## GANEA'S CONJECTURE ON LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN CATEGORY NORIO IWASE

It is my honour to give a talk in this topology seminar on my recent work on LusternikSchnirelmann category, which I would like to abbreviate as LS category.

In Summer, a beautiful lecture by Ioan James on LS category forced me to consider Ganea's conjecture on LS category again, which was open for years.

## 1. LS CATEGORY

I will begin my talk with defining the LS category. I have come to know very recently that there are lots of variant notion such as Cat, cat, g-cat, w-cat, cone-length, etc. But unfortunately, the only definition I know is what experts call the nomalised LS category:
cat $X=$ the least integer $m$ so that $X$ is covered by $m+1$ closed subset contractible in $X$ So, I have to talk about mainly small cats without wiskers. For example, we know the following basic facts:
(1) $\operatorname{cat}\{*\}=0$
(2) cat $S^{n}=1$ for $n \geq 0$.
(3) cat $(X \times Y) \leq \operatorname{cat} X+\operatorname{cat} Y$
(4) cat $X \leq$ (the number of critical points of a Morse function of $X$ )-1
(5) cat $X \leq$ (the dimension of $X$ )
(6) cat $X \geq$ (the number of elements in $\tilde{h}^{*}(X)$ which give a non-zero product in $\tilde{h}^{*}(X)$ ), for any multiplicative cohomology theory $\tilde{h}^{*}$.

## 2. The Ganea's conjecture on LS category

An american mathematician Tudor Ganea contributed much in this area and died in 1971. He left some problems some of which is known as Ganea's conjecture, e.g., The problem 10
which is often called the Ganea conjecture is a fundamental conjecture for co-H-spaces, or spaces with normalised LS category 1.

The problem 2 is also a fundamental conjecture on LS category: cat $X \times S^{n}=$ cat $X+1$ ? This is the subject of this talk. There were some supporting evidences:
i) No counter examples found.
ii) (Jessup 1990 and Hess 1991) The rational version of the conjecture is true.
iii) (Singhof 1979 and Rudyak 1997) The conjecture is true for a large class of manifolds.

## 3. My approach to prove the conjecture

For over 10 years, my main interest area is Hopf spaces especially on its homotopy associativity and its associated projective spaces. An $A_{n}$-space is studied by Masahiro Sugawara and James Stasheff (1963) as a space with higher homotopy associativity: By Stasheff, an $A_{m}$-space $M$ is defined to have projecitve spaces $P^{1}(M) \subset P^{2}(M) \subset P^{3}(M) \subset \ldots \subset P^{m}(M)$. These projective spaces are characterised by Stasheff in terms of homotopy theory. When $m=\infty, P^{\infty}(M)$ is often called the $A_{\infty}$-structure of $M$. In this case, $M$ has the homotopy type of a topological group by Stasheff and Milnor.

There looks nothing concerning about LS category. But this shows us another way to compute LS categories: for any space $X$, we know that $G=\Omega X$ is an $A_{\infty}$-space, and hence there exists a filration

$$
P^{1}(G) \subset P^{2}(G) \subset P^{3}(G) \subset \ldots \subset P^{\infty}(G) \simeq X
$$

There are some fundamental results:

Theorem 3.1. (Ganea) For any space $X$, cat $X \leq m$ if and only if the canonical inclusion $e_{m}^{X}: P^{m} \Omega X \subset P^{\infty} \Omega X \simeq X$ has a homotopy section, i.e., there is a map $s: X \rightarrow P^{m} \Omega X$ which satisfies $e_{m}^{X} \circ s \simeq 1_{X}$.

Theorem 3.2. (Folk Theorem) For any spaces $X$ and $Y$, cat $X \times Y \leq m$ if and only if the canonical inclusion $\bigcup_{i+j=m} P^{i} \Omega X \times P^{j} \Omega Y \subset P^{\infty} \Omega X \times P^{\infty} \Omega Y \simeq X \times Y$ has a homotopy section.

Corollary 3.2.1. cat $X \times Y \leq \operatorname{cat} X+\operatorname{cat} Y$

Corollary 3.2.2. cat $X \times S^{n}=\operatorname{cat} X$ or cat $X+1$

Corollary 3.2.3. cat $X \times S^{n}=$ cat $X$ if and only if the inclusion $P^{m} \Omega X \times\{*\} \cup P^{m-1} \Omega X \times S^{n}$ $\subset P^{\infty} \Omega X \times S^{n} \simeq X \times S^{n}$ has a homotopy section .

This is the way I found to prove the conjecture.

