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#### Abstract

We are concerned with the Nelson Hamiltonian $H_{\hbar}$ with semi-classical parameter $\hbar>0$. A classical object $\mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)$ is defined by the solution $\left\{q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}\right\}$ to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated with the Nelson Hamiltonian. We show the asymptotic behaviour of $$
e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s}
$$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore we introduce Wigner measures $\mu_{0}$ on the particle-field phase space $X=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ appearing in the semi-classical limits of a family of trace class operators $\left\{\rho_{\hbar}, \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$. I.e., $$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{\hbar} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)_{X}} d \mu_{0}(x)
$$ for $\xi^{\prime} \in X$ and $\mathcal{W}(\xi)$ denotes an exponential operator. The Wigner measure $\mu_{t}$ associated with the family of time evolutions of trace class operators $\left\{\rho_{\hbar}(t), \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$ are given by $$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{\hbar}(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)_{X}} d \mu_{t}(x)
$$

We show that $\mu_{t}(\cdot)=\mu_{0} \circ \Phi_{t}^{-1}(\cdot)$, where $\Phi_{t}$ is the flow for the solution to the HamiltonJacobi equation.


## 1 Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the Nelson model

In the RIMS conference held on December 6-8, 2021 we gave a talk on the title "Newton Maxwell equation through semi-classical analysis". In this article, however, we are concerned with the Nelson model on coherent states for the simplicity and demonstrate a motivation why we are interested in the semiclassical analysis. This results are ultimately developed in [2] for the Pauli-Fierz model in non-relativistic QED [10] and the semi-classical limit is

[^0]investigated through the so-called Wigner measures. The Wigner measure is a probability measure on the total phase space $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. The Wigner measure is applied to the semi-classical analysis in [7] for Schrödinger operators and is extended to an infinite dimensional phase space in [3]. We refer [1, 4, 6, 5] for related investigations.

### 1.1 Semi-classical limit of Schrödinger operators

Before going to our main results, we introduce a semi-classical limit of Schrödinger operators for the readers convenient. Let us consider 3D-Schrödinger operator of the form:

$$
h_{\hbar}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+V(x),
$$

where $\hbar>0$ is a semi-classical parameter, $m>0$ a mass of a particle, $D_{x}=-i \nabla_{x}$ and $V$ is an external potential. Let

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(q, p)=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+V(q),
$$

where $(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation associated with $h_{\hbar}$ is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{q}_{t}=\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta p_{t}}=\frac{p_{t}}{m}  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{p}_{t}=-\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta q_{t}}=-\nabla V\left(q_{t}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\left(q_{t}, p_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the solution to (1.1). We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of

$$
e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s}
$$

as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.
Let $\xi_{\hbar}$ be the $3 D$-dilation defined by

$$
\xi_{\hbar} f(x)=\hbar^{3 / 4} f(\sqrt{\hbar} x),
$$

and hence $\xi_{\hbar}^{*} f(x)=\hbar^{-3 / 4} f(x / \sqrt{\hbar})$. Let us define the quadratic operator $Q_{t}^{S c h}$ by

$$
Q_{t}^{S c h}=\frac{1}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+x \cdot \nabla^{2} V\left(q_{t}\right) x
$$

Then it actually follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\left\|e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \varphi-e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{0} x-\hbar q_{0} D_{x}\right)} \varphi\right\|=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be proven as follows. Let

$$
\gamma_{t}=\xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \varphi .
$$

Then
$\left\|e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \varphi-e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{0} x-\hbar q_{0} D_{x}\right)} \varphi\right\|=\left\|\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{0}\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\dot{\gamma}_{s}\right\| \mathrm{d} s$.
We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\gamma}_{t}= & e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{t}, p_{t}\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} \varphi \\
& +e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} i \dot{Q}^{i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} \varphi \\
& +e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{i} \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s \\
\xi_{\hbar} & \left.-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\dot{p}_{t} x-\hbar \dot{q}_{t} D_{x}\right)\right\} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} \varphi \\
& +e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)}\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}\right\} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} \varphi .
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute $\xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)}\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}\right\}$. By a shift operator $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p x-q \hbar D_{x}\right)}$,

$$
h_{\hbar} \rightarrow \frac{\left(\hbar D_{x}+p_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(x+q_{t}\right),
$$

and by a scaling $\xi_{\hbar}$,

$$
\rightarrow \frac{\left(\sqrt{\hbar} D_{x}+p_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}\right) .
$$

