# Irreducible homogeneous non-symmetric cones linearly isomorphic to the dual cones

By Hideyuki ISHI and Takaaki NOMURA

#### §1. Introduction.

In this note we present irreducible homogeneous non-symmetric open convex cones of rank 3 that are linearly isomorphic to the dual cones. As noted in [4, p. 343], if the irreducibility condition is dropped, then we have an easy example  $\Omega \oplus \Omega^*$  of non-symmetric cone that is linearly isomorphic to its dual cone. One motivation of trying to find a concrete example with the property in question stems from the proof of non-selfduality of the Vinberg cone given in [2, Exercise 10, p. 21]. There one actually proves a stronger fact that the Vinberg cone is never linearly isomorphic to its dual cone, and the non-selfduality follows as a corollary. Here one wonders if there is a concretely described non-symmetric cone which is linearly isomorphic to its dual cone. In fact selfduality of a cone requires a positive definite operator which gives a linear isomorphism between the cone and its dual as in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open convex cone in a real inner product vector space  $(V, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ . Denote by  $\Omega^*$  the dual cone of  $\Omega$  defined by

 $\Omega^* := \left\{ y \in V \; ; \; \langle x \, | \, y \, \rangle > 0 \quad for \; all \; x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$ 

Then,  $\Omega$  is selfdual if and only if there is a positive definite operator T on V such that  $\Omega^* = T(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Omega^* = T(\Omega)$  for some positive definite T. Then we define a new inner product in V by  $\langle x | y \rangle_T := \langle Tx | y \rangle$ . Let

$$\Omega_T := \{ y \in V ; \langle x | y \rangle_T > 0 \quad (\forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}) \}.$$

It is clear that  $\Omega_T = T^{-1}(\Omega^*) = \Omega$ . Therefore  $\Omega$  is selfdual. Conversely, suppose that  $\Omega$  is selfdual with respect to some inner product. Represent this inner product as the form  $\langle Tx | y \rangle$  with a positive definite operator T. Then we have  $\Omega = \Omega_T = T^{-1}(\Omega^*)$ .

### §2. Description of the cones.

Let e be the column *m*-vector with the first entry equal to 1 and the others 0:

$$oldsymbol{e} := egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ dots \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Writing  $I_m$  for the *m*-th order identity matrix, we denote by V the vector space of matrices x of the (m + 2)-th order such that

(2.1) 
$$x := \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}I_m & x_{21}e & \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ \hline x_{21}{}^te & x_{22} & x_{32} \\ & {}^t\boldsymbol{\xi} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We note that  $V \subset \text{Sym}(m+2,\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the cone of positive definite ones in V:

 $\Omega := \{ x \in V ; x \text{ is positive definite} \}.$ 

If m = 1, we have evidently  $V = \text{Sym}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , so that we assume  $m \ge 2$  in what follows.

Let us show that  $\Omega$  is homogeneous. To do so, we consider the following two subgroups A and N of  $GL(m+2,\mathbb{R})$ :

$$A := \left\{ a := \left( \begin{array}{c|c} a_1 I_m & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{array} \right) \; ; \; a_j > 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3) \right\},$$
$$N := \left\{ n := \left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_m & 0 & 0 \\ \hline n_{21}{}^t e & 1 & 0 \\ \hline {}^t n & n_{32} & 1 \end{array} \right) \; ; \; n_{21}, n_{32} \in \mathbb{R}, \; n \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\}.$$

It is clear that A normalizes N and we consider the semidirect product group  $H := N \rtimes A$ . We actually show that the action of H on  $\Omega$  given by  $H \times \Omega \ni (h, x) \mapsto \rho(h)x := hx^t h$  is simply transitive. Thus given  $x \in \Omega$ , we just look for unique  $a \in A$  and  $n \in N$  so that  $na^t n = x$ . In view of  $na^t n = \rho(na^{1/2})I_{m+2}$ , we will obtain the desired simple transitivity. In order to describe the unique solution, we introduce the following polynomial functions  $\Delta_j$  (j = 1, 2, 3) on V: for x of the form (2.1)

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1(x) &:= x_{11}, \\ \Delta_2(x) &:= x_{11}x_{22} - x_{21}^2, \\ \Delta_3(x) &:= (x_{11}x_{22} - x_{21}^2)(x_{11}x_{33} - \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|^2) - (x_{11}x_{32} - x_{21}\xi_1)^2, \end{split}$$

where  $\xi_1$  is the first entry of the vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  appearing in the expression (2.1) of x. Now the solution to the equation  $na^t n = x$  is uniquely given by

$$a_{1} = \Delta_{1}(x), \qquad a_{2} = \frac{\Delta_{2}(x)}{\Delta_{1}(x)}, \qquad a_{3} = \frac{\Delta_{3}(x)}{\Delta_{1}(x)\Delta_{2}(x)}, \\ n = \frac{\xi}{\Delta_{1}(x)}, \qquad n_{21} = \frac{x_{21}}{\Delta_{1}(x)}, \qquad n_{32} = \frac{x_{11}x_{32} - x_{21}\xi_{1}}{\Delta_{2}(x)}.$$

Therefore H acts on  $\Omega$  simply transitively. Note that proceeding as in [3, Theorem 2.2], we see that  $\Delta_j(x)$  (j = 1, 2, 3) are irreducible polynomials<sup>1</sup>.