## 4. Obstructions

Under some connectedness condition on $X$, there is a homology decomposition $\left\{X^{t}\right\}$ of $X$ satisfies that $0=\operatorname{cat} X^{1} \leq \operatorname{cat} X^{2} \leq \ldots \leq \operatorname{cat} X^{k-1}=m-1<\operatorname{cat} X^{k}=m \leq \ldots \leq \operatorname{cat} X$ with $k^{\prime}$-invariant $\alpha_{k}: M\left(H_{k}(X), k-1\right) \rightarrow X^{k-1}$ for some $k$, where $M\left(H_{k}(X), k-1\right)$ is the Moore space of type $\left(H_{k}(X), k-1\right)$. In each stage, we can obtain the obstruction for $X^{k}$ to satisfy cat $X^{k} \leq m-1$, as a map from $M\left(H_{k}(X), k-1\right)$ to the total space of Stasheff's fibration, which is defined from $\alpha_{k}$ :


To prove the conjecture, I tried to show the non-triviality of the obstruction $\beta_{k+n}^{\prime}$ for $X^{k} \times S^{n}$ to satisfy cat $X^{k} \times S^{n} \leq m$ using the above $k^{\prime}$-invariant $\alpha_{k}$ :


At this moment, I thought I was wining to prove the conjecture. But it was just a dream. What was found out is that $\beta_{k+n}^{\prime}$ is essentially given by $\beta_{k} * 1_{S^{n-1}} \simeq \pm \Sigma^{n} \beta_{k}$ the $n$-fold suspension of the map $\beta_{k}$, while there are lots of maps whose higher suspension is trivial.

Theorem 4.1. There is a series of complexes $Q_{p}$ indexed by all primes $p$ with cat $Q_{p} \times S^{n}=$ $\operatorname{cat} Q_{p}=2$ for $n \geq 2$.

## 5. Proof of the theorem 4.1

Let $p$ be an odd prime and $Q_{p}=S^{2} \cup e^{4 p-2}$ with the map $\alpha=\eta \circ \alpha_{1}^{2}(3): S^{4 p-3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ as the only $k^{\prime}$-invariant $\alpha: S^{4 p-3} \rightarrow S^{2}$.

Lemma 5.1. $\beta=\alpha_{1}^{2}(3)$ is not a suspension map but a co-H-map of order $p$, whose iterated suspensions $\Sigma^{t} \beta$ are trivial for $t \geq 2$ while $\Sigma \beta \neq 0$.

Proof. The latter part is obtained by observing the table of homotopy groups by Toda [13]: Since $\pi_{4 p-1}\left(S^{5}\right)$ has no $p$-torsion element, we have that $\Sigma^{2} \beta=0$. Since $S^{3}$ is a Hopf space, the suspension homomorphism $\pi_{4 p-3}\left(S^{3}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{4 p-2}\left(S^{4}\right)$ is split injective. Thus it remains to show the first part of the lemma: since $\pi_{4 p-4}\left(S^{2}\right) \cong \pi_{4 p-4}\left(S^{3}\right)$ has no $p$-torsion element, $\beta$ is not a suspension. Also one can easily compute that $H(\beta)$ is in $\pi\left(\Omega S^{3} * \Omega S^{3}\right)$ which has no $p$-torsion element, where $H$ is the generalised Hopf invariant homomorphism $H:[X, Y] \rightarrow[X, \Omega Y * \Omega Y]$ by Berstein-hilton [1] or Saito [8], for co-H-spaces $X$ and $Y$.

Proposition 5.2. $\alpha=\eta \beta=\eta \alpha_{1}^{2}(3)$ is not a co-H-map and the obstruction is described by the 2nd James-Hopf invariant $h_{2}(\alpha)=\beta$, which is a generator of the p-part of $\pi_{4 p-3}\left(S^{3}\right)$ :

$$
\mu_{2} \alpha \simeq(\alpha \vee \alpha) \mu_{4 p-3}+_{4 p-3}\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right] \beta
$$

where we denote by $\mu_{k}: S^{k} \rightarrow S^{k} \vee S^{k}$ the (unique) co-Hopf structure of the sphere $S^{k}$ and by $+_{k}$ the multiplication induced by the co-Hopf structure of sphere $S^{k}$.

Proof. There is a well-known formula for the Hopf map $\eta$ :

$$
\mu_{2} \eta \simeq(\eta \vee \eta) \mu_{3}+{ }_{3}\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]
$$

in $\pi_{3}\left(S^{2} \vee S^{2}\right)$ by $i_{t}: X \rightarrow X \vee X$ the inclusion to the $t$-th factor. Since $\alpha \simeq \eta \beta$, we have, in $\pi_{4 p-3}\left(S^{2} \vee S^{2}\right)$,

$$
\mu_{2} \alpha \simeq \mu_{2} \eta \beta \simeq\left\{(\eta \vee \eta) \mu_{3}+_{3}\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right]\right\} \beta
$$

Since $\beta$ is a co-Hopf map by Lemma 5.1, this is homotopy equivalent to

$$
(\eta \vee \eta) \mu_{3} \beta+_{4 p-3}\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right] \beta \simeq(\eta \beta \vee \eta \beta) \mu_{4 p-3}+_{4 p-3}\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right] \beta \simeq(\alpha \vee \alpha) \mu_{4 p-3}+_{4 p-3}\left[i_{1}, i_{2}\right] \beta
$$