Then the right-hand side above is

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} h_{\hbar} & =\frac{\left(\sqrt{\hbar} D_{x}+p_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{H}\left(q_{t}, p_{t}\right)+\sqrt{\hbar}\left(\frac{p_{t} D_{x}}{m}+\nabla V\left(q_{t}\right) x\right)+\hbar Q_{t}^{S c h}+O\left(\hbar^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\xi_{\hbar}\left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\dot{p}_{t} x-\hbar \dot{q}_{t} D_{x}\right)\right\}=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left(\dot{p}_{t} x-\dot{q}_{t} D_{x}\right) \xi_{\hbar} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\gamma}_{t}=\xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s}\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{t}, p_{t}\right)+i Q_{t}^{S c h}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left(\dot{p}_{t} x-\dot{q}_{t} D_{x}\right)-\frac{i}{\hbar}(1.3)\right\} \xi_{\hbar} \\
\times e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \varphi .
\end{gathered}
$$

By (1.1) we have

$$
\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{t}, p_{t}\right)+i Q_{t}^{S c h}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left(\dot{p}_{t} x-\dot{q}_{t} D_{x}\right)-\frac{i}{\hbar}(1.3)=O(\sqrt{\hbar}) .
$$

Then (1.2) follows. In the semi-classical region we can see that

$$
e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} h_{\hbar}} e^{+\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \sim e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{t} x-\hbar q_{t} D_{x}\right)} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s}^{S c h} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{0} x-\hbar q_{0} D_{x}\right)}
$$

Here we emphasize that $Q_{s}^{S c h}$ is independent of $\hbar$. We extend this kind of arguments to the Nelson model in quantum field theory in what follows.

### 1.2 Nelson model

Let $a^{\dagger}(f)$ and $a(f)$ be the annihilation operator and the creation operator, respectively on the boson Fock space over $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\otimes_{s}^{n} L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right]
$$

The adjoint relation is $a(f)^{*}=a^{\dagger}(\bar{f})$ and the CCR is given by $\left[a(f), a^{\dagger}(g)\right]=(\bar{f}, g) \mathbb{1}$ and $\left[a^{\sharp}(f), a^{\sharp}(g)\right]=0$, where $(f, g)$ denotes a scalar product on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and it is linear in $g$ and anti-linear in $f$. Formally we write $a^{\sharp}(f)=\int a^{\sharp}(k) f(k) \mathrm{dk}$. The field operator is given by

$$
\phi(f)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}(f)+a(\bar{f})\right)
$$

and its momentum conjugate by

$$
\Pi(f)=\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(a^{\dagger}(f)-a(\bar{f})\right)
$$

Thus $[\phi(f), \Pi(g)]=i \operatorname{Re}(f, g),[\phi(f), \phi(g)]=i \operatorname{Im}(f, g)$ and $[\Pi(f), \Pi(g)]=i \operatorname{Im}(f, g)$ hold true. Let $H_{\mathrm{f}}=d \Gamma(\omega)$ be the second quantization of the multiplication by $\omega(k)=|k|$. Here $|k|$ denotes the energy of a massless boson with momentum $k \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The Nelson Hamiltonian $[9,8]$ is defined as s self-adjoint operator on the product Hilbert space

$$
\mathcal{H}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}
$$

and is given by

$$
H=\left(\frac{1}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+V\right) \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes H_{\mathrm{f}}+H_{\mathrm{I}},
$$

and the interaction by

$$
H_{\mathrm{I}}=H_{\mathrm{I}}(x) \phi\left(e^{-i k x} \hat{\varphi} / \sqrt{\omega}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int\left\{\frac{e^{-i k q} \hat{\varphi}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} a^{\dagger}(k)+\frac{e^{i k q} \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} a(k)\right\} \mathrm{d} k
$$

Here $\hat{\varphi}$ is a cutoff function. We assume that $\omega \sqrt{\omega} \hat{\varphi}, \sqrt{\omega} \hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi} / \sqrt{\omega}, \hat{\varphi} / \omega \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Throughout we suppose that $V \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and bounded. Then $H$ is self-adjoint on $D\left(D_{x}^{2}\right) \cap D\left(H_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and bounded from below. We introduce the semi-classical parameter $\hbar>0$ by

$$
H_{\hbar}=\left(\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+V\right) \otimes \mathbb{1}+\sqrt{\hbar} H_{\mathrm{I}}+\hbar \mathbb{1} \otimes H_{\mathrm{f}} .
$$

Let $(q, p, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. The classical Nelson Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}(p, q, u, \bar{u})=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+V(q)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega(k)|u(k)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} k+U(q, u) .
$$

Here

$$
U(q, u)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left\{\frac{e^{-i k q} \hat{\varphi}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} \bar{u}(k)+\frac{e^{i k q} \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} u(k)\right\} \mathrm{d} k
$$

The time evolution of $(p, q, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ are governed by the Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$
(N) \begin{cases}\dot{q}_{t} & =\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta p_{t}}=\frac{p_{t}}{m} \\ \dot{p}_{t} & =-\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta q_{t}}=-\nabla V\left(q_{t}\right)-\nabla U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right) \\ i \dot{u}_{t}(k)=\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \bar{u}_{t}}=\omega(k) u_{t}(k)+\frac{e^{-i k q_{t}} \hat{\varphi}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}}\end{cases}
$$

Here

$$
\nabla U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left\{-i k \frac{e^{-i k q_{t}} \hat{\varphi}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} \bar{u}_{t}(k)+i k \frac{e^{i k q_{t}} \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} u_{t}(k)\right\} \mathrm{d} k
$$

Note that $\sqrt{\omega} \hat{\varphi} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and then the right-hand side above is finite.

## 2 Coherent states and Weyl commutation relations

Now we define coherent states for the field and the particle. In general, when $[A, B]$ is c-number, then formally

$$
e^{A} e^{B}=e^{\frac{1}{2}[A, B]} e^{A+B}
$$

holds true. Let $W(f)=e^{i \Pi(f)}$. Then Weyl commutation relation holds:

$$
W(f) W(g)=e^{-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Im}(f, g)} W(f+g)
$$

Since $W(i g)={ }^{-\Phi(g)}$, we can see that

$$
W(f) W(i g)=e^{-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Re}(f, g)} W(f+i g)
$$

Let $z=q+i p \in \mathbb{R}^{3}+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Define $T(z)=e^{i\left(p x-q \hbar D_{x}\right)}$. Note that

$$
\left[p x-q \hbar D_{x}, p^{\prime} x-q^{\prime} \hbar D_{x}\right]=i \hbar\left(q p^{\prime}-p q^{\prime}\right)=i \hbar \operatorname{Im} \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}
$$

Hence

$$
T(z) T\left(z^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\frac{i}{2} \hbar \operatorname{lm} \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}} T\left(z+z^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
T(z) T\left(i z^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\frac{i}{2} \hbar \operatorname{Re} \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}} T\left(z+i z^{\prime}\right)
$$

The coherent state smeared by $u$ is defined by

$$
W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Omega
$$

where $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ is the Fock vacuum. Note that

$$
W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right)=e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left(a^{\dagger}(u)-a(\bar{u})\right)}
$$

Let $(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a point in the phase space and

$$
\psi_{\hbar}(x)=(\pi \hbar)^{-3 / 4} e^{-|x|^{2} /(2 \hbar)}
$$

Thus $\left\|\psi_{\hbar}\right\|=1$. The coherent state for the particle part is given by

$$
\psi_{q, p}^{\hbar}(x)=T_{q, p}^{\hbar} \psi_{\hbar}
$$

where $T_{q, p}^{\hbar}=T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right)$ for $z=q+i p$, i.e.,

$$
T_{q, p}^{\hbar}=\exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p x-\hbar q D_{x}\right)\right) .
$$

Note that $\psi_{q, p}^{\hbar}$ is normalized in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for each $(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$. We see that

$$
T_{q, p}^{\hbar}=e^{-\frac{i 1}{2} p q} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p x} e^{-i q D_{x}}=e^{\frac{i}{2} \frac{1}{\hbar} p q} e^{-i q D_{x}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p x} .
$$

Let $\left(q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}\right)$ be the solution to $(N)$. Define

$$
\Phi_{t}^{\hbar}=T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}\left(\psi_{\hbar} \otimes \Omega\right), \quad t \geq 0 .
$$

Here

$$
T_{q, p, u}^{\hbar}=T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right), \quad z=q+i p
$$

is unitary. The unitary operator $T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}$ is the shift operator such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar *} x T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}=x+q_{t}, \\
& T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar *} \hbar D_{x} T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}=\hbar D_{x}+p_{t}, \\
& T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar *} \sqrt{\hbar} a(k) T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}=\sqrt{\hbar} a(k)+u_{t}(k), \\
& T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar *} \sqrt{\hbar} a^{\dagger}(k) T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}=\sqrt{\hbar} a^{\dagger}(k)+\bar{u}_{t}(k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these relations we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x+i \hbar D_{x}\right) \Phi_{t}^{\hbar}=\left(q_{t}+i p_{t}\right) \Phi_{t}^{\hbar}, \\
& \sqrt{\hbar} a(k) \Phi_{t}^{\hbar}=u_{t}(k) \Phi_{t}^{\hbar}, \\
& \sqrt{\hbar} a^{\dagger}(k) \Phi_{t}^{\hbar}=\bar{u}_{t}(k) \Phi_{t}^{\hbar} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The classical objects appear as the eigenvalues.

## 3 Semi-classical limits

In this section we shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\left\|e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} \Phi_{\hbar}-e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} Q_{\hbar, s} \mathrm{~d} s} T_{q_{0}, p_{0}, u_{0}}^{\hbar *} \Phi_{\hbar}\right\|=0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\int_{0}^{t} Q_{\hbar, s} \mathrm{~d} s$ is a quadratic operator derived from $H_{\hbar}$. The strategy to see (3.1) is due to the fact

$$
T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar *} H_{\hbar} T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}=\mathcal{H}\left(q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right)+Q_{\hbar, t}+\text { reminder }+O(\sqrt{\hbar}) .
$$

This corresponds to (1.3) for Schrödinger operators. See (3.3). The quadratic term is given by

$$
Q_{\hbar, t}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x \cdot\left(\nabla^{2} V\left(q_{t}\right)+\nabla^{2} U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)\right) x+\sqrt{\hbar} \nabla H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(q_{t}\right) x+\hbar H_{\mathrm{f}} .
$$

Here $\nabla H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(q_{s}\right)=\phi\left(-i k e^{-i k q_{s}} \hat{\varphi} / \sqrt{\omega}\right), \nabla^{2} V\left(q_{t}\right)=\left(\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} V\left(q_{t}\right)\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3}$ and $\nabla^{2} U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)=$ $\left(\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)\right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 3}$ with

$$
\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left\{-k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} \frac{e^{-i k q_{t}} \hat{\varphi}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} \bar{u}_{t}(k)-k_{\alpha} k_{\beta} \frac{e^{i k q_{t}} \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(k)}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} u_{t}(k)\right\} \mathrm{d} k .
$$

The main theorem is as follows.
Theorem 3.1 Let $(q, p, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Suppose that $\left(q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is the solution to $(N)$ with initial condition $\left(q_{0}, p_{0}, u_{0}\right)=(q, p, u)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \Phi_{\hbar}-T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} Q_{\hbar, s} \mathrm{~d} s} T_{q, p, u}^{\hbar *} \Phi_{\hbar}\right\| \leq C \sqrt{\hbar} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\xi_{\hbar}$ be the dilation defined by $\xi_{\hbar} f(x)=\hbar^{3 / 4} f(\sqrt{\hbar} x)$, and hence $\xi_{\hbar}^{*} f(x)=\hbar^{-3 / 4} f(x / \sqrt{\hbar})$. In particular we have

$$
\xi_{\hbar}^{*} \psi_{1}(x)=\psi_{\hbar}(x) .
$$

To show (3.2), the Cook method is applied. Let

$$
\nu_{t}=\xi_{\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} Q_{\hbar, s} \mathrm{~d} s} T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar *} e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} \Phi_{1},
$$