Let us describe  $\Omega$  in another way. Using an elementary determinant formula

$$\det\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) = (\det A) \left(\det(D - CA^{-1}B)\right) \quad (\text{if } \det A \neq 0),$$

we see that the principal minors  $\delta_j(x)$  (j = 1, ..., m + 2) of the matrix x in (2.1) computed by starting with (1, 1)-entry are given by

$$\delta_j(x) = \begin{cases} \Delta_1(x)^j & (1 \le j \le m), \\ \Delta_1(x)^{m-1} \Delta_2(x) & (j = m+1), \\ \Delta_1(x)^{m-2} \Delta_3(x) & (j = m+2). \end{cases}$$

Hence we get the following description of  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega = \{ x \in V ; \Delta_1(x) > 0, \Delta_2(x) > 0, \Delta_3(x) > 0 \}.$$

## §3. Dual cones.

Let us introduce an inner product in V by the formula

(3.1) 
$$\langle x | x' \rangle = x_{11}x'_{11} + x_{22}x'_{22} + x_{33}x'_{33} + 2(x_{21}x'_{21} + x_{32}x'_{32} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}')$$

for  $x, x' \in V$  as in (2.1), where  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}'$  stands for the standard inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $\Omega^*$  denote the dual cone of  $\Omega$  realized in V through the inner product (3.1):

$$\Omega^* := \left\{ x' \in V \; ; \; \langle \, x \, | \, x' \, \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Let us define a linear operator  $m_0$  on V by

$$m_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{33}I_m & x_{32}e & \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ x_{32}{}^t e & x_{22} & x_{21} \\ {}^t \boldsymbol{\xi} & x_{21} & x_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
(for x as in (2.1)).

It is obvious that  $m_0^2$  is the identity operator, so that  $m_0$  is an involution. Moreover  $m_0$  is an isometry relative to the inner product (3.1). Put  $\sigma(h) := m_0 \rho(h) m_0$  for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>However,  $\Delta_3(x)$  is reducible if m = 1, the case we have excluded.

 $h \in H$ . Clearly  $\sigma$  defines a representation of H on V. Observe that the group H is described as the set of all

(3.2) 
$$h := \begin{pmatrix} h_1 I_m & 0 & 0 \\ \hline h_{21}{}^t e & h_2 & 0 \\ \hline {}^t h & h_{32} & h_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $h_j > 0$  (j = 1, 2, 3),  $h_{21} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_{32} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then we define an involution  $h \mapsto \check{h}$  in H by

$$\check{h} := \begin{pmatrix} h_3 I_m & 0 & 0 \\ h_{32}{}^t e & h_2 & 0 \\ {}^t h & h_{21} & h_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } h \text{ as in } (3.2).$$

By direct computation we see that this involution is an anti-automorphism.

**Lemma 3.1.** 
$$\langle \rho(h)x | y \rangle = \langle x | \sigma(\check{h})y \rangle$$
 for any  $x, y \in V$  and  $h \in H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $m_0$  is an involutive isometry, what we have to prove is

(3.3) 
$$\langle \rho(h)x | y \rangle = \langle m_0(x) | \rho(\check{h})m_0(y) \rangle.$$

We note that

we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{h_1 I_m} & 0 & 0\\ \hline h_{21}{}^t e & h_2 & 0\\ {}^t h & h_{32} & h_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{h_1 I_m} & 0 & 0\\ \hline h_{21}{}^t e & 1 & 0\\ {}^t h & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{I_m} & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & h_2 & 0\\ 0 & h_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{I_m} & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that the first and the second terms on the right hand side still decompose as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{h_1 I_m & 0 & 0}{h_{21}^{t} e & 1 & 0} \\ \frac{t_n & 0 & 1}{h} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{h_1 I_m & 0 & 0}{0 & 1 & 0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_m & 0 & 0}{h_{21}^{t} e & 1 & 0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_m & 0 & 0}{0 & 1 & 0} \\ \frac{t_n & 0 & 0}{h_{22} & 0} \\ 0 & h_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_m & 0 & 0}{0 & h_{22} & 0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_m & 0 & 0}{0 & 1 & 0} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Since  $h \mapsto \check{h}$  is an anti-automorphism, it is enough to prove (3.3) for each of these pieces. We omit the details of simple computations.

**Theorem 3.2.** One has  $\Omega^* = m_0(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Any  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  is a positive semidefinite matrix, so that  $\langle x | I_{m+2} \rangle = x_{11} + x_{22} + x_{33} > 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ . Thus  $I_{m+2} \in \Omega^*$ . By Lemma 3.1, this implies  $\Omega^* = \sigma(H)I_{m+2}$ . Since

$$\sigma(H)I_{m+2} = (m_0\rho(H)m_0)(I_{m+2}) = m_0(\rho(H)I_{m+2}) = m_0(\Omega),$$
  
$$\Omega^* = m_0(\Omega).$$

Asano's criterion [1, Theorem 4] says that our cone  $\Omega$  is irreducible, and Vinberg's criterion [5, Proposition 3, p. 73] together with the classification of irreducible symmetric cones tells us that  $\Omega$  is not symmetric. Hence our cone is an irreducible non-symmetric cone that is linearly isomorphic to  $\Omega^*$ .

#### References

- H. Asano, On the irreducibility of homogeneous convex cones, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 15 (1968), 201–208.
- [2] J. Faraut and A. Korányi, Analysis on symmetric cones, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [3] H. Ishi, Basic relative invariants associated to homogeneous cones and applications, J. Lie Theory, 11 (2001), 155–171.
- [4] E. B. Vinberg, The theory of convex homogeneous cones, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 12 (1963), 340–403.
- [5] E. V. Vinberg, The structure of the group of automorphisms of a homogeneous convex cone, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 13 (1965), 63–93.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku 464-8602, Nagoya, Japan

*E-mail address*: hideyuki@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, HIGASHI-KU 812-8581, FUKUOKA, JAPAN *E-mail address*: tnomura@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp