1. Thus $w \operatorname{cat}\left(S p(n)^{(19)}\right) \leq 4$ when $n \geq 4$.
$Q E D$.
Let $p_{j}: S p(n) \rightarrow X_{n, j}=S p(n) / S p(n-j)$ be the projection for $j \geq 1$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 5.3. Let $q^{\prime \prime \prime}: S p(n) \rightarrow S p(n) / S p(n)^{((2 n+1) n-3)}=S^{(2 n+1) n}$ be the collapsing map and $i^{\prime \prime \prime}: S^{(2 n+1) n-6} \hookrightarrow\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}$ the inclusion. Then

$$
q^{\prime \prime \prime *} \circ i^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{*}: \pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(S^{(2 n+1) n-6}\right) \rightarrow\left[S p(n),\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right]
$$

is injective.
Proof. Firstly, we have the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(S^{(2 n+1) n-3}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}} \pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(S^{(2 n+1) n-6}\right) \\
& \quad \stackrel{i^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\rightarrow} \pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(\vee_{5} S^{(2 n+1) n-2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(S^{(2 n+1) n-6}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \nu_{(2 n+1) n-6}^{2}$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is induced from $\omega_{(2 n+1) n-6}$ $=2 \nu_{(2 n+1) n-6}$. Thus $\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is trivial, and hence $i^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{*}$ is injective.

Secondly, since $\left.\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right)$ is $(n(2 n+1)-11)$-connected, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[S p(n),\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right]} \\
& =\left[\left(S^{(2 n+1) n-7} \cup_{\omega_{(2 n+1) n-7}} e^{(2 n+1) n-3}\right) \vee S^{(2 n+1) n},\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right] \\
& =\left[S^{(2 n+1) n-7} \cup_{\omega_{(2 n+1) n-7}} e^{(2 n+1) n-3},\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right] \\
& \quad \oplus \pi_{(2 n+1) n}\left(\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 3.4, and hence $q^{\prime \prime \prime *}$ is injective. Thus $q^{\prime \prime \prime *} \circ i^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{*}$ is injective. $Q E D$.
Then the following lemma implies that $\left(\left(1_{\wedge^{5} S p(n)}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n+2}$ is nontrivial by Proposition 5.3, and hence we obtain Theorem 1.4.

LEMMA 5.4. $\left(\left(1_{\wedge^{5} S p(n)}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge p_{n-4} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n+2}=i^{\prime \prime \prime} \circ \nu_{(2 n+1) n-6}^{2} \circ q^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Proof. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\left(1_{\wedge^{5} S p(n)}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n+2}=\left(\bar{\Delta}_{5} \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n-2} \\
=\left(\bar{\Delta}_{5} \wedge\left(1_{\wedge^{n-3} S p(n)}\right)\right) \circ\left(\left(1_{S p(n)}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}
\end{gathered}
$$

For dimensional reasons, the image of $\left(\left(1_{S p(n)}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}$ lies in

$$
S p(n)^{(21)} \wedge S^{15} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{4 n-1} \cup S p(n)^{(19)} \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1}
$$

From Proposition 5.2, it follows that $\bar{\Delta}_{5}$ annihilates $S p(n)^{(19)}$, and hence $\bar{\Delta}_{5}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{5}: S p(n)^{(21)} \rightarrow S^{21} \xrightarrow{\delta} \wedge^{5} S p(n)^{(21)}
$$

for some $\delta \in \pi_{21}\left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right)$. Using Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following diagram except for the dotted arrow, which is commutative up to homotopy:


Since the pair $\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n), \wedge^{5} S p(3)\right)$ is 26 -connected for $n \geq 4$, we can compress $\delta$ into $\wedge^{5} S p(3)$ as $\delta \sim j \circ \delta_{0}$. Thus we have a homotopy relation

$$
j \circ \delta_{0} \circ q^{\prime} \sim \delta \circ q^{\prime} \sim j \circ \bar{\Delta}_{5} \sim j \circ i^{\prime} \circ \nu_{15}^{2} \circ q^{\prime}
$$

Now we know that $\operatorname{dim} S p(3)=21<26-1$, and hence we can drop $j$ from the above homotopy relation and obtain

$$
\delta_{0} \circ q^{\prime} \sim i^{\prime} \circ \nu_{15}^{2} \circ q^{\prime}
$$

By Proposition 4.2, $q^{\prime *}: \pi_{21}\left(\wedge^{5} S p(3)\right) \rightarrow\left[S p(3), \wedge^{5} S p(3)\right]$ is injective, and hence we obtain a homotopy relation

$$
\delta_{0} \sim i^{\prime} \circ \nu_{15}^{2} .
$$

Thus $\bar{\Delta}_{5}$ is given as

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{5}: S p(n)^{(21)} \rightarrow S^{21} \xrightarrow{\nu_{15}^{2}} S^{15} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{5} S p(n)^{(21)}
$$

Thus $\left(\left(1_{\wedge^{5} S p(n)}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n+2}$ is given as

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\left(1_{\wedge} S p(n)\right.\right.
\end{array}\right) \wedge p_{n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge p_{1}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}_{n+2}: S p(n) \rightarrow S^{21} \wedge S^{(2 n+7)(n-3)}, ~\left(\wedge^{5} S p(n)\right) \wedge X_{n, n-3} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n, 1} .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
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