where $\Phi_{1}=\psi_{1} \otimes \Omega$. Since $\nu_{0}=\xi_{\hbar} T_{q, p, u}^{*} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}$, we have $\nu_{t}-\nu_{0}=\int_{0}^{t} \dot{\nu}_{s} \mathrm{~d} s$ and then the left-hand side of (3.2) can be written as $\left\|\nu_{t}-\nu_{0}\right\|$. We note that
$\nu_{t}-\nu_{0}=\int_{0}^{t} \xi_{\hbar} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{s} Q_{\hbar, r} \mathrm{~d} r}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)+\frac{i}{\hbar} Q_{\hbar, s}+C_{s}-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(s)\right) T_{q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}}^{\hbar *} e^{-i s} H_{\hbar} H_{\hbar}^{*} \xi_{1}^{*} \Phi_{1} \mathrm{~d} s$, where

$$
\frac{d}{d s} T_{q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}}^{\hbar *}=C_{s} T_{q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}}^{\hbar *} .
$$

Since $T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}$ acts as the shift by $x \rightarrow x+q_{t}, \hbar D_{x} \rightarrow \hbar D_{x}+p_{t}$ and $\sqrt{\hbar} a(k) \rightarrow \sqrt{\hbar} a(k)+u(k)$, we used the intertwining property:

$$
T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar \hbar} H_{\hbar}=H_{\hbar}(t) T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar \hbar},
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\hbar}(t)= & \frac{\left(\hbar D_{x}+p_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(x+q_{t}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega(k)\left(\sqrt{\hbar} a^{\dagger}(k)+\bar{u}_{t}(k)\right)\left(\sqrt{\hbar} a(k)+u_{t}(k)\right) \mathrm{d} k \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left\{\frac{e^{-i k\left(x+q_{t}\right)}}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} \hat{\varphi}(k)\left(\sqrt{\hbar} a^{\dagger}(k)+\bar{u}_{t}(k)\right)+\frac{e^{+i k\left(x+q_{t}\right)}}{\sqrt{\omega(k)}} \hat{\varphi}(k)\left(\sqrt{\hbar} a(k)+u_{t}(k)\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} k \\
= & \frac{\left(\hbar D_{x}+p_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(x+q_{t}\right)+U\left(x+q_{t}, u_{t}\right)+\hbar H_{\mathrm{f}}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega(k)\left|u_{t}(k)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} k \\
& +\sqrt{\hbar} \sqrt{2} \phi\left(\omega u_{t}\right)+\sqrt{\hbar} H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(x+q_{t}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall estimate the term $\xi_{\hbar}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)+\frac{i}{\hbar} Q_{\hbar, s}+C_{s}-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(s)\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}$. Since

$$
\xi_{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=\mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right),
$$

we investigate

$$
\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)+\frac{i}{\hbar} \xi_{\hbar} Q_{\hbar, s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}+\xi_{\hbar}\left(C_{s}-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(s)\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*} .
$$

We can directly compute $C_{t}$ as

$$
C_{t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\dot{p}_{t} x-\hbar \dot{q}_{t} D_{x}\right)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left\{a^{\dagger}\left(\dot{u}_{t}\right)-a\left(\bar{u}_{t}\right)\right\} .
$$

Note that $\xi_{\hbar} x \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=x \sqrt{\hbar}$ and $\xi_{\hbar} D_{x} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=D_{x} / \sqrt{\hbar}$. Then

$$
\xi_{\hbar} C_{t} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left\{\dot{p}_{t} x-\dot{q}_{t} D_{x}-\left(a^{\dagger}\left(i \dot{u}_{t}\right)+a\left(\overline{i \dot{u}_{t}}\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