This implies that $h_{2}(\alpha) \simeq \beta$ which gives the obstruction for $\alpha$ to be a co-Hopf map and $h_{k}(\alpha)=0$ for $k \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.3. The following diagram without the dotted arrow commutes up to homotopy.

where $i: S^{2} \rightarrow Q_{p}$ and $j_{t}: S^{t} \rightarrow \Omega S^{t+1}$ give the bottom cell inclusions and $p_{1}^{Q_{p}}$ denotes the Hopf construction of the loop addition of $\Omega Q_{p}, \iota_{1}^{Q_{p}}: \Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \rightarrow P^{2} \Omega Q_{p}$ denotes the inclusion to the mapping cone of $p_{1}^{Q_{p}}$ and $e_{t}^{Q_{p}}: P^{t} \Omega Q_{p} \subset P^{\infty} \Omega Q_{p} \simeq Q_{p}$ denotes the canonical inclusion.

Remark 5.4. The difference between the identity $1_{Q_{p}}$ and the map $e_{2}^{Q_{p}} \lambda$ is given by an element $e v_{Q_{p}} \gamma \in \pi_{4 p-2}\left(Q_{p}\right)$, where $\gamma \in \pi_{4 p-2}\left(\Sigma \Omega Q_{p}\right)$, since $\pi_{4 p-2}\left(\Sigma \Omega Q_{p}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{4 p-2}\left(Q_{p}\right)$ is a split surjection.

This implies the following theorem.


Proposition 5.6. The following diagram except the dotted arrow commutes up to homotopy.


Since $\beta * 1_{S^{n-1}} \simeq \pm \Sigma\left(\beta \wedge 1_{S^{n-1}}\right) \simeq \pm \Sigma^{n} \beta$, we have established the following result.

Proposition 5.7. $1_{Q_{p}} \times 1_{S^{n}}$ can be compressed into $P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup \Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}$, for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. In the case when $n \geq 2, \beta * 1_{S^{n-1}}$ is trivial. Since the inclusion $P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup$ $\Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n} \rightarrow P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}$ induces a split epimorphism in the homotopy groups, a similar argument to that used in the proof of Theorem 5.5 leads us the conclusion that there is a compression $\delta$ of $\lambda \times 1_{S^{n}}$ to $P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup \Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}$. Moreover, we may assume the compression homotopy leaves the subspace $Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup S^{2} \times S^{n}$ fixed. By Remark 5.4, the identity $1_{Q_{p}}$ is given from $e_{2}^{Q_{p}} \lambda$ by adding an element $e v_{Q_{p}} \gamma, \gamma \in \pi_{4 p-2}\left(\Sigma \Omega Q_{p}\right)$. We define a map $\delta_{2}$ by

$$
\delta_{2}: Q_{p} \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\mu \times 1_{S} n}\left(Q_{p} \vee S^{10}\right) \times S^{n}=Q_{p} \times S^{n} \cup S^{10} \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\delta \cup\left(\gamma \times 1_{S^{n}}\right)} P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup \Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n},
$$

where $\mu$ denotes the co-action of $S^{4 p-2}$. Since $\delta$ is homotopic to $\lambda$ in $P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}$ with the subspace $\{*\} \times S^{n}$ left fixed, $\delta_{2}$ is homotopic to

$$
(\lambda+\gamma) \times 1_{S^{n}}: Q_{p} \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\mu \times 1_{S^{n}}}\left(Q_{p} \vee S^{10}\right) \times S^{n}=Q_{p} \times S^{n} \cup S^{10} \times S^{n} \xrightarrow{\left(\lambda \times 1_{S^{n} n}\right) \cup\left(\gamma \times 1_{S^{n}}\right)} P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n},
$$ in $P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}$ which is a compression of $1_{Q_{p}} \times 1_{S^{n}}$. Thus $\delta_{2}: Q_{p} \times S^{n} \rightarrow P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup$ $\Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}$ gives the compression of $1_{Q_{p}} \times 1_{S^{n}}$.

Thus we have $2=\operatorname{cat}_{p} Q_{p} \leq \operatorname{cat}_{p} Q_{p} \times S^{n} \leq \operatorname{cat} Q_{p} \times S^{n} \leq \operatorname{cat}\left(P^{2} \Omega Q_{p} \times\{*\} \cup \Sigma \Omega Q_{p} \times S^{n}\right) \leq$ 2 , for $n \geq 2$, and hence we have established our main theorem.

Theorem 5.8. cat $Q_{p} \times S^{n}=\operatorname{cat}_{p} Q_{p} \times S^{n}=2$, for $n \geq 2$, while cat $Q_{p} \times S^{1}=\operatorname{cat}_{p} Q_{p} \times S^{1}=$ 3.

Also one can see that there is a two cell complex $Q_{2}=S^{8} \cup e^{30}$ which satisfies cat $Q_{2}=$ cat $Q_{2} \times S^{n}=2$ for $n \geq 1$. So the conjecture was a kind of folk-lore.

That's all.
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