Next we compute $\xi_{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(t) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}$. By (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(t) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}= & \frac{\left(\sqrt{\hbar} D_{x}+p_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 m}+V\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}\right)+U\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}, u_{t}\right) \\
& +\sqrt{\hbar} H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\hbar x+q_{t}\right)+\sqrt{\hbar} \sqrt{2} \phi\left(\omega u_{t}\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \omega(k)\left|u_{t}(k)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} k+\hbar H_{\mathrm{f}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}\right)=V\left(q_{t}\right)+\sqrt{\hbar} \nabla V\left(q_{t}\right) x+\frac{1}{2} \hbar x \cdot \nabla^{2} V\left(q_{t}\right) x+O\left(\hbar^{3 / 2}\right), \\
& U\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}, u_{t}\right)=U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)+\sqrt{\hbar} \nabla U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right) x+\frac{1}{2} \hbar x \cdot \nabla^{2} U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right) x+O\left(\hbar^{3 / 2}\right), \\
& \sqrt{\hbar} H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\sqrt{\hbar} x+q_{t}\right)=\sqrt{\hbar} H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(q_{t}\right)+\hbar \nabla H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(q_{t}\right) x+O\left(\hbar^{3 / 2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{\hbar}\left(C_{t}-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(t)\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*} \\
& =-i\left\{\frac{1}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x \cdot \nabla^{2} V\left(q_{t}\right) x+\frac{1}{2} x \cdot \nabla U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right) x+\nabla H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(q_{t}\right) x+H_{\mathrm{f}}\right\} \\
& -\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\left\{\frac{1}{m} p D_{x}+\nabla V\left(q_{t}\right) x+\nabla U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right) x+\sqrt{2} \phi\left(\sqrt{\omega} u_{t}\right)+H_{\mathrm{I}}\left(q_{t}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\dot{p}_{t} x-\dot{q}_{t} D_{x}-\left(a^{\dagger}\left(i \dot{u}_{t}\right)+a\left(\overline{i u_{t}}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& -\frac{i}{\hbar}\left\{\frac{1}{2 m} p_{t}^{2}+V\left(q_{t}\right)+\int \omega(k)\left|u_{t}(k)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} k+U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)\right\}+O(\sqrt{\hbar}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term of the right-hand side above is identically zero by equation ( $N$ ). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\hbar}\left(C_{t}-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(t)\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \xi_{\hbar} Q_{\hbar, t} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}, \bar{u}_{t}\right)+O(\sqrt{\hbar}) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\nu_{t}-\nu_{0}\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right)+\frac{i}{\hbar} \xi_{\hbar} Q_{\hbar, s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}+\xi_{\hbar}\left(C_{s}-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}(s)\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}\right) \xi_{\hbar} T_{q_{s}, s_{s}, u_{s}}^{*} e^{-i \frac{s}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} \Phi_{1}\right\| \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq t C \sqrt{\hbar}\left\|\Phi_{1}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

with some constant $C>0$ by (3.4). Then the theorem follows.

## 4 Wigner measures

In this section we introduce Wigner measures on the phase space $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ appearing in the semi-classical limits of a family of trace class operators $\left\{\rho_{\hbar}, \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$. This has been studied in e.g., [7, 3].

### 4.1 Examples

We recall that

$$
T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}=T\left(\frac{z_{t}}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u_{t}}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right)
$$

where $z_{t}=q_{t}+i p_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ are the solution to $(N)$. In the previous section we consider the asymptotic behavior of $T_{q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}}^{\hbar}$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of Theorem 3.1. Note that $\left\|T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Phi_{\hbar}\right\|=1$ but $\left(\Phi_{\hbar}, T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Phi_{\hbar}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.

In this section the following strategy is taken to analyze the asymptotic behavior of coherent vector $T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Phi_{\hbar}$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. For each $z=q+i p \in \mathbb{R}^{3}+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, we define the trace class operator $\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(z, u)$ by

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}=\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(z, u)=\left|T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Phi_{\hbar}\right\rangle\left\langle T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Phi_{\hbar}\right| .
$$

This is a one-rank operator. Let $z^{\prime}=q^{\prime}+i p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ nd $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. We prepare the operator

$$
\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=T\left(2 \pi i z^{\prime}\right) \otimes W\left(\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} u^{\prime}\right)=e^{2 \pi i\left(q^{\prime} x+p^{\prime} \hbar D_{x}\right)} \otimes e^{-\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

We consider the asymptotic behaviour of the trace $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar} \mathcal{W}\right)$.

Lemma 4.1 Let $z=q+i p, z^{\prime}=q^{\prime}+i p^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}+i \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $u, u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Then it follows that

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(z, u) \mathcal{W}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)\right)=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)+\bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Proof: The formulae $W(f)^{*}=W(-f)$ and $T(z)^{*}=T(-z)$, and

$$
(W(f) \Omega, W(i g) W(f) \Omega)=(\Omega, W(i g) \Omega) e^{i \operatorname{Re}(f, g)}
$$

and

$$
\left(T(z) \psi, T\left(i z^{\prime}\right) T(z) \psi\right)=\left(\psi, T\left(i z^{\prime}\right) \psi\right) e^{i \operatorname{Rez} \cdot \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}}
$$

are useful. We see that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(z, u) \mathcal{W}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)\right)$ can be decomposed into two factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(z, u) \mathcal{W}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \psi_{\hbar}, T\left(2 \pi i z^{\prime}\right) T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \psi_{\hbar}\right) \cdot\left(W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Omega, W\left(\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} u^{\prime}\right) W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Omega\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the field part turns out to be

$$
\left(W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Omega, W\left(\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} u^{\prime}\right) W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) \Omega\right)=\left(\Omega, W\left(\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} u^{\prime}\right) \Omega\right) e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)}
$$

and the particle part

$$
\left(T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \psi_{\hbar}, T\left(2 \pi i z^{\prime}\right) T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \psi_{\hbar}\right)=\left(\psi_{\hbar}, T\left(2 \pi i z^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\hbar}\right) e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re} \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}}
$$

We also see that

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\left(\Omega, W\left(\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} u^{\prime}\right) \Omega\right) e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)}=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Since $\psi_{\hbar}^{2} \rightarrow \delta(x)$ and $T\left(2 \pi i z^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow e^{2 \pi i q^{\prime} x}$ as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, we can see that

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\left(\psi_{\hbar}, T\left(2 \pi i z^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\hbar}\right) e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re} \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}}=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re} \bar{z} \cdot z^{\prime}}
$$

Then the lemma is proven.

### 4.2 Wigner measures

Let $X=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Set

$$
\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)_{X}=q q^{\prime}+p p^{\prime}+i\left(q p^{\prime}-p q^{\prime}\right)+\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $\xi=(q, p, u) \in X$ and $\xi^{\prime}=\left(q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \in X$. We define $\mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{W}\left(z^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{W}\left(q^{\prime}, p^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)=\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(z, u)=\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(q, p, u)$. Then the statements of Lemma 4.1 can be rewritten as

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) x}
$$

Furthermore

$$
e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) x}=\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) x} d \mu_{\xi}(x),
$$

where $\mu_{\xi}(x)$ is the Dirac measure $\delta_{\xi}(x)$ on the phase space $X$ with mass at $x=\xi$. This is called the Wigner measure associated with $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi), \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$. In [2] we consider Wigner measures $\mu_{0}$ associated with a general family of trace class operators $\left\{\rho_{\hbar}, \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$ on the total Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \otimes \mathcal{F}$. I.e.,

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{\hbar} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)_{X}} d \mu_{0}(x)
$$

The existence and the uniqueness of the measure $\mu_{0}$ associated with $\left\{\rho_{\hbar}, \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$ are established in [2] but for the Pauli-Fierz model which is rather complicated than the Nelson model.

We can show that any Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ is a Wigner measure. We define the family of trace class operators by

$$
\rho_{\hbar}=\int_{X} \mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi) d \mu(\xi), \quad \hbar \in(0,1) .
$$

Proposition 4.2 [2, Lemma 4.3] The Wigner measure of $\left\{\rho_{\hbar}, \hbar \in(0,1)\right\}$ is $\mu$.
Proof: It is straightforward to see that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho_{\hbar} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right]=\int_{X} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mu(\xi) \rightarrow \int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right) x} d \mu(\xi)
$$

Then the proposition follows.

### 4.3 Time evolution of Wigner measures and flows

The time evolution of the Wigner measure is given by

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{\hbar}(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) x} d \mu_{t}(x)
$$

where

$$
\rho_{\hbar}(t)=e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} \rho_{\hbar} e^{i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} .
$$

Here we give an example. Fix $\xi=(z, u)=(q, p, u) \in X$. Let

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)(t)=e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} \mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi) e^{i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}}
$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\xi}=T\left(\frac{z}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right), \\
& T_{\xi_{t}}=T\left(\frac{z_{t}}{\hbar}\right) \otimes W\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} u_{t}}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\xi_{t}=\left(z_{t}, u_{t}\right)=\left(q_{t}, p_{t}, u_{t}\right) \in X$ is the solution to $(N)$ with the initial condition $\xi_{0}=\xi=$ $(z, u)=(q, p, u) \in X$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)=\left|T_{\xi} \Phi_{\hbar}\right\rangle\left\langle T_{\xi} \Phi_{\hbar}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(T_{\xi} \Phi_{\hbar}, e^{i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} T_{\xi} \Phi_{\hbar}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}\left(\xi_{t}\right) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(T_{\xi_{t}} \Phi_{\hbar}, \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) T_{\xi_{t}} \Phi_{\hbar}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 3.1, we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \frac{t}{\hbar} H_{\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s} \sim T_{\xi_{t}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} Q_{\hbar, s} \mathrm{~d} s} T_{\xi}^{*} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a semi-classical region. Let us define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{\hbar}=H_{\hbar}-\mathcal{H}\left(q_{s}, p_{s}, u_{s}, \bar{u}_{s}\right), \\
& Q_{t}=\frac{1}{2 m} D_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x \cdot\left(\nabla^{2} V\left(q_{t}\right)+\nabla^{2} U\left(q_{t}, u_{t}\right)\right) x+\phi\left(-i k e^{-i k q_{t}} \frac{\hat{\varphi}}{\sqrt{\omega}}\right) x+H_{\mathrm{f}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $Q_{t}$ is quadratic and independent of $\hbar$. Note that

$$
\xi_{\hbar} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} Q_{\hbar, \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s}
$$

and in particular $e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s}$ is independent of $\hbar$. By (4.3) we have a corollary.
Corollary 4.3 It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{H}_{\hbar} \mathrm{d} s} \sim T_{\xi_{t}} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} T_{\xi_{0}}^{*}, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A \sim B$ means that $\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0}\|A \Phi-B \Phi\|=0$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right) & \sim\left(T_{\xi_{t}} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} \Phi_{\hbar}, \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) T_{\xi_{t}} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \xi_{\hbar} \Phi_{\hbar}\right) \\
& =\left(\xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \Phi_{1}, T_{\xi_{t}}^{*} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) T_{\xi_{t}} \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \Phi_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \Phi_{1}, \xi_{\hbar} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} Q_{s} \mathrm{~d} s} \Phi_{1}\right) e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi_{t}, \xi\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\xi_{\hbar} \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \xi_{\hbar}^{*}=e^{2 \pi i \sqrt{\hbar}\left(q^{\prime} x+p^{\prime} D_{x}\right)} \otimes e^{-\sqrt{2} \pi i \sqrt{\hbar} \phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}
$$

as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\|\Phi_{1}\right\|^{2} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi_{t}, \xi\right)}=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi_{t}, \xi\right)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.5) has been rigorously proven and ultimately generalized in [2, Theorem 1.4].

A relationship between $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{t}$ is given through solutions to $(N)$. Let $\Phi_{t}: X \rightarrow X$ be such that $\xi_{t}=\Phi_{t}(\xi)$ is the solution to $(N)$ with the initial condition $\xi_{0}=\xi$.

Theorem 4.4 [2, Theorem 1.4] It follows that $\mu_{t}(\cdot)=\mu_{0} \circ \Phi_{t}^{-1}(\cdot)$.
By this we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) x} d \mu_{\xi} \circ \Phi_{t}^{-1}(x) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\int_{X} e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right) x} d \mu_{\xi} \circ \Phi_{t}^{-1}(x)=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Re}\left(\xi_{t}, \xi^{\prime}\right) X}
$$

Then (4.5) follows. As a corollary we can see that

$$
\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}(\xi)(t) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\lim _{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\hbar}\left(\xi_{t}\right) \mathcal{W}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$